

Type Processing by Constraint Reasoning

Peter J. Stuckey, Martin Sulzmann, Jeremy Wazny

8th November 2006

Chameleon

Chameleon is Haskell-style language

- ▶ treats type problems using constraints
- ▶ gives expressive error messages
- ▶ has a programmable type system
- ▶ Developers: [Martin Sulzmann](#), Jeremy Wazny
- ▶ <http://www.comp.nus.edu.sg/~sulzmann/chameleon/>
- ▶ Examples taken from Chameleon
 - ▶ **Caveat**
 - ▶ Previous versions of Chameleon supported some features
 - ▶ No single version shows has all the behaviour we illustrate

Hindley/Milner Types

Hindley Milner Type Inference

Locations + Minimal Unsatisfiable Constraints

Better Error Messages

Type Classes

Constraint Handling Rules

Representing Typing Problems with CHRs

Type Class Errors

Extended Type Systems

Functional Dependencies

What are Types Good for?

- ▶ Type systems are important tools in the design, analysis, and verification of programming languages.
- ▶ Well-typed programs don't “go wrong” for a reasonable class or errors.
- ▶ Type systems need to
 - ▶ Check types!
 - ▶ (Preferably) Infer types
 - ▶ (Definitely) Explain type errors!

Type Systems are Getting More Complex

- ▶ “Fortran” types
- ▶ Hindley/Milner types: Higher-order, polymorphic
- ▶ Type Classes: controlled ad-hoc polymorphism
 - ▶ Multiparameter Type Classes: relations among types
- ▶ Constructor Classes: classes with higher kinds
- ▶ Functional Dependencies: classes with improvement rules
- ▶ Existential Types: “objects”
- ▶ Lexically Scoped Annotations: more expressive type declarations
- ▶ Guarded Abstract Data Types: types differ per constructor
- ▶ Extended Abstract Data Types: difference controlled by classes
- ▶ ...

Thesis

Understanding types be first mapping them to constraints:

- ▶ improves understanding
 - ▶ inference = satisfiability
 - ▶ checking = implication tests
- ▶ eases implementation
- ▶ allows more useful error handling
- ▶ makes type systems easier to extend

Hindley/Milner Types

- ▶ **Types** $t ::=$
 - ▶ variable a
 - ▶ function arrow $t \rightarrow t$
 - ▶ base types e.g. $Char$, $Bool$
 - ▶ constructor types e.g. $[t]$ (list of t)
- ▶ **Type scheme** $\sigma ::= t \mid \forall \bar{a}. t$
- ▶ **Primitive Constraint** $p ::= t = t \mid True$
- ▶ **Constraint** $C ::= p \mid C \wedge C$

Hindley/Milner Type Inference

```
f u = g u 't' False
g x y z = if x then z else y
```

- ▶ Inferring type for g

$$t_x = \text{Bool}$$
$$\{t_x \mapsto \text{Bool}\}$$
$$t_{ift} = t_z, t_{ift} = t_y$$
$$\{t_x \mapsto \text{Bool}, t_{ift} \mapsto t_z, t_y \mapsto t_z\}$$
$$t_g = t_x \rightarrow t_y \rightarrow t_z \rightarrow t_{ift}$$
$$\{t_g \mapsto \text{Bool} \rightarrow t_z \rightarrow t_z \rightarrow t_z\}$$

- ▶ Inferring type for f

$$t_g = \text{Bool} \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow t_1$$
$$\{t_g \mapsto \text{Bool} \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow t_1\}$$
$$t_g = t_u \rightarrow t_{gu}$$
$$\{t_{gu} \mapsto t_1 \rightarrow t_1 \rightarrow t_1, t_u \mapsto \text{Bool}\}$$
$$t_{gu} = \text{Char} \rightarrow t_{gut}$$
$$\{t_{gut} \mapsto \text{Char} \rightarrow \text{Char}, t_1 \mapsto \text{Char}\}$$
$$t_{gut} = \text{Bool} \rightarrow t_{body}$$
FAILS

Hindley/Milner Type Inference

```
f u = g u 't' False
g x y z = if x then z else y
```

▶ Error message:

```
Couldn't match 'Char' against 'Bool'
```

```
  Expected type: Char
```

```
  Inferred type: Bool
```

```
In the third argument of 'g', namely 'False'
```

```
In the definition of 'f': f u = g u 't' False
```

- ▶ Fixed order of evaluation
- ▶ Only substitutions are maintained

Hindley/Milner Type Inference

```
f u = g u 't' False
g x y z = if x then z else y
```

- ▶ Potential corrected versions of the program:

- ▶

```
f u = g u True False
g x y z = if x then z else y
```

- ▶

```
f u = g u 't' False
g x y z = if z then x else y
```

- ▶

```
f u = g u 't' 'f'
g x y z = if x then z else y
```

- ▶ Only the last correction changes the indicated location!

Locations

- ▶ Collect constraints (not substitutions)
- ▶ Remember locations of constraints
 - ▶ **Locations** l (integers)
 - ▶ **Justification** $J ::= \epsilon \mid l \mid [l, \dots, l]$
 - ▶ **Primitive constraint** $p ::= (t = t)_J \mid \text{True}$

- ▶ Location annotated program

```
f u = (((g1 u2)3 't'4)5 False6)7  
g x y z = (if11 x8 then z9 else y10)12
```

- ▶ Locations Annotated Type Constraints: $(t_1 = t_g)_1, (t_2 = t_u)_2,$
 $(t_1 = t_2 \rightarrow t_3)_3, (t_4 = \text{Char})_4, (t_3 = t_4 \rightarrow t_5)_5, (t_6 = \text{Bool})_6,$
 $(t_5 = t_6 \rightarrow t_7)_7, t_f = t_u \rightarrow t_7, (t_8 = t_x)_8, (t_8 = \text{Bool})_{11},$
 $(t_9 = t_z)_9, (t_{10} = t_y)_{10}, (t_{12} = t_9)_{12}, (t_{12} = t_{10})_{12},$
 $t_g = t_x \rightarrow t_y \rightarrow t_z \rightarrow t_{12}.$

Type Error = Unsatisfiable Constraint

- ▶ Failure of unification = unsatisfiable constraint
- ▶ Minimal unsatisfiable subset: E of constraint D
 - ▶ E is unsatisfiable: $\models \neg \exists E$
 - ▶ all strict subsets of E are satisfiable: $\forall e \in E. \models \exists(E - \{e\})$
- ▶ Minimal unsatisfiable subset = minimal reason for type error!

Displaying Type Errors

- ▶ Determine a minimal unsatisfiable subset
- ▶ For example: $(t_1 = t_g)_1$, $(t_1 = t_2 \rightarrow t_3)_3$, $(t_4 = Char)_4$,
 $(t_3 = t_4 \rightarrow t_5)_5$, $(t_6 = Bool)_6$, $(t_5 = t_6 \rightarrow t_7)_7$, $(t_9 = t_z)_9$,
 $(t_{10} = t_y)_{10}$, $(t_{12} = t_9)_{12}$, $(t_{12} = t_{10})_{12}$,
 $t_g = t_x \rightarrow t_y \rightarrow t_z \rightarrow t_{12}$.
- ▶ Highlight locations of the minimal unsatisfiable subset

```
f u = g u 't' False  
g x y z = if x then z else y
```
- ▶ Not restricted to a single function definition!
- ▶ At least one location highlighted must be changed!

Multiple Minimal Unsatisfiable Subsets

Type information is usually highly redundant.

- ▶ `f x = if x then (toUpper x) else (toLower x)`
where `toUpper, toLower :: Char -> Char`
- ▶ `f x = if x then (toUpper x) else (toLower x)`
- ▶ `f x = if x then (toUpper x) else (toLower x)`

Locations appearing in all minimal unsatisfiable subsets are more likely to be in error!

- ▶ You may be able to remove all errors changing one
`f x = if x then (toUpper x) else (toLower x)`

Better Error Messages

- ▶ Choose a location from the minimal unsatisfiable subset E
- ▶ Remove the constraints for that location from E (**satisfiable**)
- ▶ Find conflicting types (via E) at that location
 - ▶ Assign a colour to each conflicting type
 - ▶ Find the locations **causing** each conflicting type
- ▶ Report the error in terms of locations and conflicting types
- ▶ Highlight the causes of each conflicting type

Better Error Messages Example

- ▶ Choose a location: 12
- ▶ Remove constraints for location: $(t_{12} = t_9)_{12}$, $(t_{12} = t_{10})_{12}$
- ▶ Find conflicting types at location: $t_9 = \text{Bool}$, $t_{10} = \text{Char}$
 - ▶ Assign a colour to each conflicting type: t_9 , t_{10}
 - ▶ Find the locations causing each conflicting type: t_9 :
[1,3,5,6,9], t_{10} : [1,3,4,10]
- ▶ Report the error in terms of locations and conflicting types

Problem : Then and else branch must have same type

Types : **Bool**
Char

Conflict: `f u = g u 't' False`
`g x y z = if x then z else y`

Find Locations that Cause a Type?

How do we find a minimal set of constraints C that cause another constraint c to hold?

- ▶ **Implication:** $\models C \rightarrow c$
- ▶ Analogous to finding minimal unsatisfiable subset.
 - ▶ Start with $E := C$
 - ▶ Delete a constraint $p \in E$: $E := E - \{p\}$
 - ▶ If $\models E \rightarrow c$ continue, otherwise replace
 - ▶ Stop when no constraint $p \in E$ can be deleted.

A Type Error may not be just Two Conflicting Types!

```
f 'a' b    True = error "'a'"  
f c    True z    = error "'b'"  
f x    y    z    = if z then x else y
```

GHC: Couldn't match 'Char' against 'Bool'

Expected type: Char

Inferred type: Bool

In the definition of 'f': f x y z = if z then x else y

Chameleon: Problem : Definition clauses not unifiable

Types : Char -> a -> b -> c

d -> Bool -> e -> f

g -> g -> h -> i

Conflict: f 'a' b True = error "'a'"

f c True z = error "'b'"

f x y z = if z then x else y

Failure of Type Checking

- ▶ Function type declaration as well as definition

```
h :: a -> (a,b)
```

```
h x = (x,x)
```

- ▶ Inferred type: $h :: c \rightarrow (c,c)$
- ▶ Find variable bound in the declared type w.r.t. inferred type b
- ▶ Find minimal implicant of binding $b = a$
- ▶ Highlight it

```
h.hs:2: ERROR: Inferred type does not subsume declared
```

```
Declared: forall a,b. a -> (a,b)
```

```
Inferred: forall a. a -> (a,a)
```

```
Problem : The variable 'b' makes the declared type too
```

```
h x = (x, x)
```

Type Classes: Controlled Overloading

A class of types that all supply the same interface:

- ▶ **class declarations** defined interface

```
class Num a where
  (+), (-), (*) :: a -> a -> a
  integer constant :: a
```

- ▶ **instance declarations** define members of class

```
instance Num Int where (+) = iplus; (-) = iminus; ...
instance Num Float where (+) = fplus; (-) = fminus; ...
```

- ▶ Allows overloading (like coercion) e.g.
- ▶ `3.1415 + 2 :: Float`

Type Classes: Controlled Overloading

A class of types that all supply the same interface:

- ▶ **class declarations** defined interface

```
class Eq a where
    (==) :: a -> a -> Bool
```

- ▶ **instance declarations** define members of class

```
instance Eq Int where (==) = eqInt
instance Eq Bool where (==) = eqBool
```

- ▶ **Overloading** `eqList :: Eq a => [a] -> [a] -> Bool`

```
eqList [] [] = True
eqList (x:xs) (y:ys) = (x == y) && eqList xs ys
eqList _ _ = False
```

Superclasses

Classes and instances can require that the members have instances of other classes

- ▶ Class heirarchy

```
class Eq a => Ord a where  
  (>) :: a -> a -> Bool
```

- ▶ Members of Ord must be members of Eq
- ▶ Instances can also be constrained

```
instance Eq a => Eq [a] where  
  (==) = eqList
```

Multi-Parameter Type Classes

- ▶ Single parameter type classes implicitly define sets
- ▶ Multi-parameter type classes define **relationships among types**

```
class Convert a b where
  upcast :: a -> b
  downcast :: b -> Maybe a

instance Convert Int Float where
  upcast = fromInteger
  downcast f = if (ceiling f == floor f)
    then Just (floor f)
    else Nothing
```

- ▶ Clearly classes define **constraints!**

Type Classes

- ▶ **Primitive constant** $p ::= (t = t)_J \mid U \bar{t} \mid True$
- ▶ **Type scheme** $\sigma ::= t \mid \forall \bar{a}. C \Rightarrow t$
- ▶ **Class decl** $cd ::= \text{class } (C \Rightarrow U \bar{a})_I \text{ where } [m :: (C \rightarrow t)]_I$
- ▶ **Instance decl** $id ::= \text{instance } (C \Rightarrow U \bar{t})_I \text{ where } [m = e]$

We need the ability to

- ▶ Check satisfiability of constraints
- ▶ Check implication of constraints
- ▶ Simplify constraints
- ▶ Check classes and instances agree!

Constraint Handling Rules (CHRs)

- ▶ Lightweight theorem prover for constraints
- ▶ Maps a set (conjunction) of constraints to an equivalent set of constraints
- ▶ Simplification rules: $c_1, \dots, c_n \iff d_1, \dots, d_m$
Replace lhs by rhs
 - Eq Int \iff True Eq Int is replaced by True (proved)
 - Eq Float \iff True Eq Float is replaced by True (proved)
 - Eq [a] \iff Eq a To prove Eq [a] prove Eq a
- ▶ Propagation rules: $c_1, \dots, c_n \implies d_1, \dots, d_m$
Add rhs to lhs
 - Ord a \implies Eq a Proving Ord a also requires proving Eq a
- ▶ Derivation
 $Ord [Int] \longrightarrow Ord [Int], Eq [Int] \longrightarrow Ord [Int], Eq Int \longrightarrow Ord [Int]$

Constraint Handling Rules with Justifications

Constraint operations need to maintain justifications:

- ▶ Applying a rule $c_1, \dots, c_n \iff d_1, \dots, d_m$
 - ▶ Find matching set c'_1, \dots, c'_n
 - ▶ Find equations E that force the match (**minimal implicant**)
 - ▶ Collect justifications J for c'_1, \dots, c'_n and E
 - ▶ Remove c'_1, \dots, c'_n
 - ▶ Add d_1, \dots, d_m extending justifications by J .
- ▶ Applying Eq [a] \iff (Eq a)₁₅ to
 $(t_1 = Int)_1, (t_2 = [t_1])_2, (t_3 = t_2 \rightarrow t_4)_4, (t_3 = t_5 \rightarrow t_6)_6,$
 $(Eq t_5)_7$.
 - ▶ minimal implicant of $\exists a. t_5 = [a]$ is
 $(t_2 = [t_1])_2, (t_3 = t_2 \rightarrow t_4)_4, (t_3 = t_5 \rightarrow t_6)_6$
 - ▶ $J = [2, 4, 6, 7]$
 - ▶ Result $(t_1 = Int)_1, (t_2 = [t_1])_2, (t_3 = t_2 \rightarrow t_4)_4,$
 $(t_3 = t_5 \rightarrow t_6)_6, (Eq t_1)_{[2,4,6,7,15]}$.

CHR Algorithms

Restrictions on CHR programs

- ▶ **Confluent**: all derivations for C lead to the same result
- ▶ **Terminating**: all derivations terminate
- ▶ **Range-restricted**: all vars in d_1, \dots, d_m appear in c_1, \dots, c_n
- ▶ **Single-headed simplification**: $n = 1$ for simplification rules

Under these restrictions: **KEY RESULTS**

- ▶ The rules define a **canonical form**: If $P \models C_1 \leftrightarrow C_2$ then $C_1 \longrightarrow^* D$ and $C_2 \longrightarrow^* D$
- ▶ **Satisfiability** is decidable
- ▶ Minimal unsatisfiable subsets can be determined
- ▶ **Implication** is decidable
- ▶ Minimal implicants can be determined

Representing Typing Problems with CHRs

Use CHRs to represent types

```
f u = (((g1 u2)3 't'4)5 False6)7  
class (True => Eq a)13 where (==) :: (a -> a -> Bool)14  
instance (Eq a => Eq [a])15 where (==) = eqList16
```

is represented as

$$F \ t_f \Leftrightarrow (G \ t_1)_1, (t_2 = t_u)_2, (t_1 = t_2 \rightarrow t_3)_3, (t_4 = Char)_4, \\ (t_3 = t_4 \rightarrow t_5)_5, (t_6 = Bool)_6, (t_5 = t_6 \rightarrow t_7)_7, \\ t_f = t_u \rightarrow t_7$$
$$Eq \ a \ ==> \ True_{13}$$
$$(==) \ t_e \Leftrightarrow (Eq \ a)_{14}, (t = a \rightarrow a \rightarrow Bool)_{14}$$
$$Eq \ [a] \Leftrightarrow (Eq \ a)_{15}$$

Type Processing using CHRs

- ▶ Type inference:
 - ▶ $F t_f \longrightarrow^* D$
 - ▶ Inferred type: $\bar{\exists}_{t_f} D$
 - ▶ For example
 - ▶ $G t_g \longrightarrow^* (t_8 = t_x)_8, (t_8 = \text{Bool})_{11}, (t_9 = t_z)_9, (t_{10} = t_y)_{10}, (t_{12} = t_9)_{12}, (t_{12} = t_{10})_{12}, t_g = t_x \rightarrow t_y \rightarrow t_z \rightarrow t_{12}$
 - ▶ Inferred type: $\exists t_{12}. t_g = \text{Bool} \rightarrow t_{12} \rightarrow t_{12}$
- ▶ Type checking: $f :: C \Rightarrow t$
 - ▶ $F t_f \longrightarrow^* D_1$
 - ▶ $t_f = t, C \longrightarrow^* D_2$
 - ▶ $\models (\bar{\exists}_{t_f} D_2) \supset (\bar{\exists}_{t_f} D_1)$

Type Errors with Classes

- ▶ Failure of inference:
 - ▶ only possible through unsatisfiable equational constraints
 - ▶ Report as before
- ▶ Failure of checking
 - ▶ Also possible to have have unmatched type class constraints
 - ▶ Extend to report reason (minimal implicant) for these
- ▶ New kinds of errors
 - ▶ Missing instance errors
 - ▶ Ambiguity errors
 - ▶ Incompatible class and instance declarations

Missing Instance Error

- ▶ Classic beginners mistake:

```
sum []      = [] -- should be 0
sum (x:xs) = x + sum xs
```

- ▶ Inferred type: `sum :: Num [a] => [[a] -> [a]`
- ▶ In Haskell 98, each type class constraint appearing in a functions type must be of the form $U a$ where a is a type variable.
- ▶ For $Num [a]$ find a minimal implicant of $Num t \wedge \exists t'. t = [t']$
- ▶ Highlight the locations of the minimal implicant

```
sum.hs:4: ERROR: Missing instance
Instance: Num [a]: sum []      = [] -- should be 0
                  sum (x:xs) = x + sum xs
```

Ambiguity Error

- ▶ `f x y z = show (if x then read y else read z)`
where `read :: Read a => [Char] -> a`
and `show :: Show a => a -> [Char]`
- ▶ Inferred type: `f :: forall a. (Read a, Show a) => Bool -> [Char] -> [Char] -> [Char]`
- ▶ `a` does not appear in type part. **Ambiguous**

- ▶ Highlight the positions where `a` appears in type

Ambiguity can be resolved at these locations

```
f x y z = show (if x then read y else read z)
```

- ▶ For example

```
f x y z = show (if x then (read y)::Int else read z)
```


Functional Dependencies

```
class Collects ce e | ce -> e where  
  empty :: ce  
  insert :: e -> ce -> ce
```

- ▶ Collection type `ce` functionally defines element type `e`
- ▶ Without this `empty :: Collects ce e => ce` is **ambiguous!**

Bibliography

- ▶ **Theory** P. J. Stuckey and M. Sulzmann. A theory of overloading. ACM TOPLAS, 2005.
- ▶ **EADTs** M. Sulzmann, J. Wazny, and P.J. Stuckey. A framework for extended algebraic data types. FLOPS 2006,
- ▶ **Better error messages** P.J. Stuckey, M. Sulzmann, and J. Wazny. Improving type error diagnosis. 2004 ACM Haskell Workshop,
- ▶ **Functional dependencies** G.J. Duck, S. Peyton-Jones, P.J. Stuckey, and M. Sulzmann. Sound and decidable type inference for functional dependencies. ESOP 2004,
- ▶ **Type errors** P.J. Stuckey, M. Sulzmann, and J. Wazny. Interactive type debugging in Haskell. 2003 ACM Haskell Workshop,
- ▶ **More** <http://www.comp.nus.edu.sg/~sulzmann/>