Turbulent drag reduction by spanwise wall forcing. Part 2. High-Reynolds-number experiments
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We present measurements of turbulent drag reduction (DR) in boundary layers at high friction Reynolds numbers in the range of $4500 \leq Re_{\tau} \leq 15\,000$. The efficacy of the approach, using streamwise travelling waves of spanwise wall oscillations, is studied for two actuation regimes: (i) inner-scaled actuation (ISA), as investigated in Part 1 of this study, which targets the relatively high-frequency structures of the near-wall cycle, and (ii) outer-scaled actuation (OSA), which was recently presented by Marusic \textit{et al.} (Nat. Commun., vol. 12, 2021) for high-$Re_{\tau}$ flows, targeting the lower-frequency, outer-scale motions. Multiple experimental techniques were used, including a floating-element balance to directly measure the skin-friction drag force, hot-wire anemometry to acquire long-time fluctuating velocity and wall-shear stress, and stereoscopic particle image velocimetry to measure the turbulence statistics of all three velocity components across the boundary layer. Under the ISA pathway, DR of up to 25\% was achieved, but mostly with net power saving (NPS) losses due to the high-input power cost associated with the high-frequency actuation. The low-frequency OSA pathway, however, with its lower input power requirements, was found to consistently result in positive NPS of 5–10\% for moderate DRs of 5–15\%. The results suggest that OSA is an attractive pathway for energy-efficient DR in high-Reynolds-number applications.
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1. Introduction

The sensitivity of wall-bounded turbulent flows to boundary conditions means that relatively modest adjustments made to the wall can significantly modify fluid behaviour, including drag. This concept has driven the development of a wide variety of flow control methods (see, for example, Kim 2011). One such method is the active flow control strategy of imposing streamwise travelling waves of spanwise velocity at the wall (Quadrio, Ricco & Viotti 2009), which is the focus of the current study and is briefly reviewed in Part 1 (Rouhi et al. 2023). A more extensive review is given by Ricco, Skote & Leschziner (2021).

Here, the forcing arises due to an imposed surface movement with

$$w_s(x, t) = A \sin \left( \kappa_x x - \frac{2\pi}{T_{osc}} t \right),$$

(1.1)

where $w_s$ is the instantaneous spanwise velocity at the wall, $A$ and $T_{osc}$ are the amplitude and time period of spanwise actuation, respectively, and $\kappa_x = 2\pi/\lambda$ is the streamwise wavenumber of the travelling wave; $\lambda$ is the wavelength. In Part 1, (1.1) was presented based on the angular frequency of actuation $\omega = 2\pi/T_{osc}$. Streamwise, wall-normal and spanwise coordinates are denoted by $x$, $y$ and $z$, respectively (with corresponding instantaneous velocities $u$, $v$ and $w$), and $t$ is time. A schematic of this forcing is shown on the right side of figure 1.

Numerical studies indicate that this approach shows great promise (Quadrio 2011), but applying this control strategy in practice has remained a challenge. This is especially due to the difficulties in accurately reproducing, predicting or modelling the turbulent dynamics that are encountered in high-Reynolds-number practical flows. Previous experimental (Autteri et al. 2010; Bird, Santer & Morrison 2018) and numerical investigations (Quadrio et al. 2009; Hurst, Yang & Chung 2014; Gatti & Quadrio 2016; Gatti et al. 2018; Skote 2022 etc.) of this control strategy have been restricted to lower Reynolds numbers, $Re_{\tau} \leq 1000$. Here, the friction Reynolds number is defined as $Re_{\tau} = \delta u_{t0} / \nu$, where, $u_{t0} = \sqrt{\tau_{w0} / \rho}$ is the friction velocity, $\tau_{w0}$ is the wall-shear stress, $\delta$ is the boundary layer thickness of the non-actuated flow, $\rho$ is the fluid density and $\nu$ is the fluid kinematic viscosity. The subscript ‘0’ indicates parameters evaluated at the non-actuated reference condition. The superscript ‘+’ indicates normalization using viscous length ($v/u_{t0}$) and velocity ($u_{t0}$) scales. The superscript ‘*’ indicates the normalization using viscous scales where the actual friction velocity is considered, i.e. $u_{\tau}$ of the drag-altered flow for the actuated cases.

At relatively low Reynolds numbers, $Re_{\tau} = O(10^2)$ to $O(10^3)$, near-wall streaks are the statistically dominant turbulent structures close to the wall and follow inner scaling (Kline et al. 1967; Smits, McKeon & Marusic 2011). That is, their features scale with velocity $u_{t0}$ and length $v/u_{t0}$. The predominant time scale of the near-wall streaks is found to be $T^+ = Tu_{t0}^2 / \nu \approx 100$ and their characteristic streamwise and spanwise lengths are $1000v/u_{t0}$ and $100v/u_{t0}$, respectively. Flow control schemes that are implemented at the wall often prescribe a forcing of similar time and/or length scales to couple with these features and achieve the best result (Jung, Mangiavacchi & Akhavan 1992; Choi & Graham 1998; Quadrio & Ricco 2004; Choi, Jukes & Whalley 2011; Kim 2011; Skote 2013; Tomiyama & Fukagata 2013; Lozano-Durán et al. 2020).

The actuation strategy of targeting the near-wall streaks, which we refer to as inner-scaled actuation (ISA) was the focus of Part 1 of this study where large-eddy simulations (LES) were used. Figure 1 shows a visualization of the near-wall flow field from LES at $Re_{\tau} = 951$ for the non-actuated case and an actuated case where the drag reduction (DR) is $DR \approx 29 \%$. Here, $DR = 1 - \overline{w} / \overline{w_0}$, where $\overline{w} = \rho u_{\tau}^2$ is the
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Flow features contributing to turbulent drag

Figure 1. Visualization of near-wall flow features for the non-actuated case and an actuated case with a streamwise travelling wave of spanwise velocity. The time scale of actuation is $T_{osc}^+ = 140$, resulting in a DR of 29%. Time-averaged wall-shear stress for the actuated case. The actuation is seen to deplete the streaks and attenuate the intensity of turbulent fluctuations near the wall. Although the performance of ISA is reported to deteriorate with increasing Reynolds number, it is still observed to yield significant DR of DR $\approx 25\%$ at $Re_\tau = 4000$ (Part 1) and $Re_\tau = 6000$ (Marusic et al. 2021). Unfortunately, however, targeting near-wall streaks typically implies high-frequency actuation, and thus, high-input power requirements, so that ISA often ends up being energy inefficient (Marusic et al. 2021).

An alternate, more energy-efficient, pathway to DR at high-Reynolds-numbers targets the larger-scale, outer-region structures (Marusic et al. 2021). We refer to this relatively lower-frequency actuation strategy as outer-scaled actuation (OSA). By outer scaled, we refer to all motions that scale with $y$ and/or $\delta$, corresponding to motions normally associated with the logarithmic region and beyond (attached eddies and superstructures). (ISA and OSA were originally referred to as small-eddy actuation and large-eddy actuation, respectively, in Marusic et al. 2021.) The lower frequencies employed for OSA, as compared with ISA, means that OSA can result in positive net power savings (NPS). Furthermore, in contrast to ISA, the performance of OSA improves with increasing Reynolds number. Marusic et al. (2021) attributed this trend to the difference in the turbulent drag composition at high Reynolds numbers, where the relative contribution of large-scale (low-frequency) eddies to the wall-shear stress increases.

1.1. Wall-shear stress versus Reynolds number

Figure 2(a) shows the premultiplied power spectral density (spectrum) of the wall-shear stress, $f \phi_{t^+ t^+}$, obtained using the predictive models of Marusic, Mathis & Hutchins (2010b), Mathis et al. (2013) and Chandran, Monty & Marusic (2020) at $Re_\tau$ ranging from $10^3$ to $10^6$. Here, $f$ is the frequency and $f^+ = 1/T^+ = f v/\nu^2$. The spectra show the relative contributions to the wall-shear stress from turbulent structures of different time scales ($T^+$). Mathis et al. (2013) used a cutoff frequency of $f^+ = 2.65 \times 10^{-3}$ ($T^+ \approx 350$)
to decompose the total wall-shear stress spectrum into (i) a Reynolds number-invariant, universal contribution from the small, inner-scaled motions \((T^+ < 350)\), and (ii) a large-scale contribution from the outer-scaled motions that increased with Reynolds number. Therefore, based on this cutoff time scale of \(T^+ = 350\), we highlight in figure 2(a) the inner-scaled component (shown in green) and the outer-scaled component (shown in red) of the wall-shear stress spectra. While the inner-scaled component is the contribution to the wall stress by the near-wall cycle, the outer-scaled spectra are the contributions from the motions centred in the logarithmic region and above. The latter is obtained here from the phenomenological model of Chandran et al. (2020) that includes hierarchies of self-similar ‘wall-attached’ eddies and very-large-scale motions/superstructures (Kim & Adrian 1999; Hutchins & Marusic 2007a). As reported by Mathis et al. (2013), figure 2(a) shows that while the contributions by the inner-scaled motions to the wall-shear stress spectra are Reynolds number invariant, the contributions by the inertial outer-scaled motions \((T^+ \gtrsim 350)\) increase with increasing Reynolds number. This large-scale trend reflects the growing influence of the energetic outer-region structures on the near-wall turbulence with Reynolds number (Hutchins & Marusic 2007b; Marusic et al. 2010b; Agostini & Leschziner 2018). As shown in figure 2(b), the relative contribution of large scales to the intensity of wall-shear stress fluctuations, \(\overline{\tau_w^2}\), increases nominally as \(\ln(Re_{\tau})\), from 8% at \(Re_{\tau} \approx 10^3\) to about 35% at \(Re_{\tau} \approx 10^6\). Therefore, at the high Reynolds numbers considered in the present study \((Re_{\tau} \sim 10^6)\), the outer-scaled contribution is significant, at 18–20% of the total \(\overline{\tau_w^2}\).
1.2. Present study and outline

In this paper (Part 2) we present experiments to examine streamwise travelling waves of spanwise oscillations as a potential high-Reynolds-number flow control strategy. We focus only on streamwise travelling waves of oscillations that move in the upstream direction as they have been shown to yield consistent DR compared with downstream travelling waves at low Reynolds numbers (Quadrio et al. 2009). The combination of the high-Reynolds-number boundary layer wind tunnel facility at the University of Melbourne and a custom-made surface actuation test bed (SATB) (Marusic et al. 2021) allows us to study the actuation for a range of parameters \( A^+, T^+_{osc}, \kappa_x^+ \) in the ISA \( T^+_{osc} \lesssim 350 \) and OSA \( T^+_{osc} \gtrsim 350 \) regimes, over the range of friction Reynolds numbers \( 4500 \leq Re_\tau \leq 15000 \).

We use multiple experimental techniques (§ 2), including hot-wire anemometry, a drag balance and stereoscopic particle image velocimetry (PIV) to (i) measure changes in skin-friction drag due to the wall actuation and investigate their energy efficiency, under both ISA and OSA pathways (§§ 3.1 and 3.2), and (ii) examine how the wall actuation affects turbulence statistics and the scale-specific turbulence for a range of wall heights (§§ 3.3–3.5). We specifically focus on the modification of turbulence in the logarithmic region of the boundary layer as this is the major contributor to the bulk turbulence production at high Reynolds numbers (Marusic, Mathis & Hutchins 2010a; Smits et al. 2011).

2. Experimental techniques

The experiments were conducted in zero pressure gradient boundary layers in the high-Reynolds-number boundary layer wind tunnel facility (Marusic et al. 2015) at the University of Melbourne. The wind tunnel has a working section of 27 m length and a cross-section of 1.89 m \times 0.92 m (width \times height). All experiments were conducted at a streamwise location of \( x \approx 21 \) m, where the boundary layer attains a thickness of \( \delta \approx 0.38 \) m. Here, \( \delta \) is computed by fitting the mean velocity profile to the composite profile of Chauhan, Monkewitz & Nagib (2009). The composite profile is an analytical fit of the mean streamwise velocity profile, valid throughout the boundary layer from the wall to the free stream. The analytical fit consists of the ‘inner’ profile model of Musker (1979) with an additive ‘wake function’ proposed by Chauhan et al. (2009) based on high-Reynolds-number experiments. Therefore, \( \delta \) obtained from the composite profile is a theoretical construct to indicate the wall location where \( U = U_\infty \) (Monkewitz, Chauhan & Nagib 2007). For the current data set, we found \( \delta \) to be approximately \( 1.26 \times \delta_{99} \), where \( \delta_{99} \) is the wall-normal location where the mean streamwise velocity is 99% of the free-stream velocity. (We however note the ambiguity in accurately measuring \( \delta_{99} \) and, therefore, its associated uncertainty (Pirozzoli & Smits 2023).) By varying the free-stream velocities between \( 5 \) m s\(^{-1} \leq U_\infty \leq 20 \) m s\(^{-1} \), friction Reynolds numbers in the range \( 4500 \leq Re_\tau \leq 15000 \) were achieved (see table 1).

2.1. Surface actuation test bed

The streamwise travelling waves of spanwise velocity, as defined by (1.1), were implemented in the experiments using a SATB. The SATB was custom designed for high-Reynolds-number turbulent boundary layers to actuate in both the ISA and OSA regimes, and it measures 2.4 m \times 0.7 m, as illustrated in figure 3. It comprises of a series of 48, 50 mm wide slats that oscillate in the spanwise direction in a synchronous
Table 1. Summary of experimental parameters. Details of the flow conditions in experiments along with the actuation parameters adopted in the study. The experimental techniques include hot-wire anemometry (HW), drag balance (DB) and stereoscopic PIV. Here, \(U_\infty\) is the free-stream velocity and \(Re_\theta = \theta U_\infty / \nu\) is the Reynolds number based on momentum thickness (\(\theta\)). The \(Re_\tau\) and \(Re_\theta\) values mentioned here are for the reference non-actuated conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>(Re_\tau)</th>
<th>(Re_\theta)</th>
<th>(U_\infty) ((m , s^{-1}))</th>
<th>(\delta) ((m))</th>
<th>(f) ((Hz))</th>
<th>(A) ((m , s^{-1}))</th>
<th>(\kappa_s) ((l/m))</th>
<th>(T_{\infty}^+)</th>
<th>(A^+)</th>
<th>(\kappa_s^+)</th>
<th>DR (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HW, PIV</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>11 750</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>11 750</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW, PIV</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>11 750</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>11 750</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>11 750</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW, PIV</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>15 700</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>15 700</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW, DB, PIV</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>15 700</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>15 700</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW, DB, PIV</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>15 700</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW</td>
<td>9700</td>
<td>25 200</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>1812</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW</td>
<td>9700</td>
<td>25 200</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW, DB</td>
<td>9700</td>
<td>25 200</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW</td>
<td>9700</td>
<td>25 200</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW, DB</td>
<td>9700</td>
<td>25 200</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DB</td>
<td>12 800</td>
<td>32 500</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DB</td>
<td>12 800</td>
<td>32 500</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DB</td>
<td>15 000</td>
<td>38 000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.00046</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Floating element (3 m × 1 m)

Discretized (upstream) travelling wave

SATB (2.4 m × 0.7 m)

\[ \lambda = \frac{2\pi}{\kappa_x} \]

\[ f_{osc} = \frac{1}{T_{osc}} \]

Figure 3. Schematic of the SATB installed in the Melbourne wind tunnel facility. The SATB comprises of four independently controllable machines (highlighted by different colours) whose synchronous operation generates a discrete facsimile of a long streamwise travelling wave with a total fetch of 8\( \lambda (\approx 2.4 \text{ m}) \).

manner to produce a streamwise travelling, sinusoidal wave that underlies the turbulent boundary layer. Spanwise oscillations within a frequency range \( 5 \text{ Hz} \leq f_{osc} \leq 25 \text{ Hz} \) were achieved with peak amplitudes (velocities) of actuation equivalent to \( A = 2\pi f_{osc} d \), where \( d = 18 \text{ mm} \) being the fixed half-stroke length. As shown in figure 3, the streamwise travelling wave is discretized such that six slats constitute a fixed streamwise wavelength with \( \lambda = 0.3 \text{ m} \). This level of discretization, though necessary from a practical standpoint, creates a more complex boundary condition with broader spectral content than \( (1.1) \) in both the upstream and downstream directions (Auteri et al. 2010). At this level of discretization, the mean absolute error of the surface velocities from an ideal sinusoid is approximately 0.17\( A \), with the edges of the slats experiencing the largest differences, up to a maximum deviation of 0.11\( A \). Furthermore, while this discretization introduces higher wavenumber sinc harmonics of low amplitudes (Auteri et al. 2010), we expect their contribution to be limited for the actuation range considered in the experiments. This is validated by a good agreement between the experimental (discrete waveform) and LES (continuous waveform) data at matched conditions, as discussed in § 3.

As highlighted by different colours in the schematic, the SATB was driven by four independently controllable machines, and their selective, synchronous operation enabled a variable streamwise fetch of actuation \( (l_{act}) \) of \( 2\lambda \leq l_{act} \leq 8\lambda \), at \( 2\lambda \) increments. Photographs of one of the four independently controllable machines and that of the phase synchronised assembly of four machines inside the wind tunnel are provided in Appendix B. Marusic et al. (2021) provides further details regarding the precision and tolerance associated with the SATB fabrication, together with a supplementary video of its operation in the wind tunnel.

2.2. Floating element drag balance

The SATB is flush mounted on a large-scale floating element drag balance assembly (see figure 3) that is installed in the bottom wall of the wind tunnel, between 19.5 and 22.5 m downstream of the trip. The floating element has an exposed surface area of \( 3 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m} \) (Baars et al. 2016). Due to the long streamwise development length of the boundary layer \( (x \approx 21 \text{ m}) \), the boundary layer thickness \( \delta \), and therefore \( Re_\tau \), varies only marginally (within 4%: Talluru 2013) along the 3 m length of the drag balance for all Reynolds numbers considered here. Therefore, for all cases considered here, we think it is reasonable to treat the boundary layer to be fully developed and its properties (including
the skin-friction coefficient) to not vary spatially or temporally along the length of the actuator.

Compressed air at 10 bar is supplied through four air bearing assemblies to enable a nominally frictionless streamwise movement while any spanwise movements are arrested by additional air bearings. A single-beam load cell with a full-scale range of 6 N (0.06 % accuracy of full scale) constrains the streamwise displacement of the floating element and thereby measures directly the area-averaged skin-friction drag force \( F_w \) on the total exposed surface of the floating element. \textit{In-situ} calibrations of the load cell with known weights were performed immediately before and after the drag measurements, and the calibrations were performed separately for the actuated and non-actuated cases to account for any preload induced by the mechanical operation of the actuator.

The effective DR is therefore estimated according to

\[
DR = \frac{(1 - F_w/F_{w0})/Aratio}{2.1}
\]

where \( F_{w0} \) and \( F_w \) are the time-averaged drag forces measured by the load cell for the non-actuated and actuated cases, respectively. The ratio of the actuated area to the total area of the floating element is given by \( Aratio = 0.53 \), which includes about 8 % of the floating element surface located immediately downstream of the SATB where latent DR effects were present (see figure 3). However, any latent drag modification on the floating element surface on either sides of the SATB (along the spanwise direction) is neglected and we expect this to not be significant enough since the area-averaged DR measurements from the drag balance are found to be consistent with both hot-wire and LES data (see § 3).

A sample time series of \( F_{w0} \) (black) and \( F_w \) (blue) is shown in figure 4 (a) for a case with DR = 27 %. In all measurements, the signal from the load cell was sampled for at least 60 seconds at 1000 Hz and the drag measurements were repeated at least three times for both the non-actuated and actuated conditions. About 98 % of the repeated drag measurements were within \( \pm 0.01 \) N of the mean, which resulted in a maximum uncertainty of \( \pm 4 \% \) in DR(%), as indicated by error bars in the later plots.

2.3. Hot-wire anemometry

Local wall-shear stress measurements were obtained using hot-wire anemometry for \( 4500 \leq Re_{\tau} \leq 9700 \). The hot-wire sensors had a diameter and length of \( d_{HW} = 2.5 \mu m \) and \( l_{HW} = 0.5 \) mm, respectively, so that \( l_{HW}/d_{HW} = 200 \) and \( 5.7 \leq l^+_{HW} \leq 12 \) (Hutchins et al. 2009; Smits 2022) across the \( Re_{\tau} \) range. The sensors were operated using a Melbourne University constant temperature anemometer (MUCTA) at an overheat ratio of 1.8 and a resultant frequency response of 20 kHz. The hot-wire probes were calibrated \textit{in situ} in the wind tunnel free stream at 15 different velocities and subsequently fitted with a third-order polynomial.

The hot-wire measurements were performed in the nominally linear velocity region \( (U^* = y^*) \) within the viscous sublayer. The instantaneous wall-shear stress is proportional to the instantaneous velocity gradient at the wall, and within the linear region this velocity gradient can be approximated to within a few percent error by measuring the streamwise velocity at a single wall height (Hutchins & Choi 2002). A sample time trace of this deduced wall stress is shown in figure 4(b). In addition, for measurements at a constant height above the stationary and actuated walls,

\[
DR = 1 - \frac{\bar{\tau}_w}{\bar{\tau}_{w0}} = 1 - \frac{U}{U_0}.
\]
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Figure 4. (a) Sample time series of drag measured by the load cell in the drag balance at $Re_\tau = 6000$ for the non-actuated and an actuated case ($A^+ = 12$, $T_{osc}^+ = 140$, $\kappa_0^+ = 0.0014$) as shown in solid black and blue lines, respectively. The dashed lines denote the time-averaged mean of the respective time signals. (b) Sample time-series measurements of wall-shear stress $\tau_w$ obtained using hot wires, for the cases given in (a). (c) Sample mean velocity distributions for the non-actuated and two actuated cases at $Re_\tau = 6000$ obtained using hot wires. Left panel shows profiles of the dimensional mean velocity $U$. Right panel shows the same profiles non-dimensionalized using the actual friction velocity ($U^* = U/\tau_w$). The grey-shaded region highlights the ‘useful linear region’ for DR measurements over SATB.

Figure 4(c) shows the sample mean velocity from hot-wire data at $Re_\tau = 6000$. In agreement with the observations of Hutchins & Choi (2002), a ‘useful linear region’ was found to exist only for a narrow range of wall heights close to the wall. As highlighted in figure 4(c), this region was identified as $4 \leq y^* \leq 5.5$ over SATB. There, the hot wire is close enough to the wall to be in the linear region while not close enough for the signal to be contaminated by wall-conduction effects that were observed to come into play for $y^* < 4$. Consequently, in all cases, hot-wire data were acquired at two to four wall-normal locations within the useful linear region that corresponded to about $y \approx 400\ \mu\text{m at } Re_\tau = 4500$ and $y \approx 200\ \mu\text{m at } Re_\tau = 9700$. The accuracy of positioning the hot wires at such close proximity to the wall was determined by the linear optical encoder (RENSHAW RGH24-type, ±0.5 $\mu\text{m accuracy) within the stepper motor-driven vertical traverse, supplemented by a depth measuring displacement microscope (Titan Tool.}
Supply, ±1 μm accuracy). The practical challenges associated with identifying the useful linear region restricted the hot-wire measurements to $Re_τ \leq 9700$.

The signals were low-pass filtered using an eight-pole Butterworth filter (Frequency Devices, Inc. model 9002) with the roll-off frequency set at half the sampling frequency to minimize aliasing. The signals were sampled at 40 kHz for $Re_τ = 4500$ and 6000 and 50 kHz for $Re_τ = 9700$. To ensure converged statistics, the signals were sampled for $t = 60–90$ seconds, corresponding to non-dimensional boundary layer turnover times of $tU_∞/δ = 1100–2500$.

Errors in measuring the mean streamwise velocity due to extra cooling from spanwise fluctuations in the Stokes layer can be estimated as

$$\epsilon(y) = \frac{k^2}{2} \frac{\langle w'^2 \rangle}{U^2} = \frac{k^2}{2} \frac{(\langle w'^2_{\text{turb}} \rangle + \langle w'^2_{\text{stokes}} \rangle)}{U^2}. \quad (2.3)$$

(Bruun 1995), where $k \approx 0.2$ is the hot-wire yaw coefficient, $\langle w'^2_{\text{turb}} \rangle$ is the spanwise velocity variance due to turbulence and $\langle w'^2_{\text{stokes}} \rangle$ is the spanwise velocity variance due to the Stokes layer. At $y^+ \approx 5$, where the hot-wire measurements are carried out, $\langle w'^2_{\text{stokes}} \rangle \gg \langle w'^2_{\text{turb}} \rangle$ (see figure 6e in Part 1). Therefore,

$$\epsilon(y) \approx \frac{k^2}{2} \frac{\langle w'^2_{\text{stokes}} \rangle}{U^2}. \quad (2.4)$$

For the range of actuation frequencies and Reynolds numbers encountered in this study, this bias is largest at $Re_τ = 4500$ and $A^+ = 16.3$ where the spanwise velocity variance from the Stokes layer is largest and reaches a maximum of $\epsilon < 1.5\%$ at $y^+ = 5$ and $\epsilon < 3.4\%$ at $y^+ = 4$. These errors are even smaller for the other experimental sets of parameters and decrease for smaller values of $A^+$.

### 2.4. Stereoscopic PIV

A two-camera stereoscopic PIV system was used to measure the three velocity components within a 150 mm × 70 mm spanwise ($y–z$) plane located about the centre of the tunnel (see figure 5). Image pairs were recorded at a constant frequency of 0.5 Hz using two Imperx GEV-B6620 CCD cameras (6600 × 4400 pixels, 5.5 μm pixel pitch, 12 bits per pixel) equipped with Tamron AF 180 mm lenses at f/8 aperture and Sigma APD 2× teleconverters. The cameras were rotated by ±42° with respect to the laser sheet and Scheimpflug adapters were used to achieve uniform focus across the measurement plane. A series of cylindrical lenses were used to shape the output of a Spectra Physics Quanta Ray double-pulse Nd:Yag laser (532 nm, 400 mJ pulse⁻¹) into a 1.5 mm-thick sheet. Tracer particles of 1–2 μm diameter were generated from a propylene glycol mixture using a fog machine and the tracers were injected upstream of the facility’s flow conditioning section for a homogeneous seeding density at the test section.

The acquired images were ‘dewarped’ onto a common grid and two-component velocity fields were computed independently for each camera through cross-correlation. We used an iterative deformation method (e.g. Astarita & Cardone 2005) with Blackman weighted interrogation regions of size 48 × 48 pixels and an overlap of 75%, resulting in a vector grid spacing of 12 pixels (≈0.25 mm). The three-component velocity fields were finally computed by combining the velocity fields from each camera using the local viewing angles obtained through the calibration procedure. The camera configuration provided two estimates of the vertical velocity component, which were used to reduce the noise contribution to the vertical velocity variance $\langle v'^2 \rangle$ (Cameron et al. 2013).
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Figure 5. A schematic of the two-camera stereoscopic-PIV arrangement for measurements over the SATB. The red dashed lines show the field of view of the arrangement (150 mm × 70 mm) along the spanwise-wall-normal plane.

The cases covered by the PIV measurements at $Re_\tau = 4500$ and 6000 are reported in table 1. A total of 1000 independent velocity fields were captured for each case. The spatial resolution of the PIV data was assessed by comparing the streamwise variance distributions obtained for the non-actuated cases with the hot-wire data from Marusic et al. (2015) at matched $Re_\tau$ (see figure 11). Although PIV experiments were also carried out at $Re_\tau = 9700$, the data were found to have insufficient spatial resolution for the current investigation and are therefore not included in the paper.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Drag reduction and NPS

For a statistically stationary, streamwise homogeneous flow, the averaged wall-shear stress depends on the following parameters:

$$\frac{\tau_w}{\rho} = u_\tau^2 = g_1(U_\infty, \delta, \nu, \kappa_x, T_{osc}, A).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

Dimensional analysis thereby yields

$$DR = g_2(\kappa_x^{+}, T_{osc}^{+}, A^{+}, Re_\tau).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

(This is the same as (1.3) in Part 1.) For the SATB, $A^{+} = (2\pi/T_{osc}^{+})(d/\delta)Re_\tau$ and $\kappa_x^{+} = (2\pi/Re_\tau)(\delta/\lambda)$, where $d/\delta$ and $\delta/\lambda$ are approximately constant as $\delta = 0.38 \pm 0.01$ m across all measurements. Therefore, $A^{+} \propto Re_\tau/T_{osc}^{+}$ and $\kappa_x^{+} \propto 1/Re_\tau$. Consequently, $Re_\tau$ could not be varied independent of the parameters of actuation ($A^{+}, T_{osc}^{+}, \kappa_x^{+}$). Similarly, at a particular $Re_\tau$, one actuation parameter could not be varied independently of the others. Therefore, in our experiments, based on the above relationship between $A^{+}, T_{osc}^{+}$ and $Re_\tau$, (3.2) simplifies to

$$DR = g_3(T_{osc}^{+}, Re_\tau) \text{ or }$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3a)

$$DR \approx g_4(A^{+}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3b)

Figure 6 shows how the measured DR and NPS depend on $T_{osc}^{+}$ and $A^{+}$. Here, NPS is computed using the generalized Stokes layer (GSL) theory (Quadrio & Ricco 2011; Gatti & Quadrio 2013), NPS being the difference between DR and the net power required.
Figure 6. Plots of the DR and NPS as functions of $T_{+}^{osc}$ and $A^{+}$ for $Re_{\tau}$ ranging from 4500 to 12800. The green shaded regions correspond to NPS > 0. The circles and triangles represent the hot-wire data and drag-balance data, respectively. The cross indicates an LES data point at $Re_{\tau} = 6000$ at matched actuation conditions. The error bars indicate one standard deviation uncertainty ranges.

to move the flow sideways, as in (1.1), to generate the Stokes layer. In other words, it is the net input power required by an ‘ideal’ actuation system to implement (1.1), i.e. neglecting any mechanical losses. Refer to § 3.6 in Part 1 for further details of how net input power is computed using the GSL theory and its validation with respect to LES data. Specifically, figure 10(b) in Part 1 shows that for the low-wavenumber, low-frequency (long-time period) actuation range, GSL over-predicts the input power for actuation when compared with LES. A similar observation was also made by Touber & Leschziner (2012) for long-time period actuation where a non-negligible phase modulation of the stochastic spanwise–wall-normal stress tensor due to the periodic forcing was reported. Therefore, we note that GSL could plausibly serve as an underestimate for the idealized NPS, especially for the OSA cases.

The results demonstrate that at $Re_{\tau} = 4500$ a peak DR ≈ 24% is achieved with ISA at $T_{+}^{osc} \approx 100$ and $A^{+} \approx 13$. The time scale of this actuation corresponds to the time scale of the near-wall streaks that contribute to the peak in figure 2. However, by factoring in the power input for the actuation, this ISA case actually incurs negative NPS of −40% (i.e. a net power cost). We see that for most cases in the ISA regime, NPS is negative, with the loss increasing sharply for $T_{+}^{osc} < 100$. In contrast, when the time period of actuation is increased beyond $T_{+}^{osc} \gtrsim 350$ to target the OSA pathway, positive NPS of +7% are achieved with a moderate DR = 9%. A similar trend is observed as $Re_{\tau}$ is increased to 6000 and 9700, where OSA consistently results in positive NPS in the range 4% ≤ NPS ≤ 9% for corresponding DRs in the range 17% ≥ DR ≥ 5%. It is important
to note that the positive NPS are achieved here with relatively modest amplitudes, \(1.5 \leq A^+ \leq 7.8\). Furthermore, here we note that for matched actuation parameters (see table 1), the DR measured through hot wire (circles) and drag balance (triangles) show good agreement with any difference being within the experimental uncertainty. The LES reference point at \(Re_t = 6000\) (reported in Part 1) for matched actuation parameters also agrees well with the data obtained from hot-wire and drag-balance measurements.

At higher Reynolds number, the energy efficiency offered by the OSA pathway improves further. This was discussed in detail by Marusic et al. (2021) who showed, from LES and experiments at fixed dimensionless actuation parameters, that DR and NPS increase with increasing Reynolds numbers. This trend was opposite to the predictions of the low-Reynolds-number based model of Gatti & Quadrio (2016), which predicted little or no DR under the OSA conditions (figure 3e in Marusic et al. 2021). Even though the exact mechanism behind this trend of DR increasing with Reynolds number was not discussed, this trend for the OSA pathway was attributed to the contribution of large, outer-scaled motions to the wall-shear stress, which while carrying very little energy at low Reynolds numbers (\(Re_t \approx 10^3\)) becomes significant at high Reynolds numbers (refer to § 1.1). For example, at \(Re_t = 12800\) the OSA pathway results in NPS = 8.7% and 7%, corresponding to DR = 13.3% and 8.3%, respectively. At the highest Reynolds number achieved here, \(Re_t = 15000\), a very low-amplitude (\(A^+ = 2.6\)) low-frequency (\(T_{osc} = 1975\)), low-power actuation yielded NPS = 4% even with a relatively low amount of DR, DR = 4.6%.

The complete DR and NPS results are presented in figure 7, along with select LES data points from Part 1. Figure 7(a) shows the distribution of DR as a function of \(T_{osc}^+\) and \(Re_t\), as given by (3.3a). Again, we see that the experimental data agree well with the two LES data points at similar operating conditions, i.e. at \(Re_t \approx 4500\), \(T_{osc}^+ \approx 100\) and at \(Re_t = 6000\), \(T_{osc}^+ = 140\). Our data show that DR varies only moderately with Reynolds number under both ISA and OSA strategies, for the range investigated. However, as noted earlier, in our experiments, as \(T_{osc}^+\) increases, \(A^+\) decreases and, therefore, limits \(A^+\) to relatively smaller values at high Reynolds numbers (see table 1).

Figure 7(a) shows that the DR peaks at DR \(\approx 25\%\) at \(T_{osc}^+ \approx 100\) in the ISA regime, beyond which DR decreases approximately semi-logarithmically with increasing \(T_{osc}^+\). The DR in LES decreases by a lesser extent than in the experiments because \(A^+\) in LES is fixed at 12, while in the experiments it decreases from about 12 at \(T_{osc}^+ \approx 100\) to 2.6 at \(T_{osc}^+ = 1975\). However, these high-frequency ISA actuations mostly yield negative NPS, as shown in figure 7(c), with NPS decreasing rapidly as \(T_{osc}^+\) falls below 100. The NPS is found to be slightly positive (up to +4%) for two data points at \(T_{osc}^+ \approx 200\). In contrast, the OSA pathway consistently yields positive NPS in the range 5–10% even with a moderate DR of 5–15%.

The variation of DR and NPS with \(A^+\) is shown in figures 7(b,d). For the current actuation system, DR when expressed as a function of \(A^+\) is nearly independent of Reynolds number (3.3b). The results support this conclusion, in that for a particular \(A^+\), DR obtained at various \(Re_t\) collapse well. Furthermore, DR increases almost linearly with \(A^+\) up to \(A^+ \approx 12\), beyond which it appears to saturate. Although the value of \(A^+\) to achieve maximum DR appears to be \(\geq 12\), the plot of NPS in figure 7(d) suggests lower amplitudes of actuation (\(A^+ \lesssim 7\)) are necessary to yield positive NPS. These lower amplitudes correspond to larger time periods of actuation as \(A^+ \propto Re_t/T_{osc}^+\) in
our experiments. We believe these trends demonstrate the potential of an OSA pathway, with its low-frequency, low-amplitude actuation strategy, for energy efficient DR at high Reynolds numbers.

3.2. Spatial modification of turbulent drag and its recovery

The variation of the DR with downstream distance, obtained using hot-wire anemometry, are shown in figure 8(a) for two ISA cases. The majority (85%) of the net DR is achieved within $l_{act} \approx 0.1$ m, which corresponds to $l_{act}/\lambda = 0.33$ (or $l_{act}/\delta = 0.25$), where $l_{act}$ is the length of actuation. In viscous units this is equivalent to $l_{act}^{+} \approx 1500$ and, therefore, 50% greater than the nominal length of the near-wall streaks. This trend of rapidly rising DR with actuation length agrees with the observations from the temporal wall-oscillation studies of Ricco & Wu (2004) and Skote, Mishra & Wu (2019), as well as with similar observations from the standing-wave wall oscillations of Skote (2011). However, the localised DR is observed to saturate by $l_{act} = 2\lambda = 0.6$ m, beyond which the DR is almost constant with DR $\approx 16\%$ for $T_{osc}^{+} = 232$ and DR $\approx 24\%$ for $T_{osc}^{+} = 140$. The wall-shear stress spectra also collapse for $0.075$ m $\leq l_{act} \leq 2.325$ m (see figure 8b), which suggests that in addition to the time-averaged DR, the broadband time scales of turbulent fluctuations also saturate within $l_{act} \approx 0.1$ m. Therefore, all hot-wire data that were used to compute DR (§ 3.1) were acquired with an actuation length of at least $l_{act} = 2\lambda$. 

Figure 7. Plots of the DR and NPS versus $T_{osc}^{+}$ and $A^{+}$ across the full range of Reynolds numbers and actuation parameters. The LES results are from Part 1.
3.3. Mean velocity

The profiles of mean streamwise velocity obtained from the stereo-PIV measurements are shown in figure 9. Here, the mean velocity profiles are obtained by averaging across the spanwise direction and time. The stereo-PIV data are acquired over the actuated wall and with a streamwise actuation length of $l_{\text{act}} = 4\lambda$, where the modified skin friction due to

Similarly, the recovery of skin-friction drag downstream of the actuator is very rapid. For the $T^+_{\text{osc}} = 232$ case shown in figures 8(a) and 8(c), a complete recovery of both mean drag and the spectra of wall stress is observed within 0.2 m ($\approx 3000$ viscous units) downstream of the actuator. This recovery length is similar to that observed by Ricco & Wu (2004) and Skote et al. (2019) for $T^+_{\text{osc}} = 67$ in the ISA regime.

The spatial transients could not be investigated for OSA. It was not possible to achieve a matched $A^+$ ($\approx 10$) for OSA at similar $Re_\tau$, due to the limitations of the current experimental set-up. We consider this to be an important subject for a future study.

The profiles of mean streamwise velocity obtained from the stereo-PIV measurements are shown in figure 9. Here, the mean velocity profiles are obtained by averaging across the spanwise direction and time. The stereo-PIV data are acquired over the actuated wall and with a streamwise actuation length of $l_{\text{act}} = 4\lambda$, where the modified skin friction due to
the actuation was found to be invariant with downstream distance (see § 3.2). As displayed in figure 9(a), the dimensional mean velocity reduces systematically near the wall for the drag-reduced cases, consistent with previous studies on streamwise travelling waves (Gatti & Quadrio 2016; Bird et al. 2018).

In figure 9(b,e) the velocity profiles are normalized with $u_τ$, i.e. $U^* = U/u_τ$ and $y^* = yu_τ/ν$, where the local $u_τ$ is estimated by fitting the PIV sublayer profiles to $U^* = y^*$ (the values matched the hot-wire values to within 3%). As a consequence, the normalized velocity profiles are forced to agree near the wall. In the outer region, however, the profiles for the drag-reduced cases are shifted upwards systematically, with the shift proportional to the amount of DR. These shifted profiles have a nominally constant slope of $κ^{-1}$ for all cases considered here. Skote (2014) reported that the slope of the mean velocity profile varies as $(κ√(1-DR))^{-1}$ in the initial non-equilibrium state and subsequently reverts back to $κ^{-1}$ when the whole of the boundary layer has adjusted to the wall actuation and, hence, reached an equilibrium state. This is further verified in figure 9(c,f) using a log-law diagnostic function, $y^* dU^*/dy^*$ (Nagib, Chauhan & Monkewitz 2007; Skote et al. 2019). The profiles of both non-actuated and actuated cases collapse in the logarithmic region.
3.4. Turbulence statistics

Figure 10 show sample snapshots of the fluctuating streamwise velocity from the PIV measurements. For the non-actuated case, we see the alternating regions of positive and negative velocity fluctuations (red and blue, respectively) that are characteristic of the near-wall streaks. These well-documented streaks are centered around \( y^* = 15 \) with a mean spanwise separation of about 100 viscous units, as seen in the figure. For the actuated cases, both ISA and OSA, the near-wall streaks are clearly weakened, and might be taken as a symptom of reduced drag. This effect of the actuation on the near-wall turbulence was discussed in Part 1, and with respect to the LES data shown earlier in figure 1. We note that figure 10(a–c) are only sample snapshots of the flow, provided here to visualize how the actuation affect the instantaneous flow structures near the wall. In order to quantify this effect of actuation on the turbulent fluctuations, we compute the mean turbulence statistics.

Figure 11 shows the corresponding Reynolds stress statistics from the PIV experiments, averaged across both the spanwise direction and time. These statistics are often scaled either with the reference non-actuated \( u_{\tau 0} \) (‘+’ superscript) or with the actual \( u_{\tau} \) (‘*’ superscript). Scaling with \( u_{\tau 0} \) highlights the absolute response of the boundary layer to the wall actuation (Laadhari, Skandaji & Morel 1994; Baron & Quadrio 1995; Ricco & Wu 2004; Touber & Leschziner 2012; Agostini, Touber & Leschziner 2014), while scaling with the actual \( u_{\tau} \) tests the universal nature of these drag-modified turbulence statistics (Baron & Quadrio 1995; Choi 2002; Quadrio & Ricco 2011; Touber & Leschziner 2012;
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Figure 11. (a–c) Normal stresses and (d) Reynolds shear stress for the non-actuated and actuated cases at $Re_\tau = 4500$ and 6000. The axes are normalized using the reference $u_\tau$. The grey-shaded region refers to the logarithmic region. The profiles of $\langle w'^2 \rangle^+$ are plotted in a log-log scale in (b) to highlight the extent of its near-wall amplification due to the Stokes layer (as represented in Part 1). The $\langle u'^2 \rangle^+$ profiles from the hot-wire measurements of Marusic et al. (2015) at matched $Re_\tau$ are included in (a) as reference for the non-actuated cases and to highlight the spatial resolution of PIV data.

As shown in figure 11(a–d), all components of the Reynolds stress are affected by the actuation, with the strongest attenuation observed for the highest drag-reducing case. In particular, the peak in $\langle u'^2 \rangle^+$ is increasingly attenuated and shifted to higher $y^+$ as DR increases, in accord with previous studies at lower $Re_\tau$ (Jung et al. 1992; Choi & Clayton 2001; Ricco & Wu 2004; Quadrio & Ricco 2011; Touber & Leschziner 2012; Skote 2013; Gatti & Quadrio 2016). Section 3.3 in Part 1 presents a detailed discussion on these different scaling choices and their key differences. Here, in Part 2, our priority is to understand the extent of the high-Reynolds-number boundary layer that is impacted by the current wall-actuation strategy, in an absolute sense. We find this especially interesting as high-Reynolds-number boundary layers are characterized by the large separation of scales and strong inner-outer interactions. Therefore, here we choose $u_\tau$ to normalize the turbulence statistics. However, for reference, a plot of turbulent stresses normalized by the actual $u_\tau$ is provided in Appendix A.

As shown in figure 11(a–d), all components of the Reynolds stress are affected by the actuation, with the strongest attenuation observed for the highest drag-reducing case. In particular, the peak in $\langle u'^2 \rangle^+$ is increasingly attenuated and shifted to higher $y^+$ as DR increases, in accord with previous studies at lower $Re_\tau$ (Jung et al. 1992; Choi & Clayton 2001; Ricco & Wu 2004; Quadrio & Ricco 2011; Touber & Leschziner 2012; Skote 2013 etc.). Similarly, significant attenuation is observed in the profiles of $\langle v'^2 \rangle^+$ and $-\langle u'v' \rangle^+$, indicating a reduced momentum flux towards the wall (Ricco et al. 2021). For $\langle w'^2 \rangle^+$, however, the periodic oscillations of the Stokes layer produce a sharp increase near the wall ($y^+ \lesssim 25$). These trends in the Reynolds stresses are consistent with the discussion in Part 1 (§ 3.3) where we also subsequently decomposed $\langle w'^2 \rangle^+$ into the phase-averaged and stochastic components, and investigated the interaction between the Stokes layer and background turbulence. A similar analysis could not be performed with the PIV data as
3.5. Energy spectra

The spanwise–wall-normal PIV fields were used to compute the spanwise-premultiplied energy spectra of the streamwise velocity component, \( k_z^+ \phi_{uu}^+ \), and the co-spectra, \( k_z^+ \phi_{-uv}^+ \), as a function of spanwise wavelength (\( \lambda_z \)) and wall height (\( y^+ \)). Here, \( k_z^+ \phi_{uu}^+ = k_z \phi_{uu}/u_{\tau_0}^2 \) and \( k_z^+ \phi_{-uv}^+ = k_z \phi_{-uv}/u_{\tau_0}^2 \). The streamwise turbulence intensity \( \langle u'^2 \rangle^+ \) and the Reynolds
shear stress $-\langle u'v' \rangle$ are the integral of $k_+^+ \phi_{uu}$ and $k_+^+ \phi_{uv}$, respectively, as given by

$$\langle u'^2 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k_+^+ \phi_{uu} d(\ln \lambda_z^+) \quad \text{and} \quad -\langle u'v' \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k_+^+ \phi_{uv} d(\ln \lambda_z^+). \quad (3.4a,b)$$

The energy spectra allow us to study the scale-specific response to the wall actuation, revealing the range of scales that contribute to the attenuation of turbulence intensities observed in §3.4.

The spectrograms of $k_+^+ \phi_{uu}$ are displayed in the left-hand panels of figure 13. For the non-actuated cases, the spectrograms show the expected near-wall peak at $y^+ \approx 15$ and $\lambda_z^+ \approx 100$ of the near-wall cycle. The spectrograms also show the emergence of a secondary peak in the logarithmic region, typically observed at high Reynolds numbers (Hutchins & Marusic 2007a; Mathis, Hutchins & Marusic 2009; Lee & Moser 2015; Vallikivi, Ganapathisubramani & Smits 2015; Samie et al. 2018). With actuation, the near-wall peak is attenuated and its location shifts to slightly higher wall heights, corresponding with the shift in the peak in $\langle u'^2 \rangle$ seen earlier (figure 11). A similar trend was observed in Part 1 in the spectrograms of streamwise velocity at $Re_\tau = 4000$, and by Gatti et al. (2018) at lower Reynolds numbers.

To highlight changes due to actuation, the spectrograms from the actuated cases are subtracted from the corresponding non-actuated spectrograms and plotted in the middle panels in figure 13. The red-shaded contours therefore indicate energy attenuation. We see that the actuation results in the attenuation of a broad range of turbulent scales and importantly, that the effect of actuation at the wall is also felt by the ISA and OSA cases, in both the ISA and OSA cases. To investigate this further, we compute the co-spectra, $k_+^+ \phi_{uv}$, as shown in figure 14. The wall actuation attenuates the energy of the spectral peaks while their wall-normal locations are shifted to slightly higher wall heights. These trends support the notion that the actuation reduces the momentum transfer to the wall (Luchini 1996; Gatti et al. 2018). As in figure 13, when the difference co-spectra are divided by $A^+$ (rightmost panels), the level and the range of scales attenuated look more similar across the different cases at a particular $Re_\tau$. If this conjecture of $A^+$ scaling holds, then at matched amplitudes ISA and OSA could potentially have a similar impact on the range of turbulent scales attenuated. This would make the low-frequency OSA a good deal more attractive due to its significantly lower input power requirements compared with ISA. However, testing this conjecture would require varying $A^+$ independent of $T_{osc}$, which is not possible with the current actuation system.

The $u$ spectra and $uv$ co-spectra results indicate that a broad range of turbulent scales are affected, in both the ISA and OSA cases. To investigate this further, we compute the spectra of wall-shear stress fluctuations obtained using hot-wire measurements. Figure 15 shows the difference between the actuated and the corresponding non-actuated cases. The negative regions represent the scales where energy is attenuated. The actuation time scales are highlighted by the red line. Regardless of the time scale of actuation, the effect of actuation is not localised to $T^+ = T_{osc}^+$ but it is felt across a broad range of scales.
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Figure 13. Premultiplied spectrograms of streamwise velocity $k_z^+ \phi_{uu}^+$, computed from PIV data, as functions of spanwise wavelength ($\lambda_z^+$) and wall height. The left panels show the spectra for the non-actuated (red) and actuated (black) contour conditions. The middle panels show the difference between the non-actuated and actuated spectra (the red-shaded contours indicate energy attenuation), and the rightmost panels show the difference normalized by the respective amplitude of actuation $A^+$. Here, the spectra and the axes are normalized using the reference $u_{\tau_0}$.
Figure 14. Premultiplied co-spectra $k_+^+\phi^-_{uv}$, computed with the PIV data, as functions of spanwise wavelength ($\lambda_z^+$) and wall height. Here, the spectra and the axes are normalized using the reference $u_0$. The figure is panelized as in figure 13.
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Figure 15. Difference between the actuated and the corresponding non-actuated (reference) $\tau_w$ spectra at $Re_\tau = 6000$ (ISA with $T^{+}_{\text{osc}} \lesssim 350$) and $Re_\tau = 9700$ (OSA with $T^{+}_{\text{osc}} \gtrsim 350$). The negative regions represent the energy attenuated due to the actuation, and vice versa. The reference $u_{\tau_0}$ is used for the normalization. The plots demonstrate that ISA and OSA both affect a broad range of scales.

That is, the ISA strategy targeting the near-wall motions with $T^{+}_{\text{osc}} = 140$ reduces the turbulent energy in the scale range $20 \lesssim T^{+} \lesssim 10^3$. Similarly, an OSA strategy targeting the larger, outer-scale motions with $T^{+}_{\text{osc}} = 906$ significantly impacts the small, near-wall motions at $T^{+} \approx 100$.

These observations suggest a complex inter-scale interaction between the (viscous) inner-scaled and the (inertial) outer-scaled motions. In a recent study, Deshpande et al. (2022) used the present experimental dataset to investigate this inter-scale interaction as a plausible mechanism for achieving energy-efficient DR. Their analysis revealed that, for both ISA and OSA pathways, an increase in DR is associated with an enhanced coupling between the inner and outer scales. This coupling is reflected in the inter-scale phase relationship, wherein the inner and outer scales are found to be more ‘in phase’ with increasing DR. At higher Reynolds numbers, when the attached eddies and very-large-scale motions emerge and contribute meaningfully to the drag composition of the flow, this inter-scale coupling is known to be significant (Mathis et al. 2013; Deshpande et al. 2022). The ability to leverage this coupling, combined with its relatively low input power requirements, makes OSA a promising candidate for energy-efficient DR at high Reynolds numbers (Marusic et al. 2021).

4. Summary and conclusions

We have reported on the efficacy of upstream travelling waves of spanwise oscillations as an active DR strategy for high-Reynolds-number turbulent flows. In Part 1 we described a numerical study of this control strategy at $Re_\tau = 950$ and 4000. Here in Part 2 we studied its performance experimentally over a range of Reynolds numbers an order of magnitude...
higher than that previously investigated in the literature. At these high Reynolds numbers, the drag composition is no longer dominated by the high-frequency turbulent motions that are universally encountered very close to the wall, and it includes a significant contribution from the inertial low-frequency motions that are centred in the logarithmic region and have a signature at the wall. The relative contribution of these outer-scaled motions to skin-friction drag increases nominally as \( \ln(Re_\tau) \) and is here found to be about 20% at \( Re_\tau \sim 10^4 \).

Following Marusic et al. (2021), we pursued two pathways to DR: ISA that targets the universal inner-scaled, near-wall features with characteristic time scales of \( T^+ \lesssim 350 \), and OSA that targets the inertial, outer-scaled high-\( Re_\tau \) features with time scales of \( T^+ \gtrsim 350 \).

When the flow is actuated with ISA parameters (\( 81 \lesssim T^+_{osc} \lesssim 348 \) and \( 6.5 \leq A^+ \leq 16.3 \)), we find substantial DR up to a maximum of DR \( \approx 25\% \) at \( Re_\tau = 6000 \). Consistent with Marusic et al. (2021), these results imply that spanwise wall-oscillation strategies designed to target the near-wall structures are effective for reducing drag at higher Reynolds numbers, as predicted by the formulation of Gatti & Quadrio (2016). We note, however, that ISA mostly incurred negative NPS between \( -85\% \leq NPS \leq +4\% \) for the range of parameters evaluated here. On the other hand, following the OSA pathway, characterized by much lower actuation frequencies (\( 362 \leq T^+_{osc} \leq 1975 \)) and relatively low amplitudes (\( 1.5 \leq A^+ \leq 7.8 \)), we demonstrated that considerable DR could still be achieved, contrary to previous predictions (Gatti & Quadrio 2016; Ricco et al. 2021), and with much lower input power. Actuation under OSA reduced drag in the range \( 5\% \leq DR \leq 15\% \) but consistently resulted in positive NPS with \( 5\% \leq NPS \leq 10\% \), across a wide range of Reynolds numbers (\( 4500 \leq Re_\tau \leq 15 \, 000 \)). For the current study, however, the actuation parameters are inter-dependent as \( A^+ \propto Re_\tau/T^+_{osc} \). This limited the large-\( T^+_{osc} \) OSA strategy to relatively lower amplitudes of \( A^+ \leq 7.8 \). The relationship also resulted in DR having a nearly \( Re_\tau \)-independent functional form with \( A^+ \), with DR nominally increasing linearly with \( A^+ \) up to \( A^+ = 12 \).

For the drag-reduced cases, the boundary layer is modified by the thickening of the viscous sublayer as observed in the profiles of mean streamwise velocity and a log-law diagnostic function, \( y^*dU^*/dy^* \). The reduction in mean turbulent drag is accompanied by attenuation of the Reynolds normal and shear stresses and the production of turbulent kinetic energy. Both ISA and OSA impact the boundary layer close to the wall and in the outer region. Although the attenuation is most significant around the near-wall peak (\( y^+ \approx 15 \)), the impact extends up to the end of the logarithmic region. This attenuation of turbulent intensities, under both ISA and OSA pathways, is associated with the attenuation of a broad spectrum of turbulent time scales \( O(10) < T^+ < O(10^3) \), i.e. the low-frequency OSA strategy (\( T^+_{osc} \lesssim 350 \)) reduces drag by also attenuating the high-frequency, near-wall cycle (\( T^+ \approx 100 \)). These findings suggest that inter-scale interactions may play a central role in the ability of OSA to considerably reduce drag at high Reynolds numbers. These inter-scale interactions therefore warrant closer inspection.
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Figure 16. Turbulence statistics normalized using the actual $u_\tau$. (a–c) Normal stresses, (d) Reynolds shear stress and (e) premultiplied turbulence production for the non-actuated and actuated cases at $Re_\tau = 4500$ and 6000. The $\langle u'^2 \rangle^*$ profiles from the hot-wire measurements of Marusic et al. (2015) at matched $Re_\tau$ are included in (a) as reference for the non-actuated cases.
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Appendix A. Turbulence statistics scaled by actual $u_\tau$

In § 3.4, discussion of turbulence statistics computed using the PIV data was based on the statistics being scaled by the reference non-actuated $u_{\tau_0}$ (‘+’ superscript). This scaling highlighted the absolute attenuation in the turbulence statistics for the drag-reduced cases (figures 11 and 12). An alternate ‘inner scaling’ is generally adopted to investigate the degree of universality of the turbulence statistics where the statistics are normalized with the actual $u_\tau$ (‘∗’ superscript). This scaling is shown here as figure 16. Here, we note that $\delta^* = \delta^* \sqrt{1 - DR}$ for the drag-reduced cases would be lower than that of the corresponding non-actuated case. Similarly, the spatial resolution (in terms of $u_\tau$) of the PIV data for the drag-reduced cases would be correspondingly slightly higher than that of the non-actuated case.
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For the prescribed inner scaling, we see from figure 16 that the Reynolds stress profiles of the actuated cases shift closer to the non-actuated profile. For example, the magnitude of the peaks in the profiles of $\langle u'^2 \rangle^*$ appear to be roughly similar, even though still being shifted slightly to higher wall heights. A similar trend was observed with the LES data in Part 1 (see figure 5 in Part 1). However, we do not see the profiles collapsing away
Turbulent drag reduction by spanwise wall forcing experiments

from the wall and towards the logarithmic region (shaded in grey). Instead, they seem to be systematically shifted upwards with increasing DR. This trend could be expected because when scaled by reference $u_\tau$ (figure 11), the profiles were observed to start merging towards the end of the logarithmic region, indicating that the absolute effects of wall actuation were minimal at those higher wall locations. Figure 16(e) shows the premultiplied turbulence production $y^*P^*$ and its trend with DR. This trend is observed to be consistent with similar profiles from the LES as shown in figure 5(f) in Part 1.

Appendix B. Photographs of the SATB

Figure 17(a) shows the photograph of one of the four SATB machines comprising of 12 slats. The phase of each slat is set by the central camshaft and the discretised streamwise travelling wave generated by this machine, of wavelength $2\lambda$, can be seen in the slat displacement at the edge of the machine. Figure 17(b) shows the photograph of the SATB in the University of Melbourne wind tunnel. The four independent SATB machines are phase synchronised to generate a $8\lambda(2.4\text{ m})$ long streamwise travelling wave.
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