Boundedness of the velocity derivative flatness factor in a turbulent plane jet
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Abstract

This paper focuses on the statistics of normalized fourth-order moment of the longitudinal velocity derivative, $\partial u/\partial x$, i.e. the flatness factor $S_4 = (\partial^2 u/\partial x^2)^2 / (\partial u/\partial x)^2$ on the axis of a plane jet over a range of Taylor microscale Reynolds number varying between $R_\lambda \approx 500$ and 1100. The aim is to determine the dependence of $S_4$ on $R_\lambda$. Different tests on the jet axis show that local isotropy is closely satisfied, allowing the use of $\varepsilon \delta_n$, the locally isotropic form of the mean turbulent kinetic energy dissipation rate $\varepsilon$.

The measurements show that $S_4$ remains approximately constant when $R_\lambda \geq 500$. This is inconsistent $S_4 \sim R_\lambda^n$, where $\alpha$ is a small positive number, as predicted by various internal intermittency models. The constancy of $S_4$ is in full agreement with the relatively recent results (6) showing that $S_4$, the skewness of $\partial u/\partial x$, also tends to a constant when $R_\lambda$ increases. The present results conform with the original similarity hypotheses of Kolmogorov (1).

Introduction

There is no doubt that the first two similarity hypotheses of Kolmogorov(1; 3), widely known as K41, and Kolmogorov’s (1962) refined similarity hypothesis (2), or K62 - the latter was introduced to account for the so-called “internal intermittency” - have had a huge impact on turbulence research. Various quantities, such as energy spectra and velocity structure functions, can be used to test either K41 or K62.

According to the K41 first hypothesis, these quantities adopt particular universal forms when the Taylor microscale Reynolds number, $R_\lambda = (u'\lambda) / \nu$, where $\lambda$ is the longitudinal Taylor microscale $u' / (\partial u / \partial x)$ and a prime denotes a rms value) is very large. Interestingly, the Kolmogorov-normalized one-dimensional velocity spectra $\Phi(k) / (k_\eta)$ (the asterisk denotes normalization by the Kolmogorov length scale, $\eta = (v^3 / \varepsilon)^{1/4}$, where $v$ is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid; $\varepsilon$ is the mean turbulent energy dissipation rate; the overline denotes time averaging, and/or Kolmogorov velocity scale, $u_K = (\varepsilon / \nu)^{1/4}$) collapse in the high wavenumber region even when $R_\lambda$ is as small as about 40 (4; 5).

In terms of the velocity structure functions, a major outcome of K41 is the prediction

$$\langle (\partial u / \partial x)^2 \rangle = f_{un}(r'), \tag{1}$$

where the velocity increment $\Delta u = u(x + r) - u(x)$ between two points separated by a distance $r$ along $x$, (hereafter $x$ is taken in the flow direction); $f_{un}$ is a universal function when normalized by $\eta$ and/or $u_K$ for each value of $n$. When $r \to 0$, expression (1) yields the normalized moments of streamwise velocity derivatives, i.e.

$$S_n = \frac{\langle (\partial^2 u / \partial x^2)^n \rangle}{\langle (\partial u / \partial x)^n \rangle}, \tag{2}$$

which, according to K41, should be constant for each value of $n$ at large $R_\lambda$.

Following (7) and (8), many studies have focused on the evolution of $S_n$ with $R_\lambda$ with the view to testing K41 and K62. The majority of the work supports the argument that $S_n$ increases continuously with $R_\lambda$, viz.

$$|S_n| \sim R_\lambda^{\alpha(n)} (\alpha > 0), \tag{3}$$

e.g. (7; 8; 9; 10; 11). However, it appears now that not only the small-scale statistics are affected by $R_\lambda$, (this is the so-call Finite Reynolds number effect, or FRN effect), when the latter is not large enough (12), but the approach towards an asymptotic state as $R_\lambda$ increases differs from flow to flow (13; 6). These results indicate that the $R_\lambda$ dependence on $S_n$ should be revisited. And in particular, it should be assessed separately in each flow. Such attempts have been already initiated (6; 13; 14; 15). The latter authors derived the locally isotropic form of the transport equation for $\varepsilon$, directly from the Navier-Stokes equations, in various turbulent flows, i.e. grid turbulence, along the axis in the self-preserving far-field of a round jet, along the centreline of a fully developed channel flow and a far-wake of a circular cylinder. They showed that, in each flow, the transport equation for $\varepsilon$ can be expressed in the form

$$S_3 + \frac{2G}{R_\lambda} = \frac{C}{R_\lambda}, \tag{4}$$

where $G$ is the non-dimensional enstrophy destruction coefficient of $\varepsilon$ defined by

$$G = \frac{\varepsilon}{\nu^2} (\partial^2 u / \partial x^2)^2 / (\partial u / \partial x)^2. \tag{5}$$

In Eq. (4), analytical expressions for $C$ differ from flow to flow. For example, in grid turbulence, $C$ is equal to $\frac{90}{(1 + 2G)}$ ($\frac{n_0}{\nu^2}$) with $R = \sqrt{v} / u^2$ and $n$ is the power-law decay exponent for the longitudinal velocity variance, viz. $\bar{u}^2 \sim x^{-n}$ (6; 13) whereas, along the axis in the self-preserving far-field of a round jet, $C = \frac{90}{(1 + 2G)}$ (6; 13).

References (6; 14; 15) showed that since $2G / R_\lambda$ is found to be very nearly constant for $R_\lambda \gtrsim 70 \sim 100$, $S_3$ approaches a universal constant, with a value of about 0.53, when $R_\lambda$ is sufficiently large, but the way this constant is approached is flow dependent. In general, $R_\lambda$ only needs to exceed about 300 for $S_3$ to become universal for all flows considered by Refs. (6; 14; 15). For Eq. (1), Pearson and Antonia (16) showed that $(\partial^2 u / \partial x^2)^2$ collapses in...
the dissipative range over a large range of $R_{\lambda}$ ($40 < R_{\lambda} < 4250$) and Antonia et al (6) showed that $\delta u_{\omega}$, the skewness of $\delta u$, viz. $\delta u_{\omega} = (\delta u)^3/(\delta u^2)^{3/2}$ also becomes universal in the dissipative range when $R_{\lambda}$ is sufficiently large. The available evidence confirmed the constancy of Eq. (2) (K41) only for $n = 3$ and the universality of (1) (K41) in the dissipative range for $n = 2.3$. The objective of this paper is to assess the $R_{\lambda}$ dependence of Eqs. (1) and (2) for $n = 2 - 4$ in the dissipative range with the data in one flow (on the plane jet axis) over a relatively large range of $R_{\lambda}$ (500 to 1100). The reason for choosing one flow, with a given initial condition, is that it allows the Reynolds number effect to be examined with minimal ambiguity. Naturally, further testing will be needed, in due course, in other flows and similar $R_{\lambda}$ range.

**Local isotropy**

Before discussing the results, we first briefly assess local isotropy in this flow using the data of (17), and the following methods.

(1) Following (18), the well-known isotropic relation between second-order structure functions of longitudinal and transverse velocity components is given by

$$
\langle \delta v \rangle^2_{iso} = \left(1 + \frac{r}{2} \frac{d}{d\tau} \right) \langle \delta u \rangle^2.
$$

(6)

The isotropic relation between third-order structure functions is given by (18)

$$
\langle \delta u (\delta v)^2 \rangle_{iso} = \left(\frac{1}{6} \frac{d}{d\tau} \right) \langle \delta u \rangle^3.
$$

Figure 1 shows the ratios between calculated and measured second- ($\langle (\delta v)^2 \rangle_{iso}/\langle (\delta v)^2 \rangle_{exp}$) and third- ($\langle \delta u (\delta v)^2 \rangle_{iso}/\langle \delta u (\delta v)^2 \rangle_{exp}$) order structure functions at $R_{\lambda} = 550$ and 1067 respectively. For both the second- and third-order structure functions, the departure from local isotropy appears to be relatively small in the dissipation range ($r^* \leq 60$), the maximum departure being about 20%.

(2) A few statistics of $\omega_c / (\partial x - \partial u / \partial y)$ have been reported in (17). We simply note here that for local isotropy, the mean square value of $\omega_c^2$, i.e., $(\partial v / \partial x)^2 + (\partial u / \partial y)^2 - 2(\partial v / \partial x)(\partial u / \partial y)$ should be equal to $5(\partial u / \partial y)^2$. The average measured value of $\omega_c^2/(\partial u / \partial y)^2$ is 4.5, also indicating a small (10%) departure from local isotropy. (3) In a far-wake of a circular cylinder, (19; 20), who measured all components of $\mathbf{F}$, observed a difference of about 30% between $\mathbf{F}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{\omega}$ ($=15(\partial u/\partial x)^2$) and showed that only $\mathbf{F}$ provided a satisfactory closure of the one-point energy budget. In this flow, (21; 15) applied the spectral chart method of (22) to estimate $\mathbf{F}$ and found it to be very close to the true value of $\mathbf{F}$. The spectral chart method of (22) has also been applied to the present plane jet spectra of $u$ to obtain $\mathbf{F}$. The results show that the estimates of $\mathbf{F}$ are very close to $\mathbf{F}_{iso}$, which allows us to use $\mathbf{F}_{iso}$ for convenience.

**Results**

Figure 2 shows the variations of $S_3$ and $S_4$ with $R_{\lambda}$ (Eq. (2)) on the axis of a plane jet. Detailed descriptions of the measurements in the plane jet are given in Refs. (23; 16; 17). Note that for the data of Pearson and Antonia (16), only those for which $f_c/f_k > 0.8$, where $f_c$ is the low-pass filter cut-off frequency and $f_k$ is the Kolmogorov frequency, are shown since an adequate time resolution tends to underestimate $S_3$ (14). It can be seen from Fig. 2 that $S_3$ and $S_4$ are practically constant (by definition, $S_3 = 1$) over a range of $R_{\lambda}$ ($500 < R_{\lambda} < 1100$) for these data sets, showing that the FRN effect is practically negligible for this range of $R_{\lambda}$. Antonia et al (24) showed that the magnitudes of $S_3$ and $S_4$ are 0.43 and 5.8 respectively on the axis of the plane jet at $R_{\lambda} = 160$; these are smaller than the values shown in Fig. 2 (0.54 and 9.8 respectively) suggesting that are likely to be influenced by the FRN effect.

We now focus on Eq. (1) up to $n = 4$ on the axis of a plane jet with the data of (17), bearing in mind that when $r \to 0$ we get $S_n$. Figure 3 shows $\langle \delta u \rangle^2$ ($n = 2 - 4$) for the plane jet data at...
Figure 3: Kolmogorov-normalized structure function \((\delta u^*)^n\) for \(n = 2 - 4\) along the axis of the plane jet at \(R_\lambda = 550\) (black), 696 (blue), 826 (red), 914 (pink), and 1067 (green) respectively. For clarity, only the data from one of the single hot-wires in vorticity probe are shown. The blue dashed lines correspond to \(15^n/2 \sigma_{\nu}^n\) (\(\sigma_{\nu}\) is the mean values shown in Fig. 2), i.e. approximate expression of Eq. (1) at small \(r^*\).

\(R_\lambda = 550, 696, 826, 914, \) and 1067 respectively. We used \(S_{iso}\) to estimate \(\eta\) and \(u_k\) since local isotropy is satisfied adequately in this flow. The blue dashed lines correspond to \(15^n/2 \sigma_{\nu}^n\) (the values of \(\sigma_{\nu}\) correspond to the averaged values shown in Fig. 2), i.e. the approximate form of Eq. (1) at small \(r^*\). There is a relatively good collapse for all the structure functions at small \(r^*\). Whilst the collapse for \(n = 2\), in good agreement with the observations of (16), may be criticised as being "somewhat contrived" since \(S_{iso}\) is used to generate \(\eta\) and \(u_k\), the previous discussion concerning the approximation \(S_{iso} \approx S\) goes some way towards allaying this criticism. Further, the collapse for \(n > 2\), consistent with the trend in Fig. 2, is reasonably convincing at small \(r^*\). For each value of \(n\), the distributions collapse reasonably well at small \(r^*\) in compliance with Kolmogorov scaling. \((\delta u^*)^n\) is replotted in a separate figure (Fig. 4) to provide a comparison with grid turbulence \((R_\lambda = 27-100)\), where local isotropy is satisfied adequately and \(S\) as inferred from the energy budget, is in close agreement with \(S_{iso}\) (a detailed description of the grid turbulence measurements is given in (25)). It can be seen from this figure that \((\delta u^*)^n\) indeed collapses reasonably well for \(r^* < 10\) in both flows and follows the blue line at small \(r^*\).

Theoretical considerations for the fourth-order moment

The constancy of \(S_3\) at large \(R_\lambda\) in various flows has a solid analytical underpinning (6; 14; 15). Similarly, this section will focus primarily on the transport equations for the fourth-order moment in order to provide some analytical support for the independence of the Reynolds number for \(S_3\) and \((\delta u^*)^3\) in Figs. 2 and 3. According to (28; 29; 16), the pressure structure function in locally homogeneous and isotropic turbulence can be written solely in terms of fourth-order velocity structure functions as

\[
D_p(r) = -\frac{1}{4} D_{1111}(r) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r y^{-3} [D_{1111}(y) + D_{XXX}(y) - 6 D_{11\gamma\gamma}(y) - 5 D_{1\gamma\gamma\gamma}(y)] dy
\]

where \(D_p(r)\) is the pressure structure function, \(D_{1111}(r)\) (=\((\delta u^*)^3\)) is the fourth-order longitudinal velocity structure function, \(\chi\) and \(\gamma\) stand for 2 or 3. The only assumption needed in deriving Eq. (8) is that turbulence is locally homogeneous and isotropic.

When \(r \to 0\), Eq. (8) can be rewritten as follows (28; 29)

\[
\frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial r}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^r r^{-3} [D_{1111}(r) + D_{XXX}(r) - 6 D_{11\gamma\gamma}(r) - 5 D_{1\gamma\gamma\gamma}(r)] dr.
\]

With Kolmogorov scaling, Eq. (9) can be further recast as

\[
\frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial r}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^r r^{-3} [D_{1111}(r^*) + D_{XXX}(r^*) - 6 D_{11\gamma\gamma}(r^*) - 5 D_{1\gamma\gamma\gamma}(r^*)] dr^*.
\]

Because of the presence of \(r^{-3}\) in the integrands of (9) or (10), the dominant contributions from the integrals come from the dissipative range \((r^* < 30)\) (29). As shown in Fig. 3, the range of \(r^*\) over which \((\delta u^*)^3\) collapses should increase as \(R_\lambda\) increases. The independence of \((\delta u^*)^3\) in the dissipative range (Fig. 3) implies that the right side of (9) should approach a constant when \(R_\lambda\) is sufficiently large.

We now focus on the left side of Eq. (10). Several attempts have been made to estimate this term. For example, using the joint-Gaussianity approximation, Batchelor (30) obtained a value of about 1.3 for this term. A similar value \((\approx 1.0)\) was obtained by Heisenberg (31). Pearson and Antonia (16) estimated this term in various flows over a large range of \(R_\lambda\) \((40 < R_\lambda < 1077)\) and showed that it approaches a constant when \(R_\lambda \approx 500\). Using the eddy-damped quasi-normal Markovian approximation, Meldi and Sagaut (33) also showed that the left side of Eq. (10) should approach a constant in freely decaying isotropic turbulence when \(R_\lambda\) is sufficiently large. It is not yet clear if the DNS values for this term will keep increasing with \(R_\lambda\) (e.g. (32)) or whether they will approach a constant as implied by the independence of \(R_\lambda\) of \((\delta u^*)^3\) at small \(r^*\) (Fig. 3).

Conclusions

Relatively high Reynolds number data on the axis of a plane jet are analysed with the view to assess Reynolds number dependence of both the skewness \(S_3\) and the flatness \(S_4\) factors of
the longitudinal velocity gradient. The data show strong evidence that both $S_3$ and $S_4$ are approximately constant when $R_3$ exceeds 500. Further, it is shown that Eq. (1) with $n = 2, 3$ and $4$, is well verified in the dissipative range, which is consistent with the constancy of $S_3$ and $S_4$. Evidently, it would be desirable to carry out a similar analysis in regions away from the jet axis, and also examine the behaviour of $S_3$ and $S_4$ in other turbulent flows.
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