Investigation of velocity correlations in turbulent channel flow
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Abstract

Recently there has been an increase in studies pursuing a clearer understanding of the large-scale behaviour of wall-turbulence at high Reynolds numbers. These studies typically involve the analysis of a variety of statistics and correlations. In this paper, we investigate two-point cross-correlations of wall shear stress with various velocity components. These correlations have been calculated from two data sets: the Direct Numerical Simulation (DNS) of a turbulent channel flow by Del Alamo, Jiménez, Zandonade & Moser [2]; and experimental data from an extremely high Reynolds number atmospheric boundary layer, obtained from the SLTEST (Surface Layer Turbulence and Environmental Science Test) site at the great salt lakes, Utah. The experimental data were obtained by using sonic anemometers to measure all three components of velocity, and a novel wall shear stress sensor, developed by Heuer & Marusic [8]. The results provide further evidence of superstructures presented by Hutchins & Marusic [9] in the logarithmic region.

Introduction

Recently, there has been a concentrated effort by various research groups [5, 9, 13, 15], to calculate cross-correlations functions to gain a better insight into the fundamental mechanism of turbulent boundary layers. It is well known that vortical structures exist in turbulent boundary layers and they contribute to the transport of turbulent energy. Cross-correlation functions have proven to be an important tool as a methodology of identifying structures in the flow. This line of investigation was initiated by workers like Favre, Gaviglio & Dumas [4] and Grant [7] in the 1950s. The concept of hairpin-shaped structures in the turbulent boundary layer was first suggested by Theodorsen [14] and this idea has been extended recently by Adrian, Meinhart & Tomkins [1] and Ganapathisubramani, Longmire & Marusic [6] where it was proposed that these hairpin structures exist in packets and largely determine the properties of turbulent transport in the boundary layer. Later, the analysis of cross-correlation helped identify the existence of horseshoe or hairpin shaped structures, e.g. Willmarth & Tu [17], Moin & Kim [12]. More recently Ganapathisubramani et al. [5] have successfully used cross correlation functions to identify and study these hairpin vortex structures. Streamwise velocity correlations have been extensively studied by many investigators [5, 9, 12], using experimental measurements and numerical data. In this paper, we will define the three velocity components $U, V$ and $W$ in the (streamwise, $x$, spanwise, $y$, and wall normal, $z$, directions respectively), and the streamwise and spanwise fluctuating shear stress components, $\tau_x$ and $\tau_y$. Analysis of streamwise velocity correlations involving $U,V,W$ had been reported by Moin and Kim [12]. Spanwise velocity correlations of the $U$ velocity component have been increasingly used to study and provide a better understanding between flow structures and eddying motions inside the turbulent boundary layer. Results from these studies have been presented in Moin & Kim [11], Tomkins & Adrian [15] and Hutchins & Marusic [9]. Studies of the streamwise cross-correlation with wall shear stress, $\tau_x$, are less common, mainly due to the fact that accurate wall shear stress measurements are difficult to obtain.

One important statistic that can be obtained from the correlation of $U$ with $\tau_x$ is the inclination angle of the structures in the turbulent boundary layer. Investigations by Brown & Thomas [3] have shown that this inclination angle is approximately $18^\circ$. More recent studies carried out by Monty et al. [13] on the SLTEST data suggest a structure inclination angle of $15^\circ$. Measurements taken in that experiment will be used here. They include all velocity components, as well as the streamwise and spanwise fluctuating shear stresses, $\tau_x$ and $\tau_y$. The velocity components were taken using 18 anemometers arranged in a 27m high array and a 100m wide horizontal array. The height of the sonic anemometers ranged from the lowest at $z = 1.42m$ to the highest at $z = 25.69m$. The shear stresses were measured using a floating element shear stress sensor constructed by Heuer & Marusic [8]. The important parameters to note in the experiment were: $Re_\theta = O(10^9)$, $U_\tau = 0.234m/s$, where $U_\tau$ is the friction velocity in the streamwise direction, $x$. Other authors [9] have estimated boundary layer thickness, $\delta \approx 60m$, which is the boundary layer thickness used in this paper.

The vast amount of information that can be obtained from DNS data has enabled scientists to gain a better understanding of the physics of the turbulent boundary layer. DNS data is especially useful to study the complicated motion and dynamics of the large-scale ‘superstructures’ (see Hutchins & Marusic [9]). The DNS data utilized in this paper is essentially the same DNS data used in the study by Del Alamo et al. [2]. DNS were conducted at $Re_\tau = U_\tau / \nu = 934$, where $\delta$ is the half channel height. The size of the computation box is defined by $L_x \times L_y = 8\pi \delta \times 3\pi \delta \times 2\delta$.

In this paper, we have computed cross-correlation coefficients between $\tau_x, \tau_y$, and $U, V$ and $W$ from both the experimental measurements from the ASL (atmospheric surface layer) and DNS of a fully developed turbulent channel flow. The information will be used to expose the similarities and differences of the turbulent flow structures in these two data sets. To the authors knowledge, this is the first time that correlations between velocities and spanwise wall shear stress have been presented.

Numerical Investigation Method

From the DNS data, cross correlation coefficients will be computed at $z/\delta$ values corresponding to the normalized heights of the sonic anemometers in the experiments conducted by Monty et al. [13]. The correlation analysis will be based on the fluctuating components which are denoted with lowercase letters, (i.e. $u, v$ and $w$). The cross-correlation between any two fluctuating components $I$ and $J$ is defined as,

$$R_{I,J} = \frac{\overline{I(x,y)J(x+\Delta x,y+\Delta y)}}{\sigma_I \sigma_J}$$

where $\sigma$ refers to the standard deviation and $\Delta x$ and $\Delta y$ are the spatial distances in the $x$ and $y$ directions. The overbar denotes the spatial average. From the correlations results, the structure
inclination angle can determined by the following,
\[
\theta = \tan^{-1}\frac{z}{\Delta \text{peak}},
\tag{2}
\]
where \(z\) refers to the wall normal height at which the correlation is calculated, and \(\Delta \text{peak}\) is the spatial distance difference where the maximum correlation occurs. For the experimental data, we have converted from time to space using Taylor’s hypothesis of frozen turbulence. That is \(\Delta \text{peak} = \pi \Delta t\), where the convection velocity \(\pi\) is the mean velocity at the corresponding wall-normal position.

**Results and Discussion**

For all the graphs presented, positive \(\Delta x/\delta\) corresponds to upstream of the measurement location and negative values correspond to downstream of measurement location. Figure 1 shows the correlations between \(\tau_x\) and \(u\) for both DNS and ASL data with \(z/\delta \approx 0.0237, 0.0357, 0.0500, 0.0710, 0.1023, 0.1452, 0.2087, 0.2990 & 0.4282\) for both; DNS data, solid line (–); and ASL data, dashed line (––). The inserted plot compares the \(\tau_x\) within the logarithmic region for DNS data of \(z/\delta \approx 0.1023 & 0.1452\); and ASL data of \(z/\delta \approx 0.1023 & 0.1452\). The DNS data of \(R_{\tau x u}\) for both DNS and ASL data are moving downstream of the measurement location with increasing \(z/\delta\). The DNS data of

![Figure 1: Streamwise comparison of \(R_{\tau x u}\) showing \(z/\delta \approx 0.0237, 0.0357, 0.0500, 0.0710, 0.1023, 0.1452, 0.2087, 0.2990 & 0.4282\) for both; DNS data, solid line (–); and ASL data, dashed line (––). The inserted plot compares the \(R_{\tau x u}\) within the logarithmic region for DNS data of \(z/\delta \approx 0.1023 & 0.1452\); and ASL data of \(z/\delta \approx 0.1023 & 0.1452\).](image)

![Figure 2: Structure inclination angle showing \(z/\delta \approx 0.0237, 0.0357, 0.0500, 0.0710, 0.1023, 0.1452, 0.2087, 0.2990 & 0.4282\) for both; DNS data (△) and ASL data (◦).](image)
suggest that the structure grows larger downstream in the $x$ direction as $z/\delta$ increases from $z/\delta \approx 0.0237$ ($z^+ \approx 23$, for DNS data) to $z/\delta \approx 0.4282$.

In figure 2, structure inclination angles are compared for similar $z/\delta$ values as in figure 1. The average structure inclination angle for the ASL data is found to be $\theta = 14.2^\circ$. One should note that the average structure inclination angle for DNS data within the logarithmic region is found to be approximately $14.7^\circ$. The agreement is remarkable over such a $Re$ range. The results support the findings of Marusic & Heuer [10] showing that the inclination angle of the structures is indeed invariant over the large range of $Re$. Figures 3 & 4 show the correlations involving $\tau_x$ and $w$ for both DNS and ASL respectively. Note that the abscissa scaling is different between figures 3 & 4. The difference in scaling provides a clearer image of the secondary peak present in the ASL data. It is interesting to note that even though the magnitude of the $R_{\tau_xw}$ is less for the ASL data, the general trend of the correlations is similar. Also note if we chose a certain $z/\delta$ and $\Delta x/\delta$ location, the magnitude of $|R|$ will be greater for $|R_{\tau_xw}|$ than $|R_{\tau_xw}|$, this simply shows that there is a better correlation of $u$ with $\tau_x$ further downstream, than $w$.

An interesting finding in figure 3 shows the emergence of a secondary peak, however this peak occurs upstream of the measurement location. The secondary peak is also seen in ASL data. It was suspected that this secondary peak is a small scale phenomenon rather than large scale. Hence a further investigation was carried out on $R_{\tau_xw}$ to determine if the secondary peak is contributed predominantly by small scale structures. From spectra analysis, the premultiplied $u$ spectra peaks at $\lambda^+_x \approx 1000$ in the near-wall region, where $\lambda^+_x$ is the streamwise wavelength. Therefore the value of $\lambda^+_x \approx 1000$ is chosen as the cut-off wavelength which determines the small scale structures. Figure 5 shows the correlation contributions of both the small and large scale structures at $z/\delta \approx 0.0357$. The correlation (solid line) at a given wall normal distance, $z^+$, shown is made up of the summation of two individual correlations, the small scale (dashed line), and the large scale (dotted line). The small scale structures are clearly responsible for the secondary peak even at greater wall normal distance ($z^+ \approx 0.1452$) as shown in figure 6.

From the contribution of the small scale structures shown in figures 5 & 6, a distinctive secondary peak is present. This suggests that there are even finer small scale structures present, where $\lambda^+_x \approx O(100)$, that resulted in the secondary peak as seen in the dashed lines. The least contribution to the secondary peak from the small scale structures ($\lambda^+_x < 1000$) occurs at $z^+ = 15$. The results suggest that the secondary peaks are mainly con-
tributed by the small scale structures and small scale vortical structures are located around the large scale structures, even in the logarithmic region.

Figure 7: Streamwise comparison of $R_{\tau_y v}$ using DNS data, solid line (−); and ASL data, dashed line, (−−).

Figure 7 shows the correlations between $\tau_y$ and $v$ where a secondary peak is also observed upstream. An enlarged view of

Figure 8: Streamwise comparison of $R_{\tau_y v}$ using ASL and DNS data for $z/\delta = 0.1023$ & 0.1452.

Figure 9: Decomposition of streamwise $R_{\tau_y v}$ using DNS data at $z^+ \approx 34$, actual $R_{\tau_y v}$, solid line (−); small scale contribution ($\lambda^+_x < 1000$), dashed line (−−); large scale contribution ($\lambda^+_x > 1000$), dotted line (---).
the ASL and DNS correlations is shown in figure 8, the $z/\delta$ values for the ASL and DNS data are the same as used in the inset in figure 1. Again both DNS and ASL correlations indicate secondary peaks. These peaks are again due to the small scale rotating vortex pairs. Such structures could be interpreted as the legs of the hairpin structure. Figure 14 shows a sharp drop in $R_{\tau_w}$ at $\Delta y/\delta \approx 0$ as $z/\delta$ increases and the occurrence of two distinct peaks is observed. One should note that $R_{\tau_v}$ is symmetric about $\Delta y/\delta = 0$. The peaks are shifting outward away from $\Delta y/\delta = 0$ as $z/\delta$ increases. Figure 15 shows an enlarged plot of $R_{\tau_v}$ at $z/\delta \approx 0.0500, 0.0710 \& 0.1023$, and since the correlations are symmetric about $\Delta y/\delta = 0$, only the positive $\Delta y/\delta$ region is shown. The spanwise length scales denoted by $l_{47}$ and $l_{90}$ correspond to wall normal distances of $z^+ \approx 47$ and 96 respectively. These spanwise length scales are a measure of the

---

**Figure 10:** Spanwise comparison of $R_{\tau_u}$ using DNS data.

**Figure 11:** Spanwise correlations showing $R_{\tau_w}$ using DNS data.

**Figure 12:** Spanwise correlations showing $R_{\tau_u}$ using DNS data.

**Figure 13:** Spanwise correlations showing $R_{\tau_w}$ using DNS data.

**Figure 14:** Spanwise correlations showing $R_{\tau_v}$ using DNS data.
diameters of the vortical structures. Figure 15 clearly indicates that the spanwise length scales are shorter at near-wall region ($l_{z47} < l_{z96}$) and the spanwise length scales of the vortical structures increase with the wall normal distance. The results suggest that the diameters of the vortical structures increase along the wall normal direction which agrees with the findings of Moin & Kim [12] and attached eddy hypothesis of Townsend [16].

Conclusions
An investigation of cross-correlations for DNS channel flow data and ASL data are presented. The structure inclination angle determined from the correlations using DNS data is very similar to that of the ASL data. The results suggest that in the streamwise direction of the flow, $\tau_w$ and $\tau_v$ are independent of each other, meaning that the velocity components $u, v,$ and $w$ will only exhibit a correlation between either $\tau_u$ or $\tau_w,$ the same comment cannot be made about correlations in the spanwise direction. The $\tau_u$ displayed correlations with all $u, v$ and $w$ in the spanwise direction. The only cross-correlation that did not show any significant result is between $\tau_w$ and $v$.

The correlation plots have revealed more information about the behaviour of the structures in the spanwise direction. Information presented here clearly illustrates the existence of counter rotating pairs of vortical structures in the flow, even in the near wall region. Small scale structures are always present throughout the flow and contribute to the secondary peaks observed in the streamwise correlation results. Both DNS and ASL correlations provided evidence that the streamwise length scale is one order of magnitude greater than the spanwise length scale. The results and general trends of the correlations suggest that DNS is a suitable numerical method that can be utilized to provide good approximation of actual experiments over a large range of $Re_w$.
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