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Abstract

The physics of pulsatile rough-wall turbulent pipe flow is investigated using data from direct numerical simulation (DNS). Three geometrically-scaled sinusoidal roughness topographies are considered — the amplitude and wavelength of each surface are systematically varied whilst holding their amplitude-to-wavelength ratio constant. Pulsation is achieved by imposing a time-harmonic axial pressure gradient. The resulting flow-field is triple phase-averaged (in two spatial directions and also in time) which permits a quadruple decomposition of the instantaneous field variables to be invoked. The four components of the quadruple decomposition are: (i) a global-averaged mean component; (ii) a steady roughness-induced fluctuation; (iii) an unsteady pulsation-induced fluctuation and (iv) the remaining turbulent fluctuation. We compare statistics of (i)-(iv) against their non-pulsatile counterpart using past results from related work [1, 2]. Whilst the pulsatile and non-pulsatile data collapse well in the outer region, clear differences are observed in the near-roughness region. In particular, profiles of the mean axial velocity, roughness-induced stress and turbulence-induced stress exhibit consistently lower magnitudes below the roughness crests under pulsatile conditions.

Introduction

Pulsatile rough-wall flows appear regularly in nature and engineering. For example, the fluid dynamic properties of circulatory systems and rotating machinery are both strongly influenced by flow unsteadiness and surface roughness. Pulsating smooth-wall flows have been studied extensively under laminar [12], transitional [13] and fully-turbulent [9] conditions across a wide range of forcing parameters. Roughness effects are also well-documented in the context of steady pressure gradient turbulent flows [3]. In contrast, studies of pulsatile rough-wall turbulent flows remain scarce throughout the literature.

This study focuses on current-dominated (CD) pulsatile rough-wall turbulent pipe flow in the very-high-frequency (VHF) regime. In the absence of roughness, such a flow can be characterised by three non-dimensional parameters: (i) the friction Reynolds number, $Re_f$; (ii) the inner-scaled forcing frequency, $\omega^+$, and (iii) the ratio of the oscillating centre-line velocity to the bulk velocity, $\beta$. Parameters (i)-(iii) are defined here as

\begin{equation}
Re_f = \frac{\nu R}{\lambda}, \quad \omega^+ = \frac{\nu \omega}{\nu^2}, \quad \beta = \frac{U_o}{U_b}
\end{equation}

where $u_t$ is the friction velocity, $R$ is the pipe radius, $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity, $U_o$ is oscillating centre-line velocity and $U_b$ is the bulk velocity.

The CD regime is attained when the ratio of the oscillating centre-line velocity to the bulk velocity is less than one ($\beta < 1$) [6]. The VHF regime is defined by the forcing frequency, $\omega^+$, and the Stokes length, $l_s^+$, which are related through the formula $\omega^+ = 2/l_s^+$. Past studies [7, 9] have identified the onset of the VHF regime for forcing frequencies in excess of $\omega^+ > 0.04$, corresponding to a Stokes length of $l_s^+ \lesssim 7$. Under these conditions, the Stokes boundary-layer is confined to the viscous sublayer and is governed by Womersley’s laminar solution [12], whereas turbulence statistics appear “frozen” and collapse on top of their non-pulsatile counterpart. Whilst the characteristic behaviours of the CD-VHF regime have been widely reported in the context of pulsatile smooth-wall pipe flow, their applicability in the presence of surface roughness remains unclear.

The principal effect of surface roughness is to cause a downward shift in the mean velocity profile, relative to the smooth-wall case. The rise in momentum deficit is quantified via the roughness function, $\Delta U^+$, which appears as an additive constant in the log-law. The magnitude of $\Delta U^+$ is known to be a function of the characteristic roughness height and the roughness topology. For example, the sensitivity of $\Delta U^+$ to the amplitude and wavelength of three-dimensional sinusoidal roughness has been systematically demonstrated for non-pulsatile rough-wall pipe flows [1]. Related work has also compared the relative magnitude of roughness-induced and turbulence-induced stresses for the same sinusoidal roughness topographies under non-pulsatile conditions [2] based on a triple decomposition of the instantaneous flow field using a double-averaging (DA) framework [8]. Such an averaging framework can be naturally extended to pulsating rough-wall flows by absorbing temporal phase-averaging techniques [10] into the DA methodology with the aim of isolating the pulsation-induced, roughness-induced and turbulence-induced fluctuations.

To recap, whilst the flow physics of smooth-wall pulsating pipe flow and rough-wall non-pulsating pipe flow have been investigated in substantial separate detail, studies that focus on their combined effect remain rare. As a result, many fluid dynamic properties of pulsatile rough-wall turbulent pipe flows are unclear. For example, the relative magnitude of pulsation-induced, roughness-induced and turbulence-induced stresses in the context of the CD-VHF regime have yet to be considered in detail.

Objectives of the present study

The principal interest here is to obtain a statistical description of a pulsatile rough-wall turbulent pipe flow in the CD-VHF regime in order to draw a direct comparison against its non-pulsatile counterpart. To this end, the objectives of this study are: (i) establish an averaging framework suitable for the decomposition of pulsatile rough-wall turbulent pipe flows; (ii) evaluate and compare the relative magnitudes of the roughness-induced, pulsation-induced and turbulence-induced stresses across a set of systematically varied roughness topographies and (iii) identify any key differences between the statistical descriptions of the pulsatile and non-pulsatile rough-wall pipe flow configurations. To the best of authors’ knowledge, points (i)-(iii) remain unaddressed in the literature.

Computational setup and simulation parameters

In this work, data from a DNS was used to study incompressible pulsatile turbulent flow through a pipe roughened with three-dimensional sinusoidal elements. A body-fitted mesh was used to explicitly resolve the rough-walls where impermeable, no-
slip boundary conditions were applied. A periodic boundary condition was applied to the ends of the pipe. The Navier-Stokes and continuity equations were solved in Cartesian coordinates on an “O-grid” mesh using code CDP [4]. Further details can be found in past studies related to the current work [1, 2].

Throughout this study, the cylindrical velocity components \( u_r, u_\theta, u_\varphi \) are aligned along their respective axial (\( x \)), radial (\( r \)) and azimuthal (\( \theta \)) coordinates. The pipe has a length of \( L_p = 2\pi R_0 \) where \( R_0 \) is the mean pipe radius. All simulations were conducted at a nominal friction Reynolds number of \( Re_f = 540 \). The maximum viscous-scaled mean grid spacings at the wall in the axial (\( \Delta x_w \)), radial (\( \Delta r_w \)) and azimuthal (\( \Delta \theta_w \)) direction are 4.1, 0.15 and 4.0, respectively.

The roughness topography follows the cosine distribution

\[
R(x, \theta) - R_0 = h \cos \left( \frac{2\pi x}{\lambda_h} \right) \cos \left( \frac{2\pi R_0 \theta}{\lambda_0} \right)
\]

where \( h \) is the roughness semi-amplitude and \( (\lambda_h, \lambda_0) \) are the axial-azimuthal wavelengths. This study focuses on isotropic roughness elements, i.e., \( \lambda_h = \lambda_0 = \lambda \), which were geometrically scaled to a common aspect ratio, \( h/\lambda \). In total, three roughness topographies were considered — details are listed in table 1.

Pulsation is achieved by imposing a time-varying axial pressure gradient, \( \Pi(t) \), which can be written as

\[
\Pi(t) = -\Pi_0 [1 + A \cos(\omega t)]
\]

where \( \Pi_0 \) is the constant mean axial pressure gradient and where \( A \) and \( \omega \) are the amplitude and frequency of the oscillatory pressure gradient component. All simulations were performed with an amplitude ratio \( \beta = 0.06 \) and a forcing frequency of \( \omega^* = 0.0582 \), giving a Stokes length of \( l_s \approx 2.42 \).

**Quadruple decomposition of instantaneous flow-field**

Considering the doubly-periodicity of the roughness topography (equation 2) and time-harmonic pressure gradient (equation 3), any instantaneous field variable, say \( f \), can be phase-averaged (PA) twice in space and once in time. Temporal phase, \( \phi_t \), and axial-azimuthal phase, \( (\phi_r, \phi_\theta) \), are defined here as

\[
(\phi_r, \phi_\theta, \phi_t) \equiv \left[ \frac{x}{\lambda_h}, \frac{\theta}{\lambda_0}, \frac{t}{T} \right] \text{mod} 1
\]

where \( T \) is the cycle period and mod is the modulo operator.

A PA quantity, \( \langle f \rangle \), is defined here as

\[
\langle f \rangle \equiv \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \sum_{q=0}^{N-1} f(x', \theta', t')
\]

where \( N \) is the number of pulsation cycles, \( (k_0, \theta_0) \) is the axial-azimuthal wavenumber pair and superscript double-prime denotes phase-shifted coordinates, i.e., \( (x'', \theta'', t'') \equiv (x + p\lambda_h, \theta + q\lambda_0, t + nT) \). All PA quantities reported in this work were computed using a sampling period of eight pulsation cycles (\( N = 8 \)) with twenty evenly spaced points per cycle.

A global-averaged (GA) quantity, \( \bar{f} \), is obtained by integrating the PA (equation 5) with respect to spatial-temporal phase

\[
\bar{f}(r) \equiv \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_h \lambda_0} \right) f(\phi_r, \phi_\theta, \phi_t) d\phi_r d\phi_\theta d\phi_t
\]

The difference between the PA (equation 5) and the GA (equation 6) defines the total phase-averaged fluctuation, \( \hat{f} \), as

\[
\hat{f}(\phi_r, \phi_\theta, \phi_t) \equiv \langle f \rangle(\phi_r, \phi_\theta, \phi_t) - \bar{f}(r)
\]

which contains the combined effect of the pulsation-induced (PI) and roughness-induced (RI) fluctuations.

The unsteady PI fluctuation, \( \hat{f} \), is isolated by integrating equation 7 with respect to axial-azimuthal phase

\[
\hat{f}(\phi_r, \phi_t) \equiv \frac{1}{\lambda_0} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^T f(\phi_r, \phi_\theta, \phi_t) d\phi_\theta d\phi_r d\phi_t
\]

Similarly, the steady RI fluctuation, \( \hat{r} \), is isolated by integrating equation 7 with respect to temporal phase

\[
\hat{r}(\phi_r, \phi_\theta) \equiv \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^{2\pi} f(\phi_r, \phi_\theta, \phi_t) d\phi_t
\]

Taking equations 5-9 into consideration, the quadruple decomposition of instantaneous axial velocity, \( u_r \), can be written as

\[
u_r(x, t) = \Pi_r(x) + \hat{u}_r(x, t) + \hat{r}(x, t) + u_r'(x, t)
\]

where \( u_r'(x, t) \) denotes the stochastic fluctuation. In the absence of pulsation, i.e., \( \Pi_r(x) \equiv 0 \), the quadruple decomposition reduces to the original triple decomposition devised to analyse pulsatile smooth-wall turbulent flow [10].

The quadruple decomposition of instantaneous axial velocity for surface \( h\theta_\lambda\Delta x_{3d} \) at an azimuthal-temporal phase of \( (\phi_r, \phi_t) = (0, 0.25) \) is shown in figure 1. Some initial observations based on this data include: (i) The GA component, \( \bar{u}_r \), is, as expected, inhomogeneous in the wall-normal direction; (ii) beyond a height of approximately four semi-amplitudes (\( y/h \geq 4 \)), the magnitude of the RI fluctuation, \( \hat{u}_r \), becomes negligible — corresponding to the upper edge of the roughness layer; (iii) within closer proximity of the roughness crests (\( y/h \lesssim 1 \)), \( \hat{u}_r \) becomes predominantly negative — reflecting the deficit of axial momentum in this region; (iv) the PI fluctuation, \( \hat{r} \), is comprised of a thin shear-layer in the near-wall region (\( y/h \ll 1 \)) and “plug-flow” in the outer layer and (v) the stochastic fluctuation, \( u_r' \), reveals inclined and elongated structures synonymous with wall-bounded turbulence. Whilst the basic structure of pulsatile rough-wall pipe flow can be drawn from figure 1, a better understanding can be gained by analysing statistical quantities.

**Quadruple decomposition of GA axial velocity statistics**

Profiles of GA axial velocity, \( \bar{u}_r \), are shown in figure 2a. The pulsatile and non-pulsatile rough-wall data collapse well in the outer region for each surface and, as a result, the roughness functions, \( \Delta U^+ \), are approximately equal (see table 1). Such a collapse is not, however, observed in the near-roughness region. For example, below the roughness crests (\( y/h < 1 \)), the GA axial velocity profiles of the pulsatile data show a consistently lower value than their steady counterpart (see inset panel figure 2a). This observation is in contrast to the non-pulsatile and pulsatile smooth-wall data — which collapses for all wall-normal positions in the CD-VHF regime [7, 9].

Profiles of the RMS RI stress, \( \bar{\Delta u}_r \), are shown in figure 2b. Similar to the GA axial velocity profiles shown in figure 2a, the profiles of \( \bar{\Delta u}_r \) collapse well in the outer-region. In-line with recent findings of [2], the RI stresses increases with increasing roughness height (\( h^+ \)) and persist well into the log-law region. For example, at a height of one hundred wall-units (\( y^+ = 100 \)) the RI stress induced by surface \( h\theta_\lambda\Delta x_{3d} \) retains 50% of its peak value. The maximum RI stress for the pulsatile and non-pulsatile data occurs just above the roughness crests (\( y/h \approx 1 \)), where the former exhibits a slightly larger magnitude. Below the roughness crests, the RI stress profiles exhibit the same behaviour as the GA axial velocity profiles (figure 2a) whereby the pulsatile stress profiles “peel-off"
Table 1: Roughness parameters including: axial-azimuthal wavenumber pair, \((k_x, k_y)\); ratio of roughness semi-amplitude to roughness wavelength, \(h/\lambda\), roughness wavelength to mean pipe radius, \(\lambda/R_0\), and mean pipe radius to roughness semi-amplitude, \(R_0/h\); viscous-scaled roughness semi-amplitude, \(h^+\); roughness wavelength, \(\lambda^+\), mean absolute roughness height, \(k_y^+\), root-mean-square roughness height, \(k_x^+\text{rms}\), and effective slope, ES. The roughness function, \(\Delta U^+\), is also included for each surface.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>(k_x)</th>
<th>(k_y)</th>
<th>(h/\lambda)</th>
<th>(\pi/12)</th>
<th>(27)</th>
<th>(20.0)</th>
<th>(141)</th>
<th>(8.11)</th>
<th>(10.0)</th>
<th>(0.36)</th>
<th>(6.25)</th>
<th>Line</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b20A14</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>(\pi/12)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b40A28</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>(\pi/6)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b80A56</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>(\pi/3)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Quadruple decomposition of instantaneous axial velocity, \(u_\tau\), including: (a) GA component, \(\tilde{u}_\tau\); (b) steady RI component, \(\bar{u}_\tau\); (c) unsteady PI component, \(\tilde{u}_\tau\), and (d) the turbulent component, \(u^\prime\). Data corresponds to an azimuthal-temporal phase of \((\theta_0, \phi_0) = (0, 0.25)\) and has been normalised by the mean friction velocity, \(u_\tau\), the roughness semi-amplitude, \(h\), and the roughness wavelength, \(\lambda\). The height of the mean pipe radius, \(R_0\), is marked by the horizontal dotted line.

Discussion

Pulsatile rough-wall turbulent pipe flow in the CD-VHF regime has been investigated using DNS at a friction Reynolds number of \(Re_\tau = 540\). Using data from an equivalent non-pulsatile dataset at the same friction Reynolds number [1, 2], a direct comparison between the two flow configurations was made. Statistical quantities were computed following a quadruple decomposition of the instantaneous axial velocity (equation 10). Based on an analysis of the GA statistics, the key observations of this study include: (i) excellent collapse in the outer-layer profiles of mean velocity (figure 2a), RMS RI stress (figure 2b) and RMS Reynolds stress (figure 2d) are observed between the non-pulsatile and pulsatile data — amounting to considerable supporting evidence of Townsend’s outer-layer similarity hypothesis for rough-wall pulsatile flow; (ii) the peak value of PI stress is enhanced in the presence of surface roughness (figure 2c) — which we anticipate to be related to the three-dimensionalisation of the rough-wall Stokes-layer and (iii) below the highest roughness crest \((y/h < 1)\) the magnitudes of GA mean velocity (figure 2a), RMS RI stress (figure 2b) and RMS Reynolds stress (figure 2d) show consistently lower values than their non-pulsatile counterparts. Considering these differences, future work will focus on the near-roughness region where phase-averaged statistics of the roughness-induced, pulsation-induced and turbulence-induced fluctuations will be examined in detail.

Themes: Turbulence, Computational fluid dynamics, Bound-
Figure 2: GA axial velocity statistics including: (a) mean velocity profile; (b) RMS RI stress; (c) RMS PI stress and (d) RMS Reynolds stress. Line types for pulsatile rough-wall data are given in table 1. Data for smooth-wall non-pulsatile (○), smooth-wall pulsatile (—) and non-pulsatile rough-wall cases $h_{20}\lambda_{141}$ (△) and $h_{40}\lambda_{233}$ (□) and $h_{60}\lambda_{565}$ (△) from [1, 2] are also included for $Re_t = 540$. Vertical lines indicate the roughness crests.
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