Gaussian Processes

Brings together several key ideas in one framework

- Bayesian
- kernelised
- non-parametric
- non-linear
- modelling uncertainty

Elegant and powerful framework, with growing popularity in machine learning and application domains.
Gaussian Processes

State of the art for **regression**

▶ exact posterior inference
▶ supports very complex non-linear functions
▶ elegant model selection

Now mature enough for use in NLP

▶ support for classification, ranking, etc
▶ fancy kernels, e.g., text
▶ sparse approximations for large scale inference

Several great toolkits:

https://github.com/SheffieldML/GPy

http://www.gaussianprocess.org/gpml
Tutorial Scope

Covers

1. GP fundamentals (1 hour)
   ▶ focus on regression
   ▶ weight space vs. function space view
   ▶ squared exponential kernel

2. NLP applications (1 hour 15)
   ▶ sparse GPs
   ▶ multi-output GPs
   ▶ kernels
   ▶ model selection

3. Further topics (30 mins)
   ▶ classification and other likelihoods
   ▶ unsupervised inference
   ▶ scaling to big data

See also materials from the GP Summer/Winter Schools
http://ml.dcs.shef.ac.uk/gpss/gpws14/
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The Gaussian Density

Perhaps the most common probability density.

$$p(y|\mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{(y - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$\Delta = \mathcal{N}(y|\mu, \sigma^2)$$

The Gaussian density.
The Gaussian PDF with $\mu = 1.7$ and variance $\sigma^2 = 0.0225$. Mean shown as red line. It could represent the heights of a population of students.
Gaussian Density

\[ \mathcal{N}(y|\mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(y - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \]

\(\sigma^2\) is the variance of the density and \(\mu\) is the mean.
Sum of Gaussians

- Sum of Gaussian variables is also Gaussian.

\[ y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2) \]
Two Important Gaussian Properties

Sum of Gaussians

- Sum of Gaussian variables is also Gaussian.
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Sum of Gaussians

- Sum of Gaussian variables is also Gaussian.

\[ y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2) \]

And the sum is distributed as

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \sim \mathcal{N} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i^2 \right) \]

(Aside: As sum increases, sum of non-Gaussian, finite variance variables is also Gaussian [central limit theorem].)
Two Important Gaussian Properties

Sum of Gaussians

- Sum of Gaussian variables is also Gaussian.

\[ y_i \sim \mathcal{N} \left( \mu_i, \sigma^2_i \right) \]

And the sum is distributed as

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \sim \mathcal{N} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma^2_i \right) \]

(*Aside: As sum increases, sum of non-Gaussian, finite variance variables is also Gaussian [*central limit theorem*].*)
Scaling a Gaussian

Scaling a Gaussian leads to a Gaussian.
Scaling a Gaussian

- Scaling a Gaussian leads to a Gaussian.

\[ y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \]
Two Important Gaussian Properties

Scaling a Gaussian

- Scaling a Gaussian leads to a Gaussian.

\[ y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \]

And the scaled density is distributed as

\[ wy \sim \mathcal{N}(w\mu, w^2\sigma^2) \]
A linear regression between $x$ and $y$. 
Regression Examples

- Predict a real value, $y_i$ given some inputs $x_i$.
- Predict quality of meat given spectral measurements (Tecator data).
- Radiocarbon dating, the C14 calibration curve: predict age given quantity of C14 isotope.
- Predict quality of different Go or Backgammon moves given expert rated training data.
\[ y = mx + c \]
$y = mx + c$
$y = mx + c$
The equation for a straight line is given by:

\[ y = mx + c \]
\[ y = mx + c \]
\[ y = mx + c \]
\[ y = mx + c \]
$y = mx + c$
$y = mx + c$

point 1: $x = 1, y = 3$

\[3 = m + c\]

point 2: $x = 3, y = 1$

\[1 = 3m + c\]

point 3: $x = 2, y = 2.5$

\[2.5 = 2m + c\]
SUR LES PROBABILITÉS.

riens. L'opinion contraire est une illusion de l'esprit qui, perdant de vue les raisons fugitives du choix de la volonté dans les choses indifférentes, se persuade qu'elle s'est déterminée d'elle-même et sans motifs.

Nous devons donc envisager l'état présent de l'univers, comme l'effet de son état antérieur, et comme la cause de celui qui va suivre. Une intelligence qui, pour un instant donné, connaîtrait toutes les forces dont la nature est animée, et la situation respective des êtres qui la composent, si d'ailleurs elle était assez vaste pour soumettre ces données à l'analyse, embrasserait dans la même formule les mouvements des plus grands corps de l'univers et ceux du plus léger atome : rien ne serait incertain pour elle, et l'avenir comme le passé, serait présent à ses yeux. L'esprit humain offre, dans la perfection qu'il a su donner à l'Astronomie, une faible esquisse de cette intelligence. Ses découvertes en Mécanique et en Géométrie, jointes à celle de la pesanteur universelle, l'ont mis à portée de comprendre dans les mêmes expressions analytiques, les états passés et futurs du système du monde. En appliquant la même méthode à quelques autres objets de ses connaissances, il est parvenu à ramener à des lois générales, les phénomènes observés, et à prévoir ceux que des circonstances données doivent faire éclore. Tous ces efforts dans la recherche de la vérité, tendent à le rapprocher sans cesse de l'intelligence que nous venons de concevoir, mais dont il restera toujours infiniment éloigné. Cette tendance propre à l'espèce humaine, est ce qui la rend supérieure aux animaux ; et ses progrès en ce genre, distinguent les nations et les siècles, et font leur véritable gloire.

Rappelons-nous qu'autrefois, et à une époque qui
other, we say that its choice is an effect without a cause. It is then, says Leibnitz, the blind chance of the Epicureans. The contrary opinion is an illusion of the mind, which, losing sight of the evasive reasons of the choice of the will in indifferent things, believes that choice is determined of itself and without motives.

We ought then to regard the present state of the universe as the effect of its anterior state and as the cause of the one which is to follow. Given for one instant an intelligence which could comprehend all the forces by which nature is animated and the respective situation of the beings who compose it—an intelligence sufficiently vast to submit these data to analysis—it would embrace in the same formula the movements of the greatest bodies of the universe and those of the lightest atom; for it, nothing would be uncertain and the future, as the past, would be present to its eyes. The human mind offers, in the perfection which it has been able to give to astronomy, a feeble idea of this intelligence. Its discoveries in mechanics and geometry, added to that of universal gravity, have enabled it to comprehend in the same analytical expressions the past and future states of the system of the world. Applying the same method to some other objects of its knowledge, it has succeeded in referring to general laws observed phenomena and in foreseeing those which given circumstances ought to produce. All these efforts in the search for truth tend to lead it back continually to the vast intelligence which we have just mentioned, but from which it will always remain infinitely removed. This tendency, peculiar to the human race, is that which renders it superior to animals; and their progress
height: "The day will come when, by study pursued through several ages, the things now concealed will appear with evidence; and posterity will be astonished that truths so clear had escaped us." Clairaut then undertook to submit to analysis the perturbations which the comet had experienced by the action of the two great planets, Jupiter and Saturn; after immense calculations he fixed its next passage at the perihelion toward the beginning of April, 1759, which was actually verified by observation. The regularity which astronomy shows us in the movements of the comets doubtless exists also in all phenomena.

The curve described by a simple molecule of air or vapor is regulated in a manner just as certain as the planetary orbits; the only difference between them is that which comes from our ignorance.

Probability is relative, in part to this ignorance, in part to our knowledge. We know that of three or a greater number of events a single one ought to occur; but nothing induces us to believe that one of them will occur rather than the others. In this state of indecision it is impossible for us to announce their occurrence with certainty. It is, however, probable that one of these events, chosen at will, will not occur because we see several cases equally possible which exclude its occurrence, while only a single one favors it.

The theory of chance consists in reducing all the events of the same kind to a certain number of cases equally possible, that is to say, to such as we may be equally undecided about in regard to their existence, and in determining the number of cases favorable to the event whose probability is sought. The ratio of
\[ y = mx + c + \epsilon \]

point 1: \( x = 1, y = 3 \)
\[ 3 = m + c + \epsilon_1 \]

point 2: \( x = 3, y = 1 \)
\[ 1 = 3m + c + \epsilon_2 \]

point 3: \( x = 2, y = 2.5 \)
\[ 2.5 = 2m + c + \epsilon_3 \]
What about two unknowns and one observation?

\[ y_1 = mx_1 + c \]
Can compute $m$ given $c$.

$$m = \frac{y_1 - c}{x}$$
Can compute $m$ given $c$.

$c = 1.75 \implies m = 1.25$
Can compute $m$ given $c$.

$c = -0.777 \implies m = 3.78$
Can compute $m$ given $c$.

\[ c = -4.01 \implies m = 7.01 \]
Can compute $m$ given $c$.

\[ c = -0.718 \implies m = 3.72 \]
Can compute $m$ given $c$.

$c = 2.45 \implies m = 0.545$
Can compute $m$ given $c$.

$c = -0.657 \implies m = 3.66$
Underdetermined System

Can compute $m$ given $c$.

$$c = -3.13 \implies m = 6.13$$
Underdetermined System

Can compute \( m \) given \( c \).

\[
c = -1.47 \implies m = 4.47
\]
Can compute $m$ given $c$. Assume

$$c \sim N(0, 4),$$

we find a distribution of solutions.
Probability for Under- and Overdetermined

- To deal with overdetermined introduced probability distribution for ‘variable’, $\epsilon_i$.
- For underdetermined system introduced probability distribution for ‘parameter’, $c$.
- This is known as a Bayesian treatment.
Multivariate Prior Distributions

- For general Bayesian inference need multivariate priors.
- E.g. for multivariate linear regression:

\[ y_i = \sum_i w_j x_{i,j} + \epsilon_i \]

(where we’ve dropped \( c \) for convenience), we need a prior over \( \mathbf{w} \).

- This motivates a multivariate Gaussian density.
- We will use the multivariate Gaussian to put a prior directly on the function (a Gaussian process).
For general Bayesian inference need multivariate priors.

E.g. for multivariate linear regression:

\[ y_i = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_{i,:} + \epsilon_i \]

(where we’ve dropped \( c \) for convenience), we need a prior over \( \mathbf{w} \).

This motivates a *multivariate* Gaussian density.

We will use the multivariate Gaussian to put a prior directly on the function (a Gaussian process).
Prior Distribution

- Bayesian inference requires a prior on the parameters.
- The prior represents your belief *before* you see the data of the likely value of the parameters.
- For linear regression, consider a Gaussian prior on the intercept:
  \[ c \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \alpha_1) \]
Posterior Distribution

- Posterior distribution is found by combining the prior with the likelihood.
- Posterior distribution is your belief after you see the data of the likely value of the parameters.
- The posterior is found through **Bayes’ Rule**

\[
p(c|y) = \frac{p(y|c)p(c)}{p(y)}
\]
**Figure**: A Gaussian prior combines with a Gaussian likelihood for a Gaussian posterior.

\[ p(c) = \mathcal{N}(c|0, \alpha_1) \]
Bayes Update

$p(c) = \mathcal{N}(c|0, \alpha_1)$

$p(y|m, c, x, \sigma^2) = \mathcal{N}(y|mx + c, \sigma^2)$

**Figure:** A Gaussian prior combines with a Gaussian likelihood for a Gaussian posterior.
Bayes Update

Figure: A Gaussian prior combines with a Gaussian likelihood for a Gaussian posterior.

\[ p(c) = \mathcal{N}(c|0, \alpha_1) \]

\[ p(y|m, c, x, \sigma^2) = \mathcal{N}(y|mx + c, \sigma^2) \]

\[ p(c|y, m, x, \sigma^2) = \mathcal{N}(c|\frac{y-mx}{1+\sigma^2/\alpha_1}, \frac{\sigma^{-2} + \alpha^{-1}}{1+\sigma^2/\alpha_1}) \]
Stages to Derivation of the Posterior

- Multiply likelihood by prior
  - they are “exponentiated quadratics”, the answer is always also an exponentiated quadratic because \( \exp(a^2) \exp(b^2) = \exp(a^2 + b^2) \).
- Complete the square to get the resulting density in the form of a Gaussian.
- Recognise the mean and (co)variance of the Gaussian. This is the estimate of the posterior.
Multivariate Regression Likelihood

- Noise corrupted data point

\[ y_i = w^\top x_{i:} + \epsilon_i \]
Multivariate Regression Likelihood

- Noise corrupted data point

\[ y_i = w^\top x_i; + \epsilon_i \]

- Multivariate regression likelihood:

\[
p(y|X, w) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - w^\top x_{i,:})^2 \right)
\]
Multivariate Regression Likelihood

- Noise corrupted data point

\[ y_i = w^\top x_i; + \epsilon_i \]

- Multivariate regression likelihood:

\[
p(y|X, w) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp \left( - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - w^\top x_i;)^2 \right)\]

- Now use a multivariate Gaussian prior:

\[
p(w) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\alpha)^{p/2}} \exp \left( - \frac{1}{2\alpha} w^\top w \right)\]
Consider height, $h/m$ and weight, $w/kg$.

Could sample height from a distribution:

$$p(h) \sim \mathcal{N}(1.7, 0.0225)$$

And similarly weight:

$$p(w) \sim \mathcal{N}(75, 36)$$
Height and Weight Models

Gaussian distributions for height and weight.
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Independence Assumption

- This assumes height and weight are independent.

\[ p(h, w) = p(h)p(w) \]

- In reality they are dependent (body mass index) = \( \frac{w}{h^2} \).
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Independent Gaussians

\[ p(w, h) = p(w)p(h) \]
Independent Gaussians

\[ p(w, h) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_1^2} \sqrt{2\pi\sigma_2^2}} \exp\left( -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{(w - \mu_1)^2}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{(h - \mu_2)^2}{\sigma_2^2} \right) \right) \]
Independent Gaussians

\[ p(w, h) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{bmatrix} w \\ h \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix} \right)^\top \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2^2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \left( \begin{bmatrix} w \\ h \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right) \]
Independent Gaussians

\[ p(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi |D|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} (y - \mu)^\top D^{-1} (y - \mu) \right) \]
Correlated Gaussian

Form correlated from original by rotating the data space using matrix $\mathbf{R}$.

$$
p(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi |\mathbf{D}|^{1/2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} (y - \mu)^\top \mathbf{D}^{-1} (y - \mu) \right)
$$
Correlated Gaussian

Form correlated from original by rotating the data space using matrix $\mathbf{R}$.

$$p(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi |\mathbf{D}|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{R}^\top y - \mathbf{R}^\top \mu)^\top \mathbf{D}^{-1} (\mathbf{R}^\top y - \mathbf{R}^\top \mu) \right)$$
Correlated Gaussian

Form correlated from original by rotating the data space using matrix $\mathbf{R}$.

$$p(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi |\mathbf{D}|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2}(y - \mu)^\top \mathbf{R} \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{R}^\top (y - \mu) \right)$$

this gives a covariance matrix:

$$\mathbf{C}^{-1} = \mathbf{R} \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{R}^\top$$
Correlated Gaussian

Form correlated from original by rotating the data space using matrix $R$.

$$p(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi |C|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} (y - \mu)^\top C^{-1} (y - \mu) \right)$$

this gives a covariance matrix:

$$C = RDR^\top$$
Recall Univariate Gaussian Properties

1. Sum of Gaussian variables is also Gaussian.

\[ y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2) \]
Recall Univariate Gaussian Properties

1. Sum of Gaussian variables is also Gaussian.

$$y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i^2\right)$$
Recall Univariate Gaussian Properties

1. Sum of Gaussian variables is also Gaussian.

\[ y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2) \]

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i^2\right) \]

2. Scaling a Gaussian leads to a Gaussian.
Recall Univariate Gaussian Properties

1. Sum of Gaussian variables is also Gaussian.

\[ y_i \sim \mathcal{N} \left( \mu_i, \sigma_i^2 \right) \]

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \sim \mathcal{N} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i^2 \right) \]

2. Scaling a Gaussian leads to a Gaussian.

\[ y \sim \mathcal{N} \left( \mu, \sigma^2 \right) \]
Recall Univariate Gaussian Properties

1. Sum of Gaussian variables is also Gaussian.

\[ y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2) \]

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i^2\right) \]

2. Scaling a Gaussian leads to a Gaussian.

\[ y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \]

\[ wy \sim \mathcal{N}(w\mu, w^2\sigma^2) \]
If \( x \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma) \) and \( y = Wx \), then \( y \sim \mathcal{N}(W\mu, W\Sigma W^\top) \).
Multivariate Consequence

- If
  \[ x \sim N(\mu, \Sigma) \]
- And
  \[ y = Wx \]
If 

\[ x \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma) \]

And

\[ y = Wx \]

Then

\[ y \sim \mathcal{N}(W\mu, W\Sigma W^\top) \]
Sampling a Function

Multi-variate Gaussians

- We will consider a Gaussian with a particular structure of covariance matrix.
- Generate a single sample from this 25 dimensional Gaussian distribution, $f = [f_1, f_2 \ldots f_{25}]$.
- We will plot these points against their index.
Figure: A sample from a 25 dimensional Gaussian distribution.

(a) A 25 dimensional correlated random variable (values plotted against index)

(b) colormap showing correlations between dimensions.
Figure: A sample from a 25 dimensional Gaussian distribution.

(a) A 25 dimensional correlated random variable (values plotted against index).

(b) Colormap showing correlations between dimensions.
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Figure: A sample from a 25 dimensional Gaussian distribution.
Gaussian Distribution Sample

(a) A 25 dimensional correlated random variable (values plotted against index)

(b) correlation between $f_1$ and $f_2$.

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0.96587 \\
0.96587 & 1 
\end{pmatrix}
\]

**Figure**: A sample from a 25 dimensional Gaussian distribution.
The single contour of the Gaussian density represents the joint distribution, $p(f_1, f_2)$. 

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0.96587 \\
0.96587 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
$$
Prediction of $f_2$ from $f_1$

- The single contour of the Gaussian density represents the joint distribution, $p(f_1, f_2)$.
- We observe that $f_1 = -0.313$.

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0.96587 \\
0.96587 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
$$
Prediction of $f_2$ from $f_1$

- The single contour of the Gaussian density represents the **joint distribution**, $p(f_1, f_2)$.
- We observe that $f_1 = -0.313$.
- Conditional density: $p(f_2|f_1 = -0.313)$. 

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0.96587 \\
0.96587 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
$$
The single contour of the Gaussian density represents the joint distribution, $p(f_1, f_2)$.

- We observe that $f_1 = -0.313$.
- Conditional density: $p(f_2|f_1 = -0.313)$. 

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0.96587 \\
0.96587 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Prediction with Correlated Gaussians

- Prediction of $f_2$ from $f_1$ requires conditional density.
- Conditional density is also Gaussian.

\[
p(f_2|f_1) = \mathcal{N}\left(f_2|\frac{k_{1,2}}{k_{1,1}} f_1, k_{2,2} - \frac{k^2_{1,2}}{k_{1,1}}\right)
\]

where covariance of joint density is given by

\[
K = \begin{bmatrix}
k_{1,1} & k_{1,2} \\
k_{2,1} & k_{2,2}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
The single contour of the Gaussian density represents the joint distribution, $p(f_1, f_5)$. 

$$ egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.57375 \\ 0.57375 & 1 \end{pmatrix} $$

Positioned as $f_1 = -0.3135$. 

The prediction of $f_5$ from $f_1$
The single contour of the Gaussian density represents the joint distribution, $p(f_1, f_5)$.

We observe that $f_1 = -0.313$. 
The single contour of the Gaussian density represents the joint distribution, $p(f_1, f_5)$.

We observe that $f_1 = -0.313$.

Conditional density: $p(f_5|f_1 = -0.313)$. 

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0.57375 \\
0.57375 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
$$
The single contour of the Gaussian density represents the joint distribution, $p(f_1, f_5)$.

We observe that $f_1 = -0.313$.

Conditional density: $p(f_5|f_1 = -0.313)$. 

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0.57375 \\
0.57375 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]
➤ Prediction of $f_*$ from $f$ requires multivariate conditional density.

➤ Multivariate conditional density is also Gaussian.

$$p(f_*|f) = \mathcal{N}(f_*|K_{*,f}K^{-1}_{f,f}f, K_{*,*} - K_{*,f}K^{-1}_{f,f}K_{f,*})$$

➤ Here covariance of joint density is given by

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} K_{f,f} & K_{*,f} \\ K_{f,*} & K_{*,*} \end{bmatrix}$$
Prediction with Correlated Gaussians

- Prediction of $f_*$ from $f$ requires multivariate conditional density.
- Multivariate conditional density is also Gaussian.

$$p(f_*|f) = \mathcal{N}(f_*|\mu, \Sigma)$$

$$\mu = K_{*,f}K_{f,f}^{-1}f$$

$$\Sigma = K_{*,*} - K_{*,f}K_{f,f}^{-1}K_{f,*}$$

- Here covariance of joint density is given by

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} K_{f,f} & K_{*,f} \\ K_{f,*} & K_{*,*} \end{bmatrix}$$
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

Exponentiated Quadratic Kernel Function (RBF, Squared Exponential, Gaussian)

\[ k(x, x') = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - x'|^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

- Covariance matrix is built using the *inputs* to the function \( x \).
- For the example above it was based on Euclidean distance.
- The covariance function is also known as a kernel.
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

Exponentiated Quadratic Kernel Function (RBF, Squared Exponential, Gaussian)

\[ k(x, x') = \alpha \exp \left( -\frac{\|x - x'\|^2}{2\ell^2} \right) \]

- Covariance matrix is built using the inputs to the function \( x \).
- For the example above it was based on Euclidean distance.
- The covariance function is also know as a kernel.
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i - x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \ x_1 = -3.0 \]

\[ k_{1,1} = 1.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(-3.0-3.0)^2}{2\times2.00^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \ x_2 = 1.20, \text{ and } x_3 = 1.40 \text{ with } \ell = 2.00 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \ x_1 = -3.0 \]

\[ k_{1,1} = 1.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(-3.0-(-3.0))^2}{2\times2.00^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \ x_2 = 1.20, \ and \ x_3 = 1.40 \ with \ \ell = 2.00 \ and \ \alpha = 1.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[
k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right)
\]

\[
x_2 = 1.20, \ x_1 = -3.0
\]

\[
k_{2,1} = 1.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.20-(-3.0))^2}{2\times2.00^2}\right)
\]

\[
x_1 = -3.0, \ x_2 = 1.20, \text{ and } x_3 = 1.40 \text{ with } \ell = 2.00 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.00.
\]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[ x_2 = 1.20, \ x_1 = -3.0 \]

\[ k_{2,1} = 1.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.20-(-3.0))^2}{2\times 2.00^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \ x_2 = 1.20, \text{ and } x_3 = 1.40 \text{ with } \ell = 2.00 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[ x_2 = 1.20, \quad x_1 = -3.0 \]

\[ k_{2,1} = 1.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.20-(-3.0))^2}{2\times2.00^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \quad x_2 = 1.20, \quad \text{and} \quad x_3 = 1.40 \quad \text{with} \quad \ell = 2.00 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = 1.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[ x_2 = 1.20, x_2 = 1.20 \]

\[ k_{2,2} = 1.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.20-1.20)^2}{2\times2.00^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, x_2 = 1.20, \text{ and } x_3 = 1.40 \text{ with } \ell = 2.00 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
x_2 = 1.20,\ x_2 = 1.20
\]

\[
k_{2,2} = 1.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.20-1.20)^2}{2\times2.00^2}\right)
\]

\[
x_1 = -3.0,\ x_2 = 1.20,\ \text{and}\ x_3 = 1.40\ \text{with}\ \ell = 2.00\ \text{and}\ \alpha = 1.00.
\]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp \left( -\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2} \right) \]

\[ \begin{bmatrix}
  1.00 & 0.110 \\
  0.110 & 1.00 \\
\end{bmatrix} \]

\[ x_3 = 1.40, x_1 = -3.0 \]

\[ k_{3,1} = 1.00 \times \exp \left( -\frac{(1.40-(-3.0))^2}{2 \times 2.00^2} \right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, x_2 = 1.20, \text{ and } x_3 = 1.40 \text{ with } \ell = 2.00 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[
k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right)
\]

\[
x_3 = 1.40, \quad x_1 = -3.0
\]

\[
k_{3,1} = 1.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.40-(-3.0))^2}{2\times2.00^2}\right)
\]

\[
x_1 = -3.0, \quad x_2 = 1.20, \quad \text{and} \quad x_3 = 1.40 \quad \text{with} \quad \ell = 2.00 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = 1.00.
\]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[ \begin{bmatrix}
1.00 & 0.110 & 0.0889 \\
0.110 & 1.00 & \\
0.0889 & & 
\end{bmatrix} \]

\[ k_{3,1} = 1.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.40-3.0)^2}{2\times2.00^2}\right) \]

\[ \begin{bmatrix}
1.00 & 0.110 & 0.0889 \\
0.110 & 1.00 & \\
0.0889 & & 
\end{bmatrix} \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \quad x_2 = 1.20, \quad \text{and} \quad x_3 = 1.40 \quad \text{with} \quad \ell = 2.00 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = 1.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i - x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1.00 & 0.110 & 0.0889 \\
0.110 & 1.00 & \\
0.0889 & &
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ x_3 = 1.40, \ x_2 = 1.20 \]

\[ k_{3,2} = 1.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.40-1.20)^2}{2\times2.00^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \ x_2 = 1.20, \text{ and } x_3 = 1.40 \text{ with } \ell = 2.00 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i - x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1.00 & 0.110 & 0.0889 \\
0.110 & 1.00 \\
0.0889 & 0.995
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ k_{3,2} = 1.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.40-1.20)^2}{2\times2.00^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \ x_2 = 1.20, \ \text{and} \ x_3 = 1.40 \ \text{with} \ \ell = 2.00 \ \text{and} \ \alpha = 1.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

$$k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i - x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right)$$

$$x_3 = 1.40, \ x_2 = 1.20$$

$$k_{3,2} = 1.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.40 - 1.20)^2}{2 \times 2.00^2}\right)$$

$$x_1 = -3.0, \ x_2 = 1.20, \text{ and } x_3 = 1.40 \text{ with } \ell = 2.00 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.00.$$
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{\|x_i-x_j\|^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1.00 & 0.110 & 0.0889 \\
0.110 & 1.00 & 0.995 \\
0.0889 & 0.995 & 1.00
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \ x_2 = 1.20, \text{ and } x_3 = 1.40 \text{ with } \ell = 2.00 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1.00 & 0.110 & 0.0889 \\
0.110 & 1.00 & 0.995 \\
0.0889 & 0.995 & 1.00
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ k_{3,3} = 1.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.40-1.40)^2}{2\times2.00^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \ x_2 = 1.20, \ \text{and} \ x_3 = 1.40 \ \text{with} \ \ell = 2.00 \ \text{and} \ \alpha = 1.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[ x_3 = 1.40, \quad x_3 = 1.40 \]

\[ k_{3,3} = 1.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.40-1.40)^2}{2\times2.00^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \quad x_2 = 1.20, \quad \text{and} \quad x_3 = 1.40 \quad \text{with} \quad \ell = 2.00 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = 1.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp \left( -\frac{||x_i - x_j||^2}{2\ell^2} \right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3, \quad x_1 = -3 \]

\[ k_{1,1} = 1.0 \times \exp \left( -\frac{(-3--3)^2}{2\times2.0^2} \right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3, \quad x_2 = 1.2, \quad x_3 = 1.4, \quad \text{and} \quad x_4 = 2.0 \quad \text{with} \quad \ell = 2.0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[ \begin{bmatrix} 1.0 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ x_1 = -3, \ x_1 = -3 \]

\[ k_{1,1} = 1.0 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(-3-(-3))^2}{2\times2.0^2}\right) \]

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ x_1 = -3, \ x_2 = 1.2, \ x_3 = 1.4, \text{ and } x_4 = 2.0 \text{ with } \ell = 2.0 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[ x_2 = 1.2, \ x_1 = -3 \]

\[ k_{2,1} = 1.0 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.2-(-3))^2}{2 \times 2.0^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3, \ x_2 = 1.2, \ x_3 = 1.4, \text{ and } x_4 = 2.0 \text{ with } \ell = 2.0 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left( -\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2} \right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1.0 \\
0.11 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ x_2 = 1.2, \ x_1 = -3 \]

\[ k_{2,1} = 1.0 \times \exp\left( -\frac{(1.2-(-3))^2}{2\times2.0^2} \right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3, \ x_2 = 1.2, \ x_3 = 1.4, \text{ and } x_4 = 2.0 \text{ with } \ell = 2.0 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1.0 & 0.11 \\
0.11 & 1.0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ k_{2,1} = 1.0 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.2-(-3))^2}{2\times2.0^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3, \ x_2 = 1.2, \ x_3 = 1.4, \ \text{and} \ x_4 = 2.0 \ \text{with} \ \ell = 2.0 \ \text{and} \ \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left( -\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2} \right) \]

\[ k_{2,2} = 1.0 \times \exp\left( -\frac{(1.2-1.2)^2}{2\times2.0^2} \right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3, x_2 = 1.2, x_3 = 1.4, \text{ and } x_4 = 2.0 \text{ with } \ell = 2.0 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1.0 & 0.11 \\
0.11 & 1.0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ x_1 = -3, \ x_2 = 1.2, \ x_3 = 1.4, \text{ and } x_4 = 2.0 \text{ with } \ell = 2.0 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}) \]

\[ \begin{bmatrix} 1.0 & 0.11 \\ 0.11 & 1.0 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ x_3 = 1.4, x_1 = -3 \]

\[ k_{3,1} = 1.0 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.4 - -3)^2}{2 \times 2.0^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3, x_2 = 1.2, x_3 = 1.4, \text{ and } x_4 = 2.0 \text{ with } \ell = 2.0 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[ k_{3,1} = 1.0 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.4-3)^2}{2\times2.0^2}\right) \]

\[ \begin{bmatrix}
1.0 & 0.11 \\
0.11 & 1.0 \\
0.089 & \\
\end{bmatrix} \]

\[ x_1 = -3, x_2 = 1.2, x_3 = 1.4, \text{ and } x_4 = 2.0 \text{ with } \ell = 2.0 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp \left( -\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2} \right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1.0 & 0.11 & 0.089 \\
0.11 & 1.0 & \\
0.089 & & \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ x_3 = 1.4, \ x_1 = -3 \]

\[ k_{3,1} = 1.0 \times \exp \left( -\frac{(1.4-(-3))^2}{2\times2.0^2} \right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3, \ x_2 = 1.2, \ x_3 = 1.4, \ \text{and} \ x_4 = 2.0 \ \text{with} \ \ell = 2.0 \ \text{and} \ \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp \left( -\frac{||x_i - x_j||^2}{2\ell^2} \right) \]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1.0 & 0.11 & 0.089 \\
0.11 & 1.0 & \\
0.089 & \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[ x_3 = 1.4, \; x_2 = 1.2 \]

\[ k_{3,2} = 1.0 \times \exp \left( -\frac{(1.4-1.2)^2}{2 \times 2.0^2} \right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3, \; x_2 = 1.2, \; x_3 = 1.4, \; \text{and} \; x_4 = 2.0 \; \text{with} \; \ell = 2.0 \; \text{and} \; \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1.0 & 0.11 & 0.089 \\
0.11 & 1.0 & \\
0.089 & 1.0 & \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ x_3 = 1.4, \ x_2 = 1.2 \]

\[ k_{3,2} = 1.0 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.4-1.2)^2}{2\times2.0^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3, \ x_2 = 1.2, \ x_3 = 1.4, \ \text{and} \ x_4 = 2.0 \ \text{with} \ \ell = 2.0 \ \text{and} \ \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1.0 & 0.11 & 0.089 \\
0.11 & 1.0 & 1.0 \\
0.089 & 1.0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ x_3 = 1.4, \; x_2 = 1.2 \]

\[ k_{3,2} = 1.0 \times \exp\left(-\left(\frac{(1.4-1.2)^2}{2\times2.0^2}\right)\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3, \; x_2 = 1.2, \; x_3 = 1.4, \; \text{and} \; x_4 = 2.0 \; \text{with} \; \ell = 2.0 \; \text{and} \; \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left( -\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2} \right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1.0 & 0.11 & 0.089 \\
0.11 & 1.0 & 1.0 \\
0.089 & 1.0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ x_3 = 1.4, x_3 = 1.4 \]

\[ k_{3,3} = 1.0 \times \exp\left( -\frac{(1.4-1.4)^2}{2\times2.0^2} \right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3, x_2 = 1.2, x_3 = 1.4, \text{ and } x_4 = 2.0 \text{ with } \ell = 2.0 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1.0 & 0.11 & 0.089 \\
0.11 & 1.0 & 1.0 \\
0.089 & 1.0 & 1.0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ k_{3,3} = 1.0 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.4-1.4)^2}{2\times2.0^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3, \ x_2 = 1.2, \ x_3 = 1.4, \ \text{and} \ x_4 = 2.0 \ \text{with} \ \ell = 2.0 \ \text{and} \ \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1.0 & 0.11 & 0.089 \\
0.11 & 1.0 & 1.0 \\
0.089 & 1.0 & 1.0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
x_4 = 2.0, \quad x_1 = -3
\]

\[
k_{4,1} = 1.0 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(2.0-(-3))^2}{2 \times 2.0^2}\right)
\]

\[
x_1 = -3, \quad x_2 = 1.2, \quad x_3 = 1.4, \quad \text{and} \quad x_4 = 2.0 \quad \text{with} \quad \ell = 2.0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = 1.0.
\]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i - x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[ \begin{bmatrix} 1.0 & 0.11 & 0.089 \\ 0.11 & 1.0 & 1.0 \\ 0.089 & 1.0 & 1.0 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ k_{4,1} = 1.0 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(2.0 - -3)^2}{2 \times 2.0^2}\right) = 0.044 \]

\[ x_1 = -3, x_2 = 1.2, x_3 = 1.4, \text{ and } x_4 = 2.0 \text{ with } \ell = 2.0 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp \left( -\frac{||x_i - x_j||^2}{2\ell^2} \right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1.0 & 0.11 & 0.089 & 0.044 \\
0.11 & 1.0 & 1.0 & \\
0.089 & 1.0 & 1.0 & \\
0.044 & & & \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ x_4 = 2.0, \; x_1 = -3 \]

\[ k_{4,1} = 1.0 \times \exp \left( -\frac{(2.0 - (-3))^2}{2\times2.0^2} \right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3, \; x_2 = 1.2, \; x_3 = 1.4, \text{ and } x_4 = 2.0 \text{ with } \ell = 2.0 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1.0 & 0.11 & 0.089 & 0.044 \\
0.11 & 1.0 & 1.0 & \\
0.089 & 1.0 & 1.0 & \\
0.044 & & & \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ x_4 = 2.0, \ x_2 = 1.2 \]

\[ k_{4,2} = 1.0 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(2.0-1.2)^2}{2\times2.0^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3, \ x_2 = 1.2, \ x_3 = 1.4, \text{ and } x_4 = 2.0 \text{ with } \ell = 2.0 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1.0 & 0.11 & 0.089 & 0.044 \\
0.11 & 1.0 & 1.0 \\
0.089 & 1.0 & 1.0 \\
0.044 & 0.92
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ x_4 = 2.0, \quad x_2 = 1.2 \]

\[ k_{4,2} = 1.0 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(2.0-1.2)^2}{2\times 2.0^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3, \quad x_2 = 1.2, \quad x_3 = 1.4, \quad \text{and} \quad x_4 = 2.0 \quad \text{with} \quad \ell = 2.0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions
Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1.0 & 0.11 & 0.089 & 0.044 \\
0.11 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 0.92 \\
0.089 & 1.0 & 1.0 \\
0.044 & 0.92 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ x_4 = 2.0, \ x_2 = 1.2 \]

\[ k_{4,2} = 1.0 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(2.0-1.2)^2}{2\times2.0^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3, \ x_2 = 1.2, \ x_3 = 1.4, \ \text{and} \ x_4 = 2.0 \ \text{with} \ \ell = 2.0 \ \text{and} \ \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp \left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1.0 & 0.11 & 0.089 & 0.044 \\
0.11 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 0.92 \\
0.089 & 1.0 & 1.0 \\
0.044 & 0.92 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ x_4 = 2.0, x_3 = 1.4 \]

\[ k_{4,3} = 1.0 \times \exp \left(-\frac{(2.0-1.4)^2}{2\times2.0^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3, x_2 = 1.2, x_3 = 1.4, \text{ and } x_4 = 2.0 \text{ with } \ell = 2.0 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[ \begin{bmatrix}
 1.0 & 0.11 & 0.089 & 0.044 \\
 0.11 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 0.92 \\
 0.089 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 0.96 \\
 0.044 & 0.92 & 0.96 & \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ x_4 = 2.0, x_3 = 1.4 \]

\[ k_{4,3} = 1.0 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(2.0-1.4)^2}{2 \times 2.0^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3, x_2 = 1.2, x_3 = 1.4, \text{ and } x_4 = 2.0 \text{ with } \ell = 2.0 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

$k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right)$

$k_{4,3} = 1.0 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(2.0-1.4)^2}{2 \times 2.0^2}\right)$

$x_4 = 2.0, x_3 = 1.4$

$x_1 = -3, x_2 = 1.2, x_3 = 1.4, \text{ and } x_4 = 2.0 \text{ with } \ell = 2.0 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.0.$
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

$$k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right)$$

$$k_{4,4} = 1.0 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(2.0-2.0)^2}{2\times2.0^2}\right)$$

$$x_1 = -3, \; x_2 = 1.2, \; x_3 = 1.4, \; \text{and} \; x_4 = 2.0 \; \text{with} \; \ell = 2.0 \; \text{and} \; \alpha = 1.0.$$
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i - x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[ k_{4,4} = 1.0 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(2.0-2.0)^2}{2 \times 2.0^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3, \ x_2 = 1.2, \ x_3 = 1.4, \text{ and } x_4 = 2.0 \text{ with } \ell = 2.0 \text{ and } \alpha = 1.0. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

- \( x_4 = 2.0, x_4 = 2.0 \)

\[ k_{4,4} = 1.0 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(2.0-2.0)^2}{2\times2.0^2}\right) \]

- \( x_1 = -3, x_2 = 1.2, x_3 = 1.4, \) and \( x_4 = 2.0 \) with \( \ell = 2.0 \) and \( \alpha = 1.0. \)
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp \left( -\frac{\|x_i - x_j\|^2}{2\ell^2} \right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, x_1 = -3.0 \]

\[ k_{1,1} = 4.00 \times \exp \left( -\frac{(-3.0-(-3.0))^2}{2 \times 5.00^2} \right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, x_2 = 1.20, \text{ and } x_3 = 1.40 \text{ with } \ell = 5.00 \text{ and } \alpha = 4.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \quad x_1 = -3.0 \]

\[ k_{1,1} = 4.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(3.0-3.0)^2}{2\times5.00^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \quad x_2 = 1.20, \quad \text{and} \quad x_3 = 1.40 \text{ with } \ell = 5.00 \text{ and } \alpha = 4.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i - x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[ k_{2,1} = 4.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.20 - (-3.0))^2}{2 \times 5.00^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \ x_2 = 1.20, \text{ and } x_3 = 1.40 \text{ with } \ell = 5.00 \text{ and } \alpha = 4.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left( -\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2} \right) \]

\[
x_2 = 1.20, \quad x_1 = -3.0
\]

\[ k_{2,1} = 4.00 \times \exp\left( -\frac{(1.20-(-3.0))^2}{2\times5.00^2} \right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \quad x_2 = 1.20, \quad \text{and} \quad x_3 = 1.40 \quad \text{with} \quad \ell = 5.00 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = 4.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[
k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right)
\]

\[
x_2 = 1.20, x_1 = -3.0
\]

\[
k_{2,1} = 4.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.20-(-3.0))^2}{2 \times 5.00^2}\right)
\]

\[
x_1 = -3.0, x_2 = 1.20, \text{ and } x_3 = 1.40 \text{ with } \ell = 5.00 \text{ and } \alpha = 4.00.
\]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
4.00 & 2.81 \\
2.81 & 2.81
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ x_2 = 1.20, x_2 = 1.20 \]

\[ k_{2,2} = 4.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.20-1.20)^2}{2 \times 5.00^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, x_2 = 1.20, \text{ and } x_3 = 1.40 \text{ with } \ell = 5.00 \text{ and } \alpha = 4.00. \]
Covariance Functions
Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[
k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i - x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right)
\]

\[
k_{2,2} = 4.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.20-1.20)^2}{2\times5.00^2}\right)
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
4.00 & 2.81 \\
2.81 & 4.00
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
x_1 = -3.0, \ x_2 = 1.20, \ \text{and} \ x_3 = 1.40 \ \text{with} \ \ell = 5.00 \ \text{and} \ \alpha = 4.00.
\]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
4.00 & 2.81 \\
2.81 & 4.00
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ x_3 = 1.40, \ x_1 = -3.0 \]

\[ k_{3,1} = 4.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.40-(-3.0))^2}{2\times5.00^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \ x_2 = 1.20, \ \text{and} \ x_3 = 1.40 \ \text{with} \ \ell = 5.00 \ \text{and} \ \alpha = 4.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i - x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
4.00 & 2.81 \\
2.81 & 4.00 \\
2.72 &
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ x_3 = 1.40, x_1 = -3.0 \]

\[ k_{3,1} = 4.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.40 - -3.0)^2}{2 \times 5.00^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, x_2 = 1.20, \text{ and } x_3 = 1.40 \text{ with } \ell = 5.00 \text{ and } \alpha = 4.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

$$k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i - x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right)$$

$$x_3 = 1.40, \ x_1 = -3.0$$

$$k_{3,1} = 4.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.40 - -3.0)^2}{2 \times 5.00^2}\right)$$

$$x_1 = -3.0, \ x_2 = 1.20, \text{ and } x_3 = 1.40 \text{ with } \ell = 5.00 \text{ and } \alpha = 4.00.$$
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i - x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
4.00 & 2.81 & 2.72 \\
2.81 & 4.00 \\
2.72
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ x_3 = 1.40, \ x_2 = 1.20 \]

\[ k_{3,2} = 4.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.40 - 1.20)^2}{2 \times 5.00^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \ x_2 = 1.20, \ \text{and} \ x_3 = 1.40 \ \text{with} \ \ell = 5.00 \ \text{and} \ \alpha = 4.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i - x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
4.00 & 2.81 & 2.72 \\
2.81 & 4.00 & \\
2.72 & 4.00 & 
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ x_3 = 1.40, \ x_2 = 1.20 \]

\[ k_{3,2} = 4.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.40-1.20)^2}{2 \times 5.00^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \ x_2 = 1.20, \text{ and } x_3 = 1.40 \text{ with } \ell = 5.00 \text{ and } \alpha = 4.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
4.00 & 2.81 & 2.72 \\
2.81 & 4.00 & 4.00 \\
2.72 & 4.00 & \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ x_3 = 1.40, \ x_2 = 1.20 \]

\[ k_{3,2} = 4.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.40-1.20)^2}{2\times5.00^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \ x_2 = 1.20, \ \text{and} \ x_3 = 1.40 \ \text{with} \ \ell = 5.00 \ \text{and} \ \alpha = 4.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left( -\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2} \right) \]

\[ k_{3,3} = 4.00 \times \exp\left( -\frac{(1.40-1.40)^2}{2\times5.00^2} \right) \]

\[ \begin{bmatrix}
  4.00 & 2.81 & 2.72 \\
  2.81 & 4.00 & 4.00 \\
  2.72 & 4.00 & \\
\end{bmatrix} \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \ x_2 = 1.20, \ \text{and} \ x_3 = 1.40 \ \text{with} \ \ell = 5.00 \ \text{and} \ \alpha = 4.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i-x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
4.00 & 2.81 & 2.72 \\
2.81 & 4.00 & 4.00 \\
2.72 & 4.00 & 4.00 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ k_{3,3} = 4.00 \times \exp\left(-\frac{(1.40-1.40)^2}{2\times5.00^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \ x_2 = 1.20, \ \text{and} \ x_3 = 1.40 \ \text{with} \ \ell = 5.00 \ \text{and} \ \alpha = 4.00. \]
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{||x_i - x_j||^2}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

\[ x_1 = -3.0, \quad x_2 = 1.20, \quad \text{and} \quad x_3 = 1.40 \quad \text{with} \quad \ell = 5.00 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = 4.00. \]
Outline

The Gaussian Density

Covariance from Basis Functions

Basis Function Representations
Basis Function Form

Radial basis functions commonly have the form

\[ \phi_k(x_i) = \exp \left( -\frac{|x_i - \mu_k|^2}{2\ell^2} \right). \]

- Basis function maps data into a “feature space” in which a linear sum is a non-linear function.

Figure: A set of radial basis functions with width \( \ell = 2 \) and location parameters \( \mu = [-4 \ 0 \ 4]^T \).
Basis Function Representations

- Represent a function by a linear sum over a basis,

\[ f(x_i,:; \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} w_k \phi_k(x_i,:) \tag{1} \]

- Here: \( m \) basis functions and \( \phi_k(\cdot) \) is \( k \)th basis function and

\[ \mathbf{w} = [w_1, \ldots, w_m]^\top. \]

- For standard linear model: \( \phi_k(x_i,:) = x_{i,k} \).
Random Functions

Functions derived using:

\[ f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} w_k \phi_k(x), \]

where \( W \) is sampled from a Gaussian density,

\[ w_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \alpha). \]

**Figure**: Functions sampled using the basis set from figure 3. Each line is a separate sample, generated by a weighted sum of the basis set. The weights, \( w \) are sampled from a Gaussian density with variance \( \alpha = 1 \).
Use matrix notation to write function,

\[ f(x_i; w) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} w_k \phi_k(x_i) \]
Direct Construction of Covariance Matrix

Use matrix notation to write function,

\[ f(x_i; w) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} w_k \phi_k(x_i) \]

computed at training data gives a vector

\[ f = \Phi w. \]
Use matrix notation to write function,

\[ f (x_i; w) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} w_k \phi_k (x_i) \]

computed at training data gives a vector

\[ f = \Phi w. \]

\[ w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \alpha I) \]
Use matrix notation to write function,

\[ f(x_i; w) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} w_k \phi_k(x_i) \]

computed at training data gives a vector

\[ f = \Phi w. \]

\[ w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \alpha I) \]

\( w \) and \( f \) are only related by an inner product.
Use matrix notation to write function,

\[ f(x_i; w) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} w_k \phi_k(x_i) \]

computed at training data gives a vector

\[ f = \Phi w. \]

\[ w \sim N(0, \alpha I) \]

\( \Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p} \) is a design matrix.
Direct Construction of Covariance Matrix

Use matrix notation to write function,

\[
    f (x_i; w) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} w_k \phi_k (x_i)
\]

computed at training data gives a vector

\[
    f = \Phi w.
\]

\[
    w \sim N (0, \alpha I)
\]

\(w\) and \(f\) are only related by an inner product.

\(\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}\) is a design matrix

\(\Phi\) is fixed and non-stochastic for a given training set.
Direct Construction of Covariance Matrix

Use matrix notation to write function,

\[ f(x_i; w) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} w_k \phi_k(x_i) \]

computed at training data gives a vector

\[ f = \Phi w. \]

\[ w \sim N(0, \alpha I) \]

\( w \) and \( f \) are only related by an *inner product*.

\( \Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p} \) is a *design matrix*

\( \Phi \) is fixed and non-stochastic for a given training set.

\( f \) is Gaussian distributed.
We have

\[ \langle f \rangle = \Phi \langle w \rangle. \]

We use \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) to denote expectations under prior distributions.
We use $\langle \cdot \rangle$ to denote expectations under prior distributions.
Expectations

- We have
  \[ \langle f \rangle = \Phi \langle w \rangle. \]

- Prior mean of \( w \) was zero giving
  \[ \langle f \rangle = 0. \]

- Prior covariance of \( f \) is
  \[ K = \langle ff^\top \rangle - \langle f \rangle \langle f \rangle^\top \]

We use \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) to denote expectations under prior distributions.
Expectations

- We have

\[ \langle f \rangle = \Phi \langle w \rangle. \]

- Prior mean of \( w \) was zero giving

\[ \langle f \rangle = 0. \]

- Prior covariance of \( f \) is

\[ K = \langle ff^T \rangle - \langle f \rangle \langle f \rangle^T \]

\[ \langle ff^T \rangle = \Phi \langle ww^T \rangle \Phi^T, \]

giving

\[ K = \alpha \Phi \Phi^T. \]

We use \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) to denote expectations under prior distributions.
The prior covariance between two points $x_i$ and $x_j$ is

$$k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \phi_i(x_i)^\top \phi_j(x_j),$$
The prior covariance between two points $x_i$ and $x_j$ is

$$k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \phi_i(x_i)^\top \phi_i(x_j),$$

or in sum notation

$$k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{m} \phi_k(x_i) \phi_k(x_j).$$
The prior covariance between two points $x_i$ and $x_j$ is

$$k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \phi_i(x_i)^\top \phi_j(x_j),$$

or in sum notation

$$k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{m} \phi_k(x_i) \phi_k(x_j)$$

For the radial basis used this gives
The prior covariance between two points \( x_i \) and \( x_j \) is

\[
k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \phi(x_i)^\top \phi(x_j),
\]

or in sum notation

\[
k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{m} \phi_k(x_i) \phi_k(x_j)
\]

For the radial basis used this gives

\[
k(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{m} \exp \left( - \frac{|x_i - \mu_k|^2 + |x_j - \mu_k|^2}{2\ell^2} \right).
\]
Constructing Covariance Functions

- Sum of two covariances is also a covariance function.

\[ k(x, x') = k_1(x, x') + k_2(x, x') \]
Product of two covariances is also a covariance function.

\[ k(x, x') = k_1(x, x')k_2(x, x') \]
Multiply by Deterministic Function

- If \( f(x) \) is a Gaussian process.
- \( g(x) \) is a deterministic function.
- \( h(x) = f(x)g(x) \)
- Then

\[
k_h(x, x') = g(x)k_f(x, x')g(x')
\]

where \( k_h \) is covariance for \( h(\cdot) \) and \( k_f \) is covariance for \( f(\cdot) \).
Covariance Functions

MLP Covariance Function

\[ k(x, x') = \alpha \sin \left( \frac{wx^\top x' + b}{\sqrt{wx^\top x + b + 1} \sqrt{wx'^\top x' + b + 1}} \right) \]

- Based on infinite neural network model.

\[ w = 40 \]
\[ b = 4 \]
Covariance Functions

MLP Covariance Function

\[ k(x, x') = \alpha \arcsin\left( \frac{wx^\top x' + b}{\sqrt{wx^\top x + b + 1} \sqrt{wx'^\top x' + b + 1}} \right) \]

- Based on infinite neural network model.

\[ w = 40 \]
\[ b = 4 \]
Linear Covariance Function

\[ k(x, x') = \alpha x^\top x' \]

- Bayesian linear regression.

\[ \alpha = 1 \]
Covariance Functions

Linear Covariance Function

\[ k(x, x') = \alpha x^\top x' \]

- Bayesian linear regression.
  \[ \alpha = 1 \]
Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (stationary Gauss-Markov) covariance function

$$k(x, x') = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{|x - x'|}{2\ell^2}\right)$$

- In one dimension arises from a stochastic differential equation. Brownian motion in a parabolic tube.
- In higher dimension a Fourier filter of the form $\frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$. 
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Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (stationary Gauss-Markov) covariance function

\[ k(x, x') = \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{|x - x'|}{2\ell^2}\right) \]

- In one dimension arises from a stochastic differential equation. Brownian motion in a parabolic tube.
- In higher dimension a Fourier filter of the form \( \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)} \).
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

Markov Process

$$k(t, t') = \alpha \min(t, t')$$

- Covariance matrix is built using the inputs to the function $t$. 
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Where did this covariance matrix come from?

Markov Process

\[ k(t, t') = \alpha \min(t, t') \]

- Covariance matrix is built using the inputs to the function \( t \).
Matern 5/2 Covariance Function

\[ k(x, x') = \alpha \left( 1 + \sqrt{5}r + \frac{5}{3}r^2 \right) \exp \left( - \sqrt{5}r \right) \quad \text{where} \quad r = \frac{\|x - x'\|_2}{\ell} \]

- Matern 5/2 is a twice differentiable covariance.
- Matern family constructed with Student-\( t \) filters in Fourier space.
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

Matern 5/2 Covariance Function

$$k(x, x') = \alpha \left(1 + \sqrt{5}r + \frac{5}{3}r^2\right) \exp\left(-\sqrt{5}r\right) \quad \text{where} \quad r = \frac{||x - x'||_2}{\ell}$$

- Matern 5/2 is a twice differentiable covariance.
- Matern family constructed with Student-$$t$$ filters in Fourier space.
Covariance Functions

**RBF Basis Functions**

\[
k(x, x') = \alpha \phi(x) \phi(x')^\top
\]

\[
\phi_i(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - \mu_i\|^2_2}{\ell^2}\right)
\]

\[
\mu = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}
\]
Covariance Functions

RBF Basis Functions

\[ k(x, x') = \alpha \phi(x)^\top \phi(x') \]

\[ \phi_i(x) = \exp \left( -\frac{\|x - \mu_i\|_2^2}{\ell^2} \right) \]

\[ \mu = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \]
Covariance Functions
Where did this covariance matrix come from?

Exponentiated Quadratic Kernel Function (RBF, Squared Exponential, Gaussian)

\[ k(x, x') = \alpha \exp \left( -\frac{||x - x'||^2}{2\ell^2} \right) \]

- Covariance matrix is built using the inputs to the function \( x \).
- For the example above it was based on Euclidean distance.
- The covariance function is also know as a kernel.
Covariance Functions

Where did this covariance matrix come from?

**Exponentiated Quadratic Kernel Function (RBF, Squared Exponential, Gaussian)**

\[ k(x, x') = \alpha \exp \left( -\frac{||x - x'||^2}{2\ell^2} \right) \]

- Covariance matrix is built using the *inputs* to the function \( x \).
- For the example above it was based on Euclidean distance.
- The covariance function is also known as a kernel.
Gaussian Process Interpolation

Figure: Real example: BACCO (see e.g. (Oakley and O’Hagan, 2002)). Interpolation through outputs from slow computer simulations (e.g. atmospheric carbon levels).
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Figure: Real example: BACCO (see e.g. (Oakley and O’Hagan, 2002)). Interpolation through outputs from slow computer simulations (e.g. atmospheric carbon levels).
Gaussian Noise

- Gaussian noise model,

\[ p(y_i|f_i) = \mathcal{N}(y_i|f_i, \sigma^2) \]

where \( \sigma^2 \) is the variance of the noise.

- Equivalent to a covariance function of the form

\[ k(x_i, x_j) = \delta_{i,j} \sigma^2 \]

where \( \delta_{i,j} \) is the Kronecker delta function.

- Additive nature of Gaussians means we can simply add this term to existing covariance matrices.
Gaussian Process Regression

Figure: Examples include WiFi localization, C14 calibration curve.
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Gaussian Process Regression

Figure: Examples include WiFi localization, C14 calibration curve.
Learning Covariance Parameters
Can we determine covariance parameters from the data?

\[ \mathcal{N}(y|0, K) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}|K|^1/2} \exp \left( -\frac{y^\top K^{-1}y}{2} \right) \]

The parameters are *inside* the covariance function (matrix).

\[ k_{i,j} = k(x_i, x_j; \theta) \]
Learning Covariance Parameters
Can we determine covariance parameters from the data?

\[ N(y|0, K) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}|K|^{1/2}} \exp \left( -\frac{y^\top K^{-1}y}{2} \right) \]

The parameters are inside the covariance function (matrix).

\[ k_{i,j} = k(x_i, x_j; \theta) \]
Learning Covariance Parameters
Can we determine covariance parameters from the data?

\[
\log \mathcal{N}(y|0, K) = -\frac{1}{2} \log |K| - \frac{y^\top K^{-1} y}{2} - \frac{n}{2} \log 2\pi
\]

The parameters are *inside* the covariance function (matrix).

\[
k_{i,j} = k(x_i, x_j; \theta)
\]
Learning Covariance Parameters
Can we determine covariance parameters from the data?

\[ E(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \log |K| + \frac{y^\top K^{-1}y}{2} \]

The parameters are inside the covariance function (matrix).

\[ k_{i,j} = k(x_i, x_j; \theta) \]
Eigendecomposition of Covariance

A useful decomposition for understanding the objective function.

\[ K = R\Lambda^2R^\top \]

Diagonal of \( \Lambda \) represents distance along axes.
\( R \) gives a rotation of these axes.

where \( \Lambda \) is a diagonal matrix and \( R^\top R = I \).
Capacity control: $\log |K|$

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
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Capacity control: \( \log |\mathbf{K}| \)

\[
\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix}
\lambda_1 & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_2 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[|\Lambda| = \lambda_1 \lambda_2\]
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$$|\mathbf{\Lambda}| = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$$
Capacity control: $\log |K|$

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$|\Lambda| = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$$
Capacity control: $\log |K|$
Capacity control: $\log |\mathbf{K}|$

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix}
  \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & \lambda_3
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$|\Lambda| = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3$$
Capacity control: \( \log |K| \)

\[
\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix}
\lambda_1 & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[|\Lambda| = \lambda_1 \lambda_2\]
Capacity control: $\log |\mathbf{K}|$

$$
\mathbf{R} \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix}
  w_{1,1} & w_{1,2} \\
  w_{2,1} & w_{2,2}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
|\mathbf{R} \Lambda| = \lambda_1 \lambda_2
$$
Data Fit: $\frac{y^\top K^{-1}y}{2}$
Data Fit: $\frac{y^\top K^{-1} y}{2}$
Data Fit: $\frac{y^T K^{-1} y}{2}$
Learning Covariance Parameters
Can we determine length scales and noise levels from the data?

\[ E(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \log |K| + \frac{y^\top K^{-1}y}{2} \]
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Can we determine length scales and noise levels from the data?

\[ E(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \log |K| + \frac{y^\top K^{-1} y}{2} \]
Learning Covariance Parameters

Can we determine length scales and noise levels from the data?

\[ E(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \log |K| + \frac{y^\top K^{-1}y}{2} \]
Gene Expression Example

- Given given expression levels in the form of a time series from Della Gatta et al. (2008).
- Want to detect if a gene is expressed or not, fit a GP to each gene (Kalaitzis and Lawrence, 2011).
A Simple Approach to Ranking Differentially Expressed Gene Expression Time Courses through Gaussian Process Regression

Alfredo A Kalaitzis* and Neil D Lawrence*

Abstract

Background: The analysis of gene expression from time series underpins many biological studies. Two basic forms of analysis recur for data of this type: removing inactive (quiet) genes from the study and determining which genes are differentially expressed. Often these analysis stages are applied disregarding the fact that the data is drawn from a time series. In this paper we propose a simple model for accounting for the underlying temporal nature of the data based on a Gaussian process.

Results: We review Gaussian process (GP) regression for estimating the continuous trajectories underlying in gene expression time-series. We present a simple approach which can be used to filter quiet genes, or for the case of time series in the form of expression ratios, quantify differential expression. We assess via ROC curves the rankings produced by our regression framework and compare them to a recently proposed hierarchical Bayesian model for the analysis of gene expression time-series (BATS). We compare on both simulated and experimental data showing that the proposed approach considerably outperforms the current state of the art.
Contour plot of Gaussian process likelihood.
Optima: length scale of 1.2221 and $\log_{10}$ SNR of 1.9654 log likelihood is -0.22317.
Optima: length scale of 1.5162 and log\textsubscript{10} SNR of 0.21306 log likelihood is -0.23604.
Optima: length scale of 2.9886 and $\log_{10}$ SNR of -4.506 log likelihood is -2.1056.
Limitations of Gaussian Processes

- Inference is $O(n^3)$ due to matrix inverse (in practice use Cholesky).
- Gaussian processes don’t deal well with discontinuities (financial crises, phosphorylation, collisions, edges in images).
- Widely used exponentiated quadratic covariance (RBF) can be too smooth in practice (but there are many alternatives!!).
Series of summer schools on GPs: [http://ml.dcs.shef.ac.uk/gpss/](http://ml.dcs.shef.ac.uk/gpss/)

Next edition 15th–17th September, followed by a workshop on 18th September.

Limited to 50 students, combination of lectures and practical sessions.

Facebook page: [https://www.facebook.com/gaussianprocesssummerschool](https://www.facebook.com/gaussianprocesssummerschool)

Videos from earlier editions here: [https://www.youtube.com/user/ProfNeilLawrence](https://www.youtube.com/user/ProfNeilLawrence)
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Case study: User impact on Twitter

Predicting and characterising user impact on Twitter

▶ define a user-level impact score
▶ use user’s text and profile information as features to predict the score
▶ analyse the features which better predict the score
▶ provide users with ‘guidelines’ for improving their score

Instance of a text prediction problem

▶ emphasis on feature analysis and interpretability (specific to social science applications)
▶ non-linear variation

See our paper Lampos et al. (2014), EACL.
Sparse GPs

Exact inference in a GP

- Memory: $O(n^2)$
- Time: $O(n^3)$
  where $n$ is the number of training points.

Sparse GP approximation

- Memory: $O(n \cdot m)$
- Time: $O(n \cdot m^2)$
  where $m$ is selected at runtime, $m \ll n$.

Are usually needed when $n > 1000$. 
Sparse GPs

Many options for sparse approximations

- Based on Inducing Variables
  - Subset of Data (SoD)
  - Subset of Regressors (SoR)
  - Deterministic Training Conditional (DTC)
  - Partially Independent Training Conditional Approximations (PITC)
  - Fully Independent Training Conditional Approximations (FITC)
- Fast Matrix Vector Multiplication (MVM)
- Variational Methods

See Quiñonero Candela and Rasmussen (2005) for an overview.
Sparse approximations

- $f(x_i)$ are treated as latent variables
  - a subset are treated exactly ($|u| = m$)
  - the other are given a computationally cheaper treatment
- following Quiñonero Candela and Rasmussen (2005), we view Sparse GP approximation as ‘exact inference with an approximate prior’
- modify the joint prior $p(f(x), f(x_\star))$ to reduce the $O(n^3)$ complexity
- different methods based on the effective prior used
- the GP model is concerned only with the conditional of the outputs given the inputs
Inducing points
Inducing points
The inducing points $u = (u_1, ..., u_m)$ ‘induce’ the dependencies between train and test points. All computations are based on cross-covariances between training, test and inducing points only.

- assume that $f$ and $f_\star$ are conditionally independent given the inducing points $u$:

$$p(f(x_\star), f(x)) \approx q(f(x_\star), f(x)) = \int q(f(x_\star)|u)p(f(x)|u)p(u)du$$
- choosing the inducing points: usually equispaced, not necessarily in the training set
- a random subset of the training points can be used
- note the predictive variance will be overestimated outside the support of the inducing points
- photo from GPML documentation
Fully Independent Training Conditional (FITC)

Exact inference in a GP:

\[ p(f(x_*)|y) = \mathcal{N}(K_{x_*x}(K_{x,x} + \sigma^2 I)^{-1}y, K_{x_*x} - K_{x_*x}(K_{x,x} + \sigma^2 I)^{-1}K_{x_*x}) \]

where \( p(f(x), f(x_*)) = \mathcal{N}(0, \begin{pmatrix} K_{x,x} & K_{x_*x} \\ K_{x_*x} & K_{x_*x} \end{pmatrix}) \)

FITC predictive distribution:

\[ q_{FITC}(f(x_*)|y) = \mathcal{N}(Q_{x_*x}(Q_{x,x} + \text{diag}(K_{x,x} - Q_{x,x} + \sigma^2 I))^{-1}y, \\ K_{x_*x} - Q_{x_*x}(Q_{x,x} + \text{diag}(K_{x,x} - Q_{x,x} + \sigma^2 I))^{-1}Q_{x_*x}) \]

based on a low-rank plus diagonal approximation:

\[ q_{FITC}(f(x), f(x_*)) = \mathcal{N}(0, \begin{pmatrix} Q_{x,x} - \text{diag}(Q_{x,x} - K_{x,x}) & Q_{x,x_*} \\ Q_{x_*x} & Q_{x_*x} \end{pmatrix}) \text{ and } Q_{i,j} \triangleq K_{i,u}K_{u,u}^{-1}K_{u,j} \]
Predicting and characterising user impact

500 million Tweets a day in Twitter

¬ important and some not so important information
¬ breaking news from media
¬ friends
¬ celebrity self promotion
¬ marketing
¬ spam

Can we automatically predict the impact of a user?

Can we automatically identify factors which influence user impact?
Defining user impact

Define impact as a function of network connections

- no. of followers
- no. of followees
- no. of time the account is listed by others

$$\text{Impact} = ln \left( \frac{\text{listings} \cdot \text{followers}^2}{\text{followees}} \right)$$

Dataset

- 38,000 UK users
- all tweets from one year
- 48 million deduplicated messages
User controlled features

Only features under the user’s control (e.g. not no. of retweets)

- User features (18)
  extracted from the account profile
  aggregated text features
- Text features (100)
  user’s topic distribution
  topics computed using spectral clustering on the word co-occurrence (NPMI) matrix
Models

Regression task

- Gaussian Process regression model
- $n = 38000 \cdot 9/10$, use Sparse GPs with FITC
- Squared Exponential kernel (k-dimensional):
  \[
  k(x_p, x_q) = \sigma_f^2 \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} (x_p - x_q)^T D (x_p - x_q) \right) + \sigma_n^2 \delta_{pq}
  \]
  where $D \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ is a symmetric matrix.
- if $D_{ARD} = \text{diag}(l)^{-2}$:
  \[
  k(x_p, x_q) = \sigma_f^2 \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{d=1}^{k} \frac{(x_{pd} - x_{pd})^2}{l_d^2} \right) + \sigma_n^2 \delta_{pq}
  \]
Automatic Relevance Determination (ARD)

\[ k_{\text{ARD}}(x_p, x_q) = \sigma_f^2 \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{d=1}^{k} \frac{(x_{pd} - x_{pd})^2}{l_d^2} \right) + \sigma_n^2 \delta_{pq} \]

- SE kernel with automatic relevance determination (ARD), with the vector \( l \) denoting the characteristic length-scales of each feature
- \( l_d \) measures the distance for being uncorrelated along \( x_d \)
- \( 1/l_d^2 \) proportional to how relevant a feature is: large length-scales means the covariance becomes independent of that feature value
- sorting by length-scales indicates which features impact the prediction the most
- tuning these parameters is done via Bayesian model selection
Prediction results

Experiments
- 10-fold cross validation
- using predictive mean
- baseline model is ridge regression (LIN)
- Profile features
- Text features

Conclusions
- GPs substantially better than ridge regression
- non-linear GPs with only profile features performs better than linear methods with all features
- GPs outperform SVR
- adding topic features improves all models
## Selected features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Importance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Using default profile image</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of tweets (entire history)</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of unique @-mentions in tweets</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of tweets (in dataset)</td>
<td>3.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Links ratio in tweets</td>
<td>3.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 (Weather): mph, humidity, barometer, gust, winds</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 (Healthcare, Housing): nursing, nurse, rn, registered, bedroom, clinical, #news, estate, #hospital</td>
<td>5.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 (Politics): senate, republican, gop, police, arrested, voters, robbery, democrats, presidential, elections</td>
<td>6.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of days with non-zero tweets</td>
<td>6.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of tweets with @-replies</td>
<td>7.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Feature analysis

No. of unique @-mentions

Impact histogram for users with high (H) values of this feature as opposed to low (L). Red line is the mean impact score.
Feature analysis

Impact histogram for users with **high (H)** values of this feature. **Red line** is the mean impact score.

damon, potter, #tvd, harry elena, kate, portman, pattinson, hermione, jennifer

senate, republican, gop, police, arrested, voters, robbery, democrats, presidential, elections
User impact is highly predictable

▶ user behaviour very informative
▶ ‘tips’ for improving your impact

GP framework suitable

▶ non-linear modelling
▶ ARD feature selection
▶ sparse GPs allow large scale experiments
▶ empirical improvements over linear models & SVR
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Case study: MT Evaluation

Evaluation of Machine Translation

- human assessment of translation quality
- many ‘good’ translations, no gold standard
- judgements highly subjective, biased, noisy

Instance of general NLP annotation problem

- multiply annotated data, mixing experts and novices
- slippery task definition, low agreement

See our paper Cohn and Specia (2013), ACL.
Multi-task learning

- form of transfer learning
- several related tasks sharing the same input data representation
- learn the types, extent of correlations

Compared to domain adaptation

- tasks need not be identical (even regression vs classification)
- no explicit ‘target’ domain
- several sources of variation besides domain
- no assumptions of data asymmetry
Modelling individual annotators

- each bring own biases
- but correlated decisions with others’ annotations
- could even find clusters of common solutions

Here use multi-output GP regression

- joint inference over several translators
- learn degree of inter-task transfer
- learn per-translator noise
- incorporate task meta-data
Previous work on modelling MT Quality

Typically simplified into a single-task modelling problem

- learn one model from one “good” annotator
- average several annotators
- ignore variation and simply pool data

Here framed as Transfer Learning

- each individual is a separate “task”
- joint modelling of individuals and the group
Review: GP Regression

\[ f \sim \text{GP}(0, \theta)\]

\[ y_i \sim N(f(x_i), \sigma^2)\]
**Multi-task GP Regression**

\[ f \sim \text{GP}(0, (B, \theta)) \]

\[ y_{im} \sim \text{N}(f_m(x_i), \sigma_m^2) \]

See Alvarez et al. (2011).
Kriging is the application of GPs in geostatistics, to predict e.g., locations of minerals from several soil samples. Co-kriging jointly models several different outputs expected to have significant correlation, e.g., lead and nickel deposits.

See Alvarez, Rosasco and Lawrence, 2012.
Multi-task Covariance Kernels

Represent data as \((x, t, y)\) tuples, where \(t\) is a task identifier. Define a \textit{separable} covariance kernel,

\[
K(x, x')_{t,t'} = B_{t,t'} k_\theta(x, x') + \text{noise}
\]

- effectively each input augmented with \(t\), indexing the task of interest
- the \textbf{coregionalisation matrix}, \(B \in \mathcal{R}^{M \times M}\) weights inter-task covariance
- the \textbf{data kernel} \(k_\theta\) takes data points \(x\) as input e.g., exponentiated quadratic
Coregionalisation Kernels

Generally $\mathbf{B}$ can be any symmetric positive semi-definite matrix. Some interesting choices

- $\mathbf{I}$ encodes independent learning
- $\mathbf{1}$ encodes pooled learning
- interpolating the above
- full rank $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{WW}^\top$, or low rank variants

Known as the Intrinsic model of coregionalisation (IMC).

See Alvarez et al. (2011); Bonilla et al. (2008)
Stacking and Kronecker products

Response variables are a matrix

\[ \mathbf{Y} = \mathcal{R}^{N \times M} \]

Represent data in ‘stacked’ form

\[ \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{21} \\ \vdots \\ y_{N1} \end{bmatrix} \]

Kernel a Kronecker product

\[ K(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{B} \otimes k_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{X}_o, \mathbf{X}_o) \]
Kronecker product

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    a & b \\
    c & d \\
\end{bmatrix} \otimes K = \\
\begin{bmatrix}
    aK & bK \\
    cK & dK \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Kronecker product

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\text{gray} \\
\text{gray}
\end{bmatrix} & \\
\begin{bmatrix}
\text{white} \\
\text{white}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix}
\otimes
\begin{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\text{red} \\
\text{green}
\end{bmatrix} & \\
\begin{bmatrix}
\text{blue} \\
\text{green}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\text{red} & \text{green} \\
\text{blue} & \text{green}
\end{bmatrix} & \\
\begin{bmatrix}
\text{red} & \text{green} \\
\text{blue} & \text{green}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Choices for $B$: Independent learning

$B = I$
Choices for $B$: Pooled learning

\[ B = 1 \]
Choices for $B$: Interpolating independent and pooled learning

\[ B = 1 + \alpha I \]
Choices for $B$: Modulating independent and pooled learning II

\[ B = 1 + \text{diag}(\alpha) \]
Compared to Daumé III (2007)

Feature augmentation approach to multi-task learning. Uses horizontal data stacking:

$$
X = \begin{bmatrix}
X^{(1)} & X^{(1)} & 0 \\
X^{(2)} & 0 & X^{(2)} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\quad
y = \begin{bmatrix}
y^{(1)} \\
y^{(2)} \\
\end{bmatrix}
$$

where \((X^{(i)}, y^{(i)})\) are the training data for task \(i\). This expands the feature space by a factor of \(M\).

Equivalent to a multitask kernel

$$
k(x, x')_{t,t'} = (1 + \delta(t, t')) x^\top x'
$$

$$
K(X, X) = (1 + I) \otimes k_{\text{linear}}(X, X)
$$

⇒ A specific choice of \(B\) with a linear data kernel
Compared to Evgeniou et al. (2006)

In the regularisation setting, Evgeniou et al. (2006) show that the kernel

$$K(x, x')_{t,t'} = (1 - \lambda + \lambda M \delta(t, t')) x^\top x'$$

is equivalent to a linear model with regularisation term

$$J(\Theta) = \frac{1}{M} \left( \sum_t \|\theta_t\|^2 + \frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda} \|\theta_t - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{t'} \theta_{t'}\|^2 \right)$$

This regularises each task’s parameters $\theta_t$ towards the mean parameters over all tasks, $\frac{1}{M} \sum_{t'} \theta_{t'}$.

A form of interpolation method from before.
Consider a mixture of several components,

\[ K(x, x')_{t,t'} = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} B^{(q)}_{t,t'} k_{\theta_q}(x, x') \]

Includes per-component

- data kernel, parameterised by \( \theta_q \)
- coregionalisation matrix, \( B^{(q)} \)

More flexible than ICM, which corresponds to \( Q = 1 \). Can capture multi-output correlations, e.g., as different length scales.

See Alvarez et al. (2011)
ICM samples

$$K(X, X) = \mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}^\top \otimes k(X, X).$$

$$\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 5 & 25 \end{bmatrix}$$
ICM samples

\[ K(X, X) = \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}^\top \otimes k(X, X). \]

\[ \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 5 & 25 \end{bmatrix} \]
ICM samples

\[ K(X, X) = \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}^\top \otimes k(X, X). \]

\[ \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 5 & 25 \end{bmatrix} \]
ICM samples

\[ K(X, X) = \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}^\top \otimes k(X, X). \]

\[ \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 5 & 25 \end{bmatrix} \]
ICM samples

$K(X, X) = B \otimes k(X, X).$

$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 1.5 \end{bmatrix}$
ICM samples

\[ K(X, X) = B \otimes k(X, X). \]

\[ B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 1.5 \end{bmatrix} \]
ICM samples

\[ K(X, X) = B \otimes k(X, X). \]

\[ B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 1.5 \end{bmatrix} \]
ICM samples

\[ K(X, X) = B \otimes k(X, X). \]

\[ B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 1.5 \end{bmatrix} \]
ICM samples

\[ K(X, X) = B \otimes k(X, X). \]

\[
B = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0.5 \\
0.5 & 1.5
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Application to MT quality estimation

Case study of MT Quality Estimation, manual assessment of translation quality given source and translation texts

Human judgements are highly subjective, biased, noisy

- typing speed
- experience levels
- expectations from MT

‘Quality’ can be measured many ways

- subjective scoring (1-5) for fluency, adequacy, perceived effort to correct
- post-editing effort: HTER or time taken
- binary judgements, ranking, ...
Experimental setup

Quality estimation data

- 2k examples of source sentence and MT output
- measuring subjective post-editing (1-5) WMT12
- post-editing time per word, in log seconds WPTP12
- 17 dense features extracted using Quest toolkit (Specia et al., 2013)
- using official train/test split, or random assignment

Gaussian Process models

- exponentiated quadratic data kernel (RBF)
- hyper-parameter values trained using type II MLE
- consider simple interpolation coregionalisation kernels
- include per-task noise or global tied noise
Results: WMT12 RMSE for 1-5 ratings
Incorporating layers of task metadata

Annotator

System

Source Sentence
Results: WPTP12 RMSE post-editing time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>constant Ann</td>
<td>0.637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ind SVM Ann</td>
<td>0.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooled GP</td>
<td>0.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTL GP Ann</td>
<td>0.617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTL GP Sys</td>
<td>0.748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTL GP senT</td>
<td>0.741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTL GP A+S</td>
<td>0.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTL GP A+S+T</td>
<td>0.585</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Applications and wider applications

Application to data annotation

- crowd-sourcing: dealing with noise and biases
  Rogers et al. (2010); Groot et al. (2011)
- intelligent ‘active’ data acquisition

Joint learning of correlated phenomena in NLP

- domain adaptation
- same data annotated for many things (PTB etc)
- multi-lingual applications and language universals

Applicable with other likelihoods, e.g.,

- classification
- ordinal regression (ranking)
- structured prediction
Outline

Introduction

GP fundamentals

NLP Applications

- Sparse GPs: Characterising user impact
- Multi-task learning with GPs: Machine Translation evaluation
- Model selection and Kernels: Identifying temporal patterns in word frequencies

Advanced Topics
Case study: Temporal patterns of words

Categorising temporal patterns of hashtags in Twitter

- collect hashtag normalised frequency time series for months
- use models learnt on past frequencies to forecast future frequencies
- identify and group similar temporal patterns
- emphasise periodicities in word frequencies

Instance of a forecasting problem

- emphasis on forecasting (extrapolation)
- different effects modelled by specific kernels

See our paper Preoțiuc-Pietro and Cohn (2013), EMNLP.
Although parameter free, we still need to specify to a GP:

- the kernel parameters a.k.a. hyper-parameters $\theta$
- the kernel definition $H_i \in \mathcal{H}$

Training a GP = selecting the kernel and its parameters

Can use only training data (and no validation)
Bayesian model selection

Marginal likelihood or Bayesian evidence:

\[ p(y|x, \theta, H_i) = \int_f p(y|X, f, H_i)p(f|\theta, H_i) \]

The posterior over the hyperparameters is hard to compute due to the integral in the denominator:

\[ p(\theta|y, x, H_i) = \frac{p(y|x, \theta, H_i)p(\theta|H_i)}{\int p(y|x, \theta, H_i)p(\theta|H_i)d\theta} \]

We approximate it by maximising over the Bayesian evidence (type II maximum likelihood - ML-II)
Bayesian model selection

For GP regression, the negative log of the evidence (a.k.a. NLML) can be computed analytically:

$$-\log(p(y|x, \theta)) = \frac{1}{2} y^T K_y^{-1} y + \frac{1}{2} \log |K_y| + \frac{n}{2} \log 2\pi$$

where $K_y = K_f + \sigma_n^2 I$ and $K_f$ is the covariance matrix for the latent function $f$
Bayesian model selection

The posterior for a model given the data is:

\[ p(H_i|y, x) = \frac{p(y|x, H_i)p(H_i)}{p(y|x)} \]

Assuming the prior over models is flat:

\[ p(H_i|y, x) \propto p(y|x, H_i) = \int_{\theta} p(y|x, \theta, H_i)p(\theta|H_i) \]
Bayesian model selection

Occam’s razor: ‘the simplest solution is to be preferred over a more complex one’

The evidence must normalise:

- automatic trade-off between data-fit and model complexity
- complex models are penalised because they can describe many datasets
- simple models can describe a few datasets, thus the chance of a good data fit is low
- can be thought as the probability that a random draw of a function from the model can generate the training set
Identifying temporal patterns in word frequencies

Word/hashtag frequencies in Twitter

- very time dependent
- many ‘live’ only for hours reflecting timely events or memes
- some hashtags are constant over time
- some experience bursts at regular time intervals
- some follow human activity cycles

Can we automatically forecast future hashtag frequencies?

Can we automatically categorise temporal patterns?
Twitter hashtag temporal patterns

Regression task

▶ Extrapolation: forecast future frequencies
▶ using predictive mean

Dataset

▶ two months of Twitter Gardenhose (10%)
▶ first month for training, second month for testing
▶ 1176 hashtags occurring in both splits
▶ ~ 6.5 million tweets
▶ 5456 tweets/hashtag
Kernels

The kernel

- induces the covariance in the response between pairs of data points
- encodes the prior belief on the type of function we aim to learn
- for extrapolation, kernel choice is paramount
- different kernels are suitable for each specific category of temporal patterns: isotropic, smooth, periodic, non-stationary, etc.
Kernels

Use Bayesian model selection techniques to choose between kernels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Const</th>
<th>Linear</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Per</th>
<th>PS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NLML</td>
<td>-41</td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>-176</td>
<td>-180</td>
<td>-192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRMSE</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lower is better
Kernels: Constant

$$k_C(x, x') = c$$

- constant relationship between outputs
- predictive mean is the value $c$
- assumes signal is modelled by Gaussian noise centred around the value $c$
Kernels: Squared exponential

\[ k_{SE}(x, x') = s^2 \cdot \exp\left( -\frac{(x - x')^2}{2l^2} \right) \]

- smooth transition between neighbouring points
- best describes time series with a smooth shape e.g. uni-modal burst with a steady decrease
- predictive variance increases exponentially with distance
Kernels: Linear

\[ k_{Lin}(x, x') = \frac{|x \cdot x'| + 1}{s^2} \]

- non-stationary kernel: covariance depends on the data points values, not only on their difference \(|t - t'|\)
- equivalent to Bayesian linear regression with \(\mathcal{N}(0, 1)\) priors on the regression weights and a prior of \(\mathcal{N}(0, s^2)\) on the bias
Kernels: Periodic

\[ k_{PER}(x, x') = s^2 \cdot \exp \left( - \frac{2 \sin^2 (2\pi (x - x') / p)}{l^2} \right) \]

- \( s \) and \( l \) are characteristic length-scales
- \( p \) is the period (distance between consecutive peaks)
- best describes periodic patterns that oscillate smoothly between high and low values
Kernels: Periodic Spikes

\[ k_{PS}(x, x') = \cos \left( \sin \left( \frac{2\pi \cdot (x - x')}{p} \right) \right) \cdot \exp \left( \frac{s \cos(2\pi \cdot (x - x'))}{p} - s \right) \]

- \( p \) is the period
- \( s \) is a shape parameter controlling the width of the spike
- best describes time series with constant low values, followed by abrupt periodic rise
## Results: Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Const</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>PER</th>
<th>PS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#funny</td>
<td>#2011</td>
<td>#brb</td>
<td>#ff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#lego</td>
<td>#backintheday</td>
<td>#coffee</td>
<td>#followfriday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#likeaboss</td>
<td>#confessionhour</td>
<td>#facebook</td>
<td>#goodnight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#money</td>
<td>#februarywish</td>
<td>#facepalm</td>
<td>#jobs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#nbd</td>
<td>#haiti</td>
<td>#fail</td>
<td>#news</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#nf</td>
<td>#makeachange</td>
<td>#love</td>
<td>#nowplaying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#notetoself</td>
<td>#questionsidontlike</td>
<td>#rock</td>
<td>#tgif</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#priorities</td>
<td>#savelibraries</td>
<td>#running</td>
<td>#twitterafterdark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#social</td>
<td>#snow</td>
<td>#youtube</td>
<td>#twitteroff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#true</td>
<td>#snowday</td>
<td></td>
<td>#ww</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results: Forecasting

[Bar chart showing various categories compared to a baseline labeled as 'Lag+']

- GP−Lin
- GP−SE
- GP−PER
- GP−PS
- GP+
Application: Text classification

Task

- assign the hashtag of a given tweet based on its text

Methods

- Most frequent (MF)
- Naive Bayes model with empirical prior (NB-E)
- Naive Bayes with GP forecast as prior (NB-P)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MF</th>
<th>NB-E</th>
<th>NB-P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Match@1</td>
<td>7.28%</td>
<td>16.04%</td>
<td>17.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Match@5</td>
<td>19.90%</td>
<td>29.51%</td>
<td>31.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Match@50</td>
<td>44.92%</td>
<td>59.17%</td>
<td>60.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRR</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Higher is better
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Recap: Regression

Observations, \( y_i \), are a noisy version of latent process \( f_i \),

\[
y_i = f_i(x_i) + \epsilon_i, \quad \text{with } \epsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)
\]
Likelihood models

Analytic solution for Gaussian likelihood *aka* noise

Gaussian (process) prior
\[ \times \text{ Gaussian likelihood} \]
\[ = \text{ Gaussian posterior} \]

But what about other likelihoods?

- Counts \( y \in \mathcal{N} \)
- Classification \( y \in \{C_1, C_2, ..., C_k\} \)
- Ordinal regression (ranking) \( C_1 < C_2 < ... < C_k \)
- …
Classification

Binary classification, \( y_i \in \{0, 1\} \).

Two popular choices for the likelihood

- Logistic sigmoid: \( p(y_i = 1|f_i) = \sigma(f_i) = \frac{1}{1+\exp(-f_i)} \)
- Probit function: \( p(y_i = 1|f_i) = \Phi(f_i) = \int_{-\infty}^{f_i} \mathcal{N}(z|0, 1)dz \)

"Squashing" input from \((-\infty, \infty)\) into range \([0, 1]\)
Squashing function

Pass latent function through logistic function to obtain probability, \( \pi(x) = p(y_i = 1|f_i) \)

Figure from Rasmussen and Williams (2006)
Inference Challenges: for test case $x_*$

Distribution over latent function

$$p(f^*|X, y, x_*) = \int p(f^*|X, x_*, f) p(f|X, y) df$$

posterior

Distribution over classification output

$$p(y_*=1|X, y, x_*) = \int \sigma(f_*) p(f_*|X, y, x_*) df_*$$

Problem: likelihood no longer conjugate with prior, so no analytic solution.
Approximate inference

Several inference techniques proposed for non-conjugate likelihoods:

- Laplace approximation
  Williams and Barber (1998)
- Expectation propagation
  Minka (2001)
- Variational inference
  Gibbs and MacKay (2000)
- MCMC
  Neal (1999)

And more, including sparse approaches for large scale application.
Laplace approximation

Approximate non-Gaussian posterior by a Gaussian, centred at the mode

Figure from Rogers and Girolami (2012)
Laplace approximation

Log posterior

\[ \Phi(f) = \log p(y|f) + \log p(f|X) + \text{const} \]

Find the posterior mode, \( \hat{f} \), i.e., MAP estimation, \( O(n^3) \).

Then take a second order Taylor series expansion about mode, and fit with a Gaussian

- with mean, \( \mu = \hat{f} \)
- and co-variance \( \Sigma = (K^{-1} + \nabla \nabla \log p(y|f))^{-1} \)

Allows for computation of posterior and marginal likelihood, but predictions may still be intractable.
Expectation propagation

Take intractable posterior:

\[ p(f|X, y) = \frac{1}{Z} p(f|X) \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(y_i|f_i) \]

\[ Z = \int p(f|X) \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(y_i|f_i) df \]

Approximation with fully factorised distribution

\[ q(f|X, y) = \frac{1}{Z_{EP}} p(f|X) \prod_{i=1}^{n} t(f_i) \]
Approximate posterior defined as

\[ q(f|y) = \frac{1}{Z_{EP}} p(f) \prod_{i=1}^{n} t(f_i) \]

where each component assumed to be Gaussian

- \[ p(y_i|f_i) \approx t_i(f_i) = \tilde{Z}_i \mathcal{N}(f_i|\tilde{\mu}_i, \tilde{\sigma}^2_i) \]
- \[ p(f|X) \sim \mathcal{N}(f|0, K_{nn}) \]

Results in Gaussian formulation for \( q(f|y) \)

- allows for tractable multiplication, division with Gaussians
- and marginalisation, expectations etc
Expectation propagation

EP algorithm aims to fit $t_i(f_i)$ to the posterior, starting with a guess for $q$, then iteratively refining as follows

- minimise KL divergence between the true posterior for $f_i$ and the approximation, $t_i$

$$\min_{t_i} KL (p(y_i|f_i)q_{-i}(f_i) \| t_i(f_i)q_{-i}(f_i))$$

where $q_{-i}(f_i)$ is the cavity distribution formed by marginalising $q(f)$ over $f_j, j \neq i$ then dividing by $t_i(f_i)$.

- key idea: only need accurate approximation for globally feasible $f_i$

- match moments to update $t_i$, then update $q(f)$
Expectation propagation

Likelihood: $p(y_i | f_i)$

Cavity distribution: $q_i(f_i)$

Approximation: $\mathcal{N}(f_i | \hat{\mu}_i, \hat{\sigma}^2_i) \hat{Z}_i$
Expectation propagation

No proof of convergence

- but empirically works well
- often more accurate than Laplace approximation

Formulated for many different likelihoods

- complexity $O(n^3)$, dominated by matrix inversion
- sparse EP approximations can reduce this to $O(nm^2)$

See Minka (2001) and Rasmussen and Williams (2006) for further details.
Consider multi-class classification, \( y \in \{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k\} \).

Draw vector of \( k \) latent function values for each input

\[
\mathbf{f} = (f^1_1, \ldots, f^1_n, f^2_1, \ldots, f^2_n, f^k_1, \ldots, f^k_n)
\]

Formulate classification probability using soft-max

\[
p(y_i = c|\mathbf{f}_i) = \frac{\exp(f^c_i)}{\sum_{c'} \exp(f^{c'}_i)}
\]
Multi-class classification

Assume $k$ latent processes are **uncorrelated**, leading to prior covariance $f \sim \mathcal{N}(0, K)$ where

$$K = \begin{pmatrix}
K_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & K_2 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & K_k
\end{pmatrix}$$

is block diagonal $kn \times kn$ with each $K_j$ of size $n \times n$.
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GPs for Structured Prediction

▶ GPSC (Altun et al., 2004):
  ▶ Defines a likelihood over label sequences: $p(y|x)$, with latent variable over full sequences $y$
  ▶ HMM-inspired kernel, combining features from each observed symbol $x_i$ and label pairs
  ▶ MAP inference for hidden function values, $f$, and sparsification trick for tractable inference

▶ GPstruct (Bratières et al., 2013):
  ▶ Base model is a CRF:

$$p(y|x, f) = \frac{\exp \sum_c f(c, x_c, y_c)}{\sum_{y' \in Y} \exp \sum_c f(c, x_c, y'_c)}$$

  ▶ Assumes that each potential $f(c, x_c, y_c)$ is drawn from a GP
  ▶ Bayesian inference using MCMC (Murray et al., 2010)
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String Kernels

\[ k(x, x') = \sum_{s \in \Sigma^*} w_s \phi_s(x) \phi_s(x'), \]

- \( \phi_s(x) \): counts of substring \( s \) inside \( x \);
- \( 0 \leq w_s \leq 1 \): weight of substring \( s \);
- \( s \) can also be a subsequence (containing gaps);
- \( s = \) char sequences \( \rightarrow \) ngram kernels (Lodhi et al., 2002) (useful for stems);
  \( k(\text{bar, bat}) = 3 \) \( (\text{b,a,ba}) \)
- \( s = \) word sequences \( \rightarrow \) Word Sequence kernels (Cancedda et al., 2003);
  \( k(\text{gas only injection, gas assisted plastic injection}) = 3 \)
- Soft matching:
  \( k(\text{battle, battles}) \neq 0 \)
  \( k(\text{battle, combat}) \neq 0 \)
Tree Kernels

- Subset Tree Kernels (Collins and Duffy, 2001)

S
  └── NP
      └── Mary

S
  ├── NP
  │    └── Mary
  └── VP
      └── John

S
  ├── NP
  │    └── Mary
  └── VP
      ├── had
      └── dinner
Tree Kernels

- Subset Tree Kernels (Collins and Duffy, 2001)

```
NP   VP
 Mary loves John

NP   VP
 Mary had dinner
```
Tree Kernels

- Subset Tree Kernels (Collins and Duffy, 2001)

More on GPs + structured kernels in Daniel Beck's SRW presentation tomorrow
Tree Kernels

- Subset Tree Kernels (Collins and Duffy, 2001)

More on GPs + structured kernels in Daniel Beck's SRW presentation tomorrow.
Tree Kernels

- Subset Tree Kernels (Collins and Duffy, 2001)

- Partial Tree Kernels (Moschitti, 2006): allows “broken” rules, useful for dependency trees;
- Soft matching can also be applied.

More on GPs + structured kernels in Daniel Beck’s SRW presentation tomorrow


