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Software Agents: theory and practice

*Autonomous agents*: concerns automated reasoning and planning from the perspective of (possibly multiple) agents in the context of open, concurrent, non-deterministic environments

- Applications include logistics, mining, robotics, air traffic control, simulation and software agents on the Internet.

*How do agents differ from objects?*
Knowledge representation and reasoning: concerns the representation of knowledge and actions from the perspective of agents through interaction with their environment.

- Handling non-determinism, either through the occurrence of random events in the environment or by the actions of other agents that an agent has no direct control of, such as in human-robot interaction (HRI) for autonomy.

What is epistemic reasoning?
(Advanced) Artificial Intelligence: concerns the foundations, including novel data structures and algorithms for efficient and robust planning and reasoning about agents and their representations (subsumes both autonomous agents and knowledge representation and reasoning).

- AI research is increasingly utilised in the development of multi-agent programming and AI planning languages
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Planning research/application projects within CIS

- Air traffic management: verification of autonomous command & control functions (*Thales Australia*) (Research contract)
- Mining iron ore: optimising autonomous mining & production scheduling (*Rio Tinto Iron Ore* and the *Australian Research Council (ARC)* project)
- Human-agent interaction: foundations of autonomy, spectrum from full tele-operation to complete autonomy (ARC project)

Academics (Teaching & Research): **Adrian Pearce**; Peter Stuckey; Liz Sonenberg; Tim Miller; Chris Leckie; **Nir Lipovetzky**; Michelle Blom; Christina Burt; Paolo Felli & Christian Muise;
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Thales’ systems control nearly 50% of world’s airspace
Highly distributed systems, typically 8 million lines of code
Automation is a key issue, importantly, software verification
Application example: Mining iron ore
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Context: Robotically operated mine sites, using a combination of autonomous trucks and tele-operation of drilling rigs

- Presently The largest commercial privately funded external robotics initiative in the world today.

Current multi-agent project—Making the Pilbara blend: agile mine scheduling through contingent planning, ARC Linkage Project (The University of Melbourne)

- Aim: Discover what can do with Intelligent Agent Technology for achieving agile mine scheduling
- Technology: integration of multi-agent automated planning techniques with constraint solving techniques.
Agent = action theory + plan and/or program

Underlying Syllabus:

- An action theory: the agent knows the theory and its consequences (actions effects, frame & qualification problems, sensing, etc.)
- Either a classical plan or a high-level program: specifying the agent tasks/behaviours (nondeterministic & domain actions)

Two aspects:

- Search & Classical Planning
- Foundations & High-level programming
Search & Classical Planning (Nir Lipovetzky)

- Introduction to Automated planning & classical planning as search
- Classical planning as search & other formalisms
- Beyond classical planning: transformations
Foundations & High-level planning/programming (Adrian Pearce)

- (Logical) foundations of (multi) agents & intensionality
- Partial observability & epistemic logic
- Possible world reasoning
- Actions in the situation calculus
- Planning & Golog
High-level programming

High-Level Programming is a promising approach from single-agent systems:

- Primitive actions from the agents world
- Connected by standard programming constructs
- Containing controlled amounts of nondeterminism
- Agent plans a "Legal Execution"
- e.g. GOLOG

Vision: the cooperative execution of a shared high-level program by a team of autonomous agents.
Golog (example operators)

- $a$ - Perform a primitive action
- $\delta_1; \delta_2$ - Perform two programs in sequence
- $\phi?$ - Assert that a condition holds
- $\delta_1|\delta_2$ - Choose between programs to execute
- $\pi(x, \delta(x))$ - Choose suitable bindings for variables
- $\delta^*$ - Execute a program zero or more times
- $\delta_1||\delta_2$ - Execute programs concurrently

Key Point: programs can include nondeterminism
Why High-Level Programming?

- Natural, flexible task specification
- Powerful nondeterminism control
  - order of actions, who does what, ...
- Sophisticated logic of action
  - Concurrent actions, continuous actions, explicit time, ...

Ferrein, Lakemeyer et.al. have successfully controlled a RoboCup team using a Golog variant called ”ReadyLog” (Ferrein, Fritz and Lakemeyer 2005).
A Quick Example

Consider a Golog program for getting to university of a morning:

\[
\text{ringAlarm; (hitSnooze; ringAlarm)*; turnOffAlarm;}
\pi(\text{food}, \text{edible(food)}?; \text{eat(food)}); (\text{haveShower} \parallel \text{brushTeeth}) ;
(\text{driveToUni} \mid \text{trainToUni}); (\text{time} < 11 : 00)\
\]

There are potentially many ways to execute this program, depending on which actions are possible in the world.

Utilises a theory of action to plan a Legal Execution:

\[
\mathcal{D} \models \exists s, \delta' : \text{Trans}^*(\delta, S_0, \delta', s) \wedge \text{Final}(\delta', s)
\]
Motivating Example: The Cooking Agents

Several robotic chefs inhabit a kitchen, along with various ingredients, appliances and utensils. They must cooperate to produce a meal consisting of several dishes.

\[
\text{proc}\ MakeSalad(bowl) \\
(\text{ChopTypeInto}(\text{Lettuce}, bowl) \parallel \\
\text{ChopTypeInto}(\text{Carrot}, bowl) \parallel \\
\text{ChopTypeInto}(\text{Tomato}, bowl)) ;
\pi(agt, \text{Mix}(agt, bowl, 1)) \\
\text{end}
\]

\[
\text{proc}\ \text{ChopTypeInto}(\text{type}, \text{dest}) \\
\pi((agt, obj), \\
\text{IsType}(obj, type)? ; \\
\text{Chop}(agt, obj) ; \\
\text{PlaceIn}(agt, obj, dest)) \\
\text{end}
\]
Why Classical Planning?

- Efficient search control (admits fast & tractable solutions)
- Decidable variants frequently possible
- Powerful search control
  - heuristics, landmarks, etc.
- Efficient approach to handling uncertainty
  - Compilation, transformations, etc.
International competitions & toolkits (benchmark problems)

Competitions

- International planning competition (IPC)
- General game playing competition (GDL)
- Berleley Pac-Man

Toolkits

- LAPKT (Lightweight Automated Planning ToolKiT)
- Planning.domains (A collection of tools for working with planning domains)
Project work

*Berkeley* Pac-Man

- Project 1: get you acquainted with Berkely Pac-Man and deriving heuristics
- Project 2: Pac-man *tournament* where your agents will compete.