Abstract
The far field acoustic effects of a co-rotating vortex pair are modelled using Lighthill’s [4] theory of turbulence-generated sound and Powell’s [9] theory of vortex sound. To do this, the flow and acoustic fields are decoupled. The unsteady incompressible viscous flow field is modelled using conventional computational fluid dynamics techniques. The sound field is modelled separately using an inhomogeneous wave equation where the source is computed from the flow field variables. The robustness of the computational method in implementing Lighthill’s [4] and Powell’s [9] theories is demonstrated. The results are compared with those from direct numerical simulation (DNS) [7] and the analytical solution of [8]. It is found that by choosing an appropriate initial condition to the aeroacoustic governing equation, the levels of spurious waves are reduced quite dramatically.

Nomenclature
- \(c\) = speed of sound in acoustic medium
- \(\text{CAA}\) = Computational Aero Acoustics
- \(J_2\) = Bessel function of the first order, second kind
- \(k\) = wave number
- \(M_{cr}\) = co rotation Mach number
- \(p\) = far field pressure perturbations
- \(p_n\) = normalised far field pressure, \(p/(\rho_0c^2)\)
- \(r\) = distance from vortex core
- \(r^*\) = far field observation position
- \(R\) = half of total separation distance between vortex cores
- \(R_o\) = radius of vortex core
- \(R_e\) = Reynolds number
- \(t^*\) = retarded time, \((t - r^*/c)\)
- \(Y_2\) = Bessel function of the second order, second kind
- \(\Delta x_{rld}\) = spatial size of CFD cell
- \(\Delta x_{cam}\) = spatial size of CAA cell
- \(\Gamma_o\) = initial circulation of vortex core
- \(\Omega_k\) = angular rate of rotation of co-rotating vortex cores in the \(k\) direction
- \(\zeta_k\) = vorticity of flow in the \(k\) direction
- \(\rho_o\) = ambient density in acoustic medium
- \(\rho\) = far field density perturbations
- \(\lambda\) = wavelength of acoustic waves
- \(\mu\) = dynamic viscosity of flow
- \(\mu_a\) = artificial dissipation

Introduction
Studies on the far field acoustic effects of low speed, coherent flow structures are important in understanding noise generation in areas such as jet noise, cavity noise and edge tones. In the absence of solid surfaces, Lighthill’s acoustic theory defines the fluctuating rates of momentum as the source of sound while Powell relates the source of sound to the dynamics of vorticity.

The numerical study of the acoustics of a co-rotating vortex pair has received much attention ([3], [5], [7]). There is a range of computational aeroacoustic issues that are fundamental to generating accurate acoustic solutions ([2], [10]).

There are numerous ways of numerically modelling the far field acoustics of low Mach number flow fields (i.e. DNS, acoustic analogies, Kirchhoff’s method [6], Hardin-Pope formulations [3]). Acoustic analogies have the advantage of providing a better analysis of the acoustic field by virtue of being decoupled from the flow field. Computation wise, it is also considerably less intensive than DNS.

The motivation for this study is to investigate the numerical feasibility of using Lighthill’s acoustic analogy and Powell’s theory of vortex sound for the simple flow configuration of a co-rotating vortex pair. Further development can lead to applying the method to investigate more complex flows such as vortex rings collisions, leap-frogging motion of vortex rings, etc.

Aeroacoustic theory
The acoustic theory developed by Lighthill [4] assumes that the far field acoustic medium is at rest. This is valid for the case of low Mach number flows. Using \(\rho\) as the fundamental acoustic variable, Lighthill derived the far field sound as

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} - c^2 \nabla^2 \rho = \rho_0 \frac{\partial^2 u_{ai}}{d\xi_i d\xi_j}.
\]

Powell’s [9] theory of vortex sound relates the acoustic pressure to the Coriolis acceleration term, \(\Omega_k \times \nabla\). 

\[
\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t^2} - c^2 \nabla^2 p = \rho_o \nabla \cdot (\Omega_k \times \nabla).
\]

The far field acoustics of a pair of co-rotating inviscid point vortices has been studied analytically by [8]. In that case, the period of the circular motion of the two point vortices is \(8\pi^2 R^2/\Gamma_o\) and the wavelength of the acoustic waves \(\lambda = 52R\). The acoustic pressure contours were found to be of a quadrupolar nature. Using the method of matched asymptotic expansions, an analytical solution for the far field acoustic pressure was derived as follows,

\[
p(r^*, t^*) = \frac{\rho_o \Gamma_o^4}{64\pi R^5 c^4} (J_2(kr^*) \sin(2\theta + \Omega_k t) - Y_2(kr^*) \cos(2\theta + \Omega_k t)) .
\]

Flow description
The flow field is assumed to be viscous and incompressible. The vortices of circulation strength \(\Gamma\) are placed a distance \(2\pi\) apart (see Figure 1). The vorticity distribution of the vortex core is Gaussian.

1 Specifications of flow field are similar to [7]
the computational boundaries, the velocity field is based on the vector sum of the velocity field of each vortex core. At compressibility condition, first order differential equations. The inhomogeneous acoustic wave equation is rewritten as a set of second order differential equations.

\[
\mathbf{q} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{H}(x,y,t)
\]

where

The governing equations are solved using a finite-difference formulation. The spatial terms are solved on a seven-point central difference stencil which is sixth order accurate in space. The flow is advanced in time using the standard fourth order Runge-Kutta scheme.

A second order artificial dissipation term, \( \mu a V^2 q \) is added to the governing equations to reduce the presence of numerical noise. This is necessary to reduce the non physical oscillations but not to unduly affect the amplitudes of the wave solution. The dissipation term is frozen during each substep of the Runge-Kutta scheme.

The computational aeroacoustic (CAA) domain extends to two wavelengths in the \( x,y \) directions (\( L_{\text{CAA}} = 105R \)). Of interest is the fact that the CAA grid is about three times larger than the CFD grid (due to the large disparate eddy length scales and acoustic wavelengths). An exponential function was used model the CAA grid. A uniform near field is introduced followed by a smooth transition to the far field. Radiation boundary conditions based on [1] were adopted. A seven-point stencil creates three ghost points on the boundary region. Backward differencing was used when necessary to treat the boundary points so that sixth order accuracy was maintained.

**Interpolation from CFD to CAA**

Bilinear interpolation is used to interpolate flow field variables, \( u,v,\zeta \) from the CFD domain to the CAA domain. The flow variables from the CFD grid is stored at every 5 \( \Delta t_{\text{CFD}} \). A six point cubic spline was used to interpolate the acoustic field between the intervals. On the CAA domain which lies outside the CFD region, the velocity and vorticity fields were based on the values of the potential flow velocity and vorticity fields. No spatial truncation of acoustic source terms were performed.

**Results and Discussion**

Results are presented for the flow field for a Reynolds number based on circulation of each vortex, \( Re = \frac{\Gamma o}{\mu c} \). The aspect ratio \( Re c/R \) is 0.15. The initial co-rotation Mach number \( \Omega R_c/c \) is set at 0.06.

**Flow simulations**

The influence of spatial resolution of the flow simulations is studied by using different grid sizes (8, 10, 14 points across vortex core). Temporal damping is tested by choosing different time steps over the spatial grid \( \Delta t_{\text{CFD}} = 0.5s, 0.25s, 0.125s, 0.0625s \). The timewise trace of peak vorticity is used to monitor the effects of numerical dissipation. After extensive testings, for the flow simulations, the spatial grid of 12 points across vortex core and timestep, \( \Delta t_{\text{CFD}} = 0.2933 \) were adopted.

Figure 4 shows the temporal evolution of vorticity contours at various non-dimensionalised time-frames. For the flow field, there are three different regimes (see Figure 5). Between non-dimensionalised time units of 0 and 400, the flow field undergoes four periods of co-rotation. The flow behaviour is almost inviscid-like with the period of co-rotation similar to the case of [8]. Peak-to-peak vorticity distance is almost unity. Between \( tc/R \) of 400 and 580, the angular rotation rate decreases as the vortices move closer to each other to conserve angular momen-
tum. The final state of the flow field is a single vortex patch. Temporal trace of peak-to-peak vorticity indicates that the final 'single' vortex patch actually consists of two intertwined vortex patches. This process of merging is a direct result of flow viscosity. DNS results of [7] show three periods instead of four. This was attributed to compressibility effects in addition to flow viscosity.

**Aeroacoustic simulations**

The CAA grid contains $600^2$ mesh points in the square domain. Resolution wise, there are approximately 30 grid points across the vortex core and far field spacing $\Delta x_{cau}/\lambda = 0.02857$. The maximum value of the local stretching is 2.07%. The time step chosen is $\Delta t_{cau}/R = 0.00229$.

A clear distinction has to be made between the acoustic transients and the far field acoustic waves. The far field acoustic waves are sinusoidal and are of physical nature while the acoustic transients are a result of the numerical approximation to the governing equations. Previous numerical studies [5], [7] have reported on the presence of an initial high frequency component followed by the sinusoidal acoustic pressure. The former were classified as initial acoustic transients. The source of such errors may lie in the impulsively started vortex pair that generates spurious waves. As these waves propagate through the stretched region (see Figures 6 and 7), their amplitudes increase, finally leading to numerical instability.

To achieve temporal continuity in the acoustics simulations, an exponential ramping function was used to ramp the vortex cores to full strength. The ramping interval is achieved through 1600 acoustics time-steps. The corresponding values of the acoustics variables, $q(x,y,t=0)$ will form the initial conditions of the CAA simulations of the unsteady co-rotating vortex pair.

To study the effects of initial conditions, the vortex core velocity and vorticity fields were first ramped up to full strength and subsequently, held stationary. Long time integration will then result in a steady-state acoustic solution. No artificial dissipation was introduced at this stage. In both [4]'s and [9]'s formulations, the implementation of a temporal ramping function has the effect of reducing the amplitudes and frequencies of the spurious reflections of the acoustic transients (see Figures 6 and 7).

Artificial dissipation is added throughout the entire evolution of the acoustic simulations to reduce the small reflections that still persist with the new initial condition. The dissipation parameter, $\mu_a$, is tested over the first three acoustic periods. Numerical simulations are compared with the analytical solution of [8]. The root-mean-square error is evaluated across the entire $x$-axis. The values of $\mu_a$ tested are 8.0, 16.0, 32.0. The chosen value of 32.0 was found subsequently to have an RMS error of $3.248 \times 10^{-5}$.

The far field observation position is placed along the $x$ axis at a distance $\lambda/2$. Using [9]'s theory, the far field acoustic waves show an increase in amplitude as merging occurs. Comparison of the numerical simulations with the analytical solution (Figure 7) indicates that pre-merging, the acoustic periods and amplitudes agree reasonably well. Comparison with [7]'s results (Figure 8) reveals the suppression of the initial acoustic transients using the current method. As the vortex cores begin to merge, the acoustic pressures begin to peak. After the peak, the subsequent minor acoustic oscillations indicate unsteadiness of the flow caused by the rotation of the two intertwined vortex patches.

**Conclusions**

![Vorticity contours at non-dimensionalised time, tc/R = 423, 470, 510, 555. Note: outer contour is set at 1% initial peak vorticity.](image1)

![Trace of separation distance of peak vorticities normalised by 2R. Note r' = r/(2R) where r is the peak-to-peak vorticity distance.](image2)
The numerical application of Lighthill’s acoustic analogy and Powell’s theory of vortex sound is demonstrated. CFD is used to model the flow field of an incompressible, viscous co-rotating vortex pair. The flow field variables are then exported to the CAA grid which computes the far field acoustic signals. Current developments involve analysing the timewise behaviour of the source terms. Future work includes applying the numerical method to studying the acoustics of interacting coherent flow structures (i.e. leap-frogging vortices and collisions of vortices).
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